From: anna tursich
To: USOGE
Subject: Proposed Rule: Legal Expense Fund Regulation (RIN 3209-AA50)
Date: Monday, June 20, 2022 10:16:43 PM

Dear Mr or Ms:

I absolutely oppose OGE's legal expense fund as drafted.

How can a rule be optional and be a rule? There must be NO exception that make compliance optional.
The proposed recusal requirement is completely inadequate. A recual requirement must be a broad 5-year minimum recusal that prevents donors of cash gifts from influencing decisions, policies and regulations which affect them individually or the businesses or industries in which they have substantial interests.
Nonprofit charities must have the right to hire legal counsel for whistleblowers in order that there the ability to establish an equal footing with large law firms. By nonprofit charities, I mean organizations with a 501(c)(3) status.
Please remove the offensive example involved an accused sexual harasser.

Citizens expect Ethics Standards to be a real thing. This proposed Rule contains provisions which do not meet that expectation. How can we be expected to trust our government when these kinds of betrayal of standards are quietly passed without knowledge of the general public. Thee has to be some part of our government which isn't corrupted by big money. Ethics rules are one of our last strongholds. Please don't betray public trust with this Rule.

Thank you for your attention,

Anna Tursich
5449 Snake Hollow Road
Sneedville, TN