From: Taffy Jacob
To: USOGE
Subject: Proposed Rule: Legal Expense Fund Regulation (RIN 3209-AA50)
Date: Tuesday, June 21, 2022 6:35:05 PM

I oppose the OGE's proposed legal expense fund regulation as drafted. What good is optional regulation? That is the same as no regulation. That's like telling your toddler, "We'll see." They learn pretty quickly that you just mean no. Different word, same end result!
OGE should:
1) Remove the exception that makes compliance with the regulation optional;
2) Replace the proposed recusal requirement with a broader 5-year recusal requirement that prevents donors of cash gifts from influencing decisions, policies, or regulations affecting them or the industries in which they have substantial interests;
3) Remove the offensive example involving an accused sexual harasser;
4) Place nonprofit charities (501(c)(3) organizations) on an equal footing with large law firms by allowing them to hire legal counsel for whistleblowers.