From:	Paul Lopez
To:	USOGE
Subject:	Proposed Rule: Legal Expense Fund Regulation (RIN 3209-AA50)
Date:	Monday, June 20, 2022 9:47:15 PM

I oppose OGE's proposed legal expense fund regulation as drafted.

OGE should:

- remove the exception that makes compliance with the regulation)optional; (What good is an optional compliance when we need strong deterrence?)
- replace the proposed recusal requirement with a broader 5-year recusal requirement that prevents donors of cash gifts from influencing decisions, policies, or regulations affecting them or the industries in which they have substantial interests; (We are all tired of the revolving doors)
- remove the offensive example involving an accused sexual harasser; (Why is that here? Really!)
- place nonprofit charities (501(c)(3) organizations) on an equal footing with large law firms by allowing them to hire legal counsel for whistleblowers. (Why are whistleblowers left out of assistance from non-profit legal groups?)

Sincerely,
Donell Stiers