From: Ted Ryan
To: Contact OGE
Subject: Proposed Rule: Legal Expense Fund Regulation (RIN 3209-AA50)
Date: Friday, June 17, 2022 2:34:21 PM

Dear Office of Government Ethics,

I strenuously oppose OGE's proposed legal expense regulation as drafted. OGE should:
-remove the exception that makes compliance with the regulation optional
-replace the proposed recusal requirement with a broader 5-year recusal requirement that prevents donors of cash gifts from influencing decisions, policies, or regulations affecting them or the industries in which they have substantial interests;
-remove the offensive example involving an accused sexual harasser; and
-place nonprofit charities (501(c)(3) organizations) on an equal footing with large law firms by allowing them to hire legal counsel for whistleblowers.

Seriously, how can it make sense to make compliance optional?

Thank you.

Theodore G Ryan, PhD
US Citizen
Resident of Chapel Hill, NC