Resolved Interactions Details

Reopen Interaction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title:</th>
<th>34 press calls</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interaction #:</td>
<td>10260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status:</td>
<td>Resolved</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Customer Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source:</th>
<th>Press</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First Name:</td>
<td>James</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Last Name:</td>
<td>Lipton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Title:</td>
<td>Reporter - NYT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Position: 
Email: 
Phone: 
Other Notes: This contact is a stand-in contact for the 34 separate news organizations who contacted us and who received our statement on the issue.

Interaction Details

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initiated:</th>
<th>11/30/2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Call Origination:</td>
<td>Phone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assigned:</td>
<td>Seth Jaffe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watching:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Questions

We received inquiries from 34 separate news organizations concerning tweets from OGE's twitter account addressing the President-elect's plans to avoid conflicts of interest.

Category(s)

Conflicting Financial Interests

Interaction History

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>12/1/2016:</th>
<th>Resolved</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Seth Jaffe</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Like everyone else, we were excited this morning to read the President-elect’s twitter feed indicating that he wants to be free of conflicts of interest. OGE applauds that goal, which is consistent with an opinion OGE issued in 1983. Divestiture resolves conflicts of interest in a way that transferring control does not. We don’t know the details of their plan, but we are willing and eager to help them with it. The tweets that OGE posted today were responding only to the public statement that the President-elect made on his Twitter feed about his plans regarding conflicts of interest. OGE’s tweets were not based on any information about the President-elect’s plans beyond what was shared on his Twitter feed. OGE is non-partisan and does not endorse any individual. https://twitter.com/OfficeGovEthics

| Complexity: | |
| Amount Of Time Spent On Interaction: | More than 8 hours |
| Individuals Credited: | Leigh Francis, Seth Jaffe |
| Add To Agency Profile: | No |
| Memorialize Content: | No |
| Do Not Destroy: | No |

Resolution Details

Interaction Resolved: 11/30/2016
Resolution Category: Resolved
Response: 

Announcement: If you create a duplicate interaction, please contact Gwen Cannon-Jenkins to have it deleted
## Resolved Interactions Details

### Reopen Interaction

**Title:** Questions concerning President-elect's previous 278 filing (Voice of America)

**Interaction #:** 10281  
**Status:** Resolved

### Customer Information

- **Source:** Press  
- **First Name:** Masood  
- **Last Name:** Farivar  
- **Title:** Reporter, Voice of America  
- **Position:**  
- **Email:** None provided  
- **Phone:** (D)(6)  
- **Other Notes:**

### Interaction Details

- **Initiated:** 12/1/2016  
- **Call Origination:** Email  
- **Assigned:** Vincent Salamone  
- **Watching:**

### Questions

Reporter is writing a story about the President-elect Trump's business interests. He has some questions about his most recent form 278e, such as What period does it cover? is the income listed in the form for the calendar or fiscal year 2015 or another period?

### Category(s)

278s

### Interaction History

- **12/5/2016: Resolved**  
  Vinyl Salamone
  I directed the reporter to information (available on OGE's website) that provided the reporter with information about the reporting periods for the President-elect's most recent public financial disclosure filing and related information concerning the reporting of income (both earned and investment income) on the 278e report as well as other requested information.

- **12/1/2016: Interaction Created**  
  Vincent Salamone
Title: Call about GSA Lease
Interaction #: 10242
Status: Resolved

Customer Information
Source: Press
First Name: Jackie
Last Name: Northam
Title:
Position:
Email:
Phone:
Other Notes:

Interaction Details
Initiated: 11/29/2016
Call Origination: Phone
Assigned: Leigh Francis, Vincent Salamone
Watching:

Questions
Does OGE have any comment on the terms of a lease between the GSA and the Trump Organization?

Category(s)
Procurement Integrity

Interaction History
11/29/2016: Resolved
Leigh Francis
Off-the-record and on background, I advised that a GSA lease and its terms were outside OGE's jurisdiction. I advised what OGE's jurisdiction covered, and that it did not include PIA, contracting laws or regulations, generally, or contract provisions.

11/29/2016: Interaction Created
Leigh Francis

Resolution Details
Resolution Category: Resolved
Response: Off-the-record and on background, I advised that a GSA lease and its terms were outside OGE's jurisdiction. I advised what OGE's jurisdiction covered, and that it did not include PIA, contracting laws or regulations, generally, or contract provisions.

Complexity
Amount Of Time Spent On Interaction: 0-1 hour
Individuals Credited: Leigh Francis, Vincent Salamone
Add To Agency Profile: No
Memorialize Content: No
Do Not Destroy: No
Resolved Interactions Details

Reopen Interaction

Title: Call About GSA Lease
Interaction #: 10244
Status: Resolved

Resolution Details

Resolution Category: Resolved
Response: Off-the-record and on background, I advised that a GSA lease and its terms were outside OGE's jurisdiction. I advised what OGE's jurisdiction covered, and that it did not include PIA, contracting laws or regulations, generally, or contract provisions.

Complexity:
Amount Of Time Spent On Interaction: 0-1 hour
Individuals Credited: Leigh Francis, Vincent Salamone
Add To Agency Profile: No
Memorialize Content: No
Do Not Destroy: No

Customer Information

Source: Press
First Name: Greg
Last Name: Gordon
Title: Reporter
Position:
Email:
Phone:
Other Notes:

Interaction Details

Initiated: 11/29/2016
Call Origination: Phone
Assigned: Leigh Francis
Watching:

Questions

Does OGE have any comment regarding the lease between the GSA and the Trump organization?

Category(s)

Procurement Integrity

Interaction History

11/29/2016: Resolved
Leigh Francis
Off-the-record and on background, I advised that a GSA lease and its terms were outside OGE's jurisdiction. I advised what OGE's jurisdiction covered, and that it did not include PIA, contracting laws or regulations, generally, or contract provisions.

11/29/2016: Interaction Created
Leigh Francis
Resolved Interactions Details

Reopen Interaction

Title: Application of CD rule to the President-elect
Interaction #: 10313
Status: Resolved

Customer Information

Source: Press
First Name: Steven
Last Name: Rosenthal
Title: Senior Fellow, Urban-Brookings Tax Policy Center
Position:
Email: [redacted]
Phone: [redacted]
Other Notes:

Interaction Details

Initiated: 12/5/2016
Call Origination: Email
Assigned: Vincent Salamone
Watching:

Questions

The requester shared his interpretation and summary about the ability of the President-elect to divest his businesses utilizing certificates of divestiture.

Category(s)

CDs

Interaction History

12/5/2016: Resolved
Vincent Salamone
The reporter provided OGE with an article containing his views on whether whether CDs would available to the President-elect in divesting his business interests. No response was requested. The summary is contained in the following link: http://www.taxpolicycenter.org/taxvox/could-president-trump-sell-his-business-tax-free.

12/5/2016: Interaction Created
Vincent Salamone

Resolution Details

Interaction Resolved: 12/5/2016
Resolution Category: No Response Sent
Response:
The reporter provided OGE with an article containing his views on whether whether CDs would available to the President-elect in divesting his business interests. No response was requested. The summary is contained in the following link: http://www.taxpolicycenter.org/taxvox/could-president-trump-sell-his-business-tax-free.

Complexity:
Amount Of Time Spent On Interaction: 0-1 hour
Individuals Credited: Vincent Salamone
Add To Agency Profile: No
Memorize Content: No
Do Not Destroy: No
Resolved Interactions Details

Reopen Interaction

Title: Comments about OGE tweets/account hacked (Vocativ.com)
Interaction #: 10306
Status: Resolved

Customer Information

Source: Press
First Name: Kevin
Last Name: Collier
Title: Reporter, Vocativ.com
Position: None provided
Email: None provided
Phone: **(6)**
Other Notes:

Interaction Details

Initiated: 12/2/2016
Call Origination: Email
Assigned: Vincent Salamone
Watching:

Questions

Reporter has questions concerning OGE's twitter account and its recent tweets.

Category(s)

Ethics Program Administration
Other

Interaction History

12/5/2016 Resolved
Vincent Salamone
The reporter was informed that OGE had not been hacked and that the OGE tweets were authorized by OGE. I did not provide any further comment concerning the OGE tweets.

12/3/2016 Update to Title
Vincent Salamone
Comments about OGE tweets/account hacked (Vocativ.com)

12/3/2016 Update to Customer Title
Vincent Salamone
Reporter, Vocativ.com

12/3/2016 Update to Customer Position
Vincent Salamone

12/3/2016 Update to Title
Vincent Salamone
Comments about OGE tweets/account hacked

Resolution Details

Interaction Resolved: 12/2/2016
Resolution Category: Resolved
Response:
The reporter was informed that OGE had not been hacked and that the OGE tweets were authorized by OGE. I did not provide any further comment concerning the OGE tweets.

Complexity:
Amount Of Time Spent On Interaction: 0-1 hour
Individuals Credited: Vincent Salamone
Add To Agency Profile: No
Memorize Content: No
Do Not Destroy: No
Resolved Interactions Details

Reopen Interaction

Title: GSA Lease Provisions
Interaction #: 10243
Status: Resolved

Customer Information

Source: Press
First Name: Tory
Last Name: Neumeyer
Title: Reporter

Interaction Details

Initiated: 11/29/2016
Call Origination: Phone
Assigned: Leigh Francis
Watching:

Questions

Does OGE have any comment on the lease between GSA and the Trump organization?

Category(s)

Procurement Integrity

Interaction History

11/29/2016: Resolved
Leigh Francis
Off-the-record and on background, I advised that a GSA lease and its terms were outside OGE's jurisdiction. I advised what OGE's jurisdiction covered, and that it did not include PIA, contracting laws or regulations, generally, or contract provisions.

11/29/2016: Interaction Created
Leigh Francis

Resolution Details

Resolution Category: Resolved
Response:
Off-the-record and on background, I advised that a GSA lease and its terms were outside OGE's jurisdiction. I advised what OGE's jurisdiction covered, and that it did not include PIA, contracting laws or regulations, generally, or contract provisions.

Complexity
Amount Of Time Spent On Interaction: 0-1 hour
Individuals Credited: Leigh Francis
Add To Agency Profile: No
Memorialize Content: No
Do Not Destroy: No
Resolved Interactions Details

Reopen Interaction

Title:
Hatch Act

Interaction #: 10344
Status: Resolved

Customer Information

Source: Public Citizen
First Name: R
Last Name: [b][6]
Title:

Position:
Email:
Phone:
Other Notes:

Interaction Details

Initiated: 12/7/2016
Call Origination: Email
Assigned: Suzanne Meyer

Questions

You have recently indicated that even though Trump isn't legally obligated to distance himself from his businesses that he should anyway. What about Obama/Biden using their positions within the government to influence the last election? Seems like the same thing, they were legally allowed to do this as they were exempted from the Hatch Act but shouldn't they have refrained from campaigning for Clinton anyway the way you expect Trump to divest even though he doesn't have to legally? Where was your voice on the Hatch Act issue?

Category(s)

Hatch Act

Interaction History

12/7/2016 Resolved
Suzanne Meyer

Dear [b][6] , Thank you for your e-mail to the United States Office of Government Ethics (OGE). OGE was established by the Ethics in Government Act of 1978 to be the office responsible for setting policies aimed at the prevention of conflicts of interest in the executive branch of the Federal Government. OGE, a small agency within the executive branch, assists other executive branch departments and agencies to implement ethics rules and policies that deal with conflicts of interest laws, post-employment restrictions, the standards of ethical conduct, and the public and confidential financial disclosure systems for executive branch federal employees. OGE's jurisdiction extends only to the specific ethics issues described above within the executive branch of the U.S. Government. Information concerning the Hatch Act can be found at https://osc.gov/. Thank you, Contact OGE U.S. Office of Government Ethics

12/7/2016 Interaction Created
Resolved Interactions Details

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reopen Interaction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Title:</strong> Media Coverage of tweets (Mouthwater)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Interaction #:</strong> 10311</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Status:</strong> Resolved</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Customer Information

| **Source:** Press | **Position:** |
| **First Name:** Megan | **Email:** |
| **Last Name:** (no last name provided) | **Phone:** (D)(6) |
| **Title:** | **Other Notes:** |

Interaction Details

| **Initiated:** 12/1/2016 | **Call Origination:** Phone |
| **Assigned:** Vincent Salamone | **Watching:** |

Questions

Reporter has some questions concerning OGE's media coverage involving recent news.

Category(s)

Ethics Program Administration

Interaction History

12/5/2016: **Resolved**
Vincent Salamone
I thanked the requester for bringing their service to OGE's attention but informed her that I did not believe the service was needed at this time. I said that I would get back to the reporter if this position changed. I told her that she was free to send me any type of informational materials that was useful to me in understanding the media-related service.

12/3/2016: **Interaction Created**
Vincent Salamone

Resolution Details

**Interaction Resolved:** 12/2/2016
**Resolution Category:** Resolved
**Response:**
I thanked the requester for bringing their service to OGE's attention but informed her that I did not believe the service was needed at this time. I said that I would get back to the reporter if this position changed. I told her that she was free to send me any type of informational materials that was useful to me in understanding the media-related service.

**Complexity**

**Amount Of Time Spent On Interaction:** 0-1 hour
**Individuals Credited:** Vincent Salamone
**Add To Agency Profile:** No
**Memorialize Content:** No
**Do Not Destroy:** No
Resolved Interactions Details

Resolution Details

Interaction Resolved: 12/5/2016
Resolution Category: Resolved
Response:
Dear [Name]: Thank you for your email to Contact OGE. The Director is appointed to a five-year term by the President and is confirmed by the Senate. The Director is a member of the Council of Inspectors General for Integrity and Efficiency (CIGIE) and the Integrity Committee of the CIGIE, which reviews allegations of misconduct against inspectors general. The Director also serves as a member of the Administrative Conference of the United States.

Thank you. Contact OGE U.S. Office of Government Ethics

Complexity
Amount Of Time Spent On Interaction: 0-1 hour
Individuals Credited: Suzanne Meyer
Add To Agency Profile: No
Memorize Content: No
Do Not Destroy: No

Customer Information
Source: Public Citizen
First Name: [Name]
Last Name: [Name]
Title: [Title]
Position: [Position]
Email: [Email]
Phone: [Phone]
Other Notes: [Notes]

Interaction Details
Initiated: 12/4/2016
Call Origination: Email
Assigned: Suzanne Meyer
Watching:

Questions
Will your office staff and leadership soon include appointees of President Elect Trump?

Category(s)
Schedule C
Senior Employee

Interaction History
12/5/2016: Resolved
Suzanne Meyer
Dear [Name]: Thank you for your email to Contact OGE. The Director is appointed to a five-year term by the President and is confirmed by the Senate. The Director is a member of the Council of Inspectors General for Integrity and Efficiency (CIGIE) and the Integrity Committee of the CIGIE, which reviews allegations of misconduct against inspectors general. The Director also serves as a member of the Administrative Conference of the United States. Thank you. Contact OGE U.S. Office of Government Ethics

12/5/2016: Interaction Created
Suzanne Meyer

Announcement: If you create a duplicate interaction, please contact Gwen Cannon-Jenkins to have it deleted.
Resolved Interactions Details

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reopen Interaction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Title:</strong> OGE twitter comments (WMAL Radio)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Interaction #:</strong> 10278</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Status:</strong> Resolved</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Customer Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Source:</strong> Press</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>First Name:</strong> Joseph</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Last Name:</strong> di Genova</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Title:</strong> WMAL Radio Presenter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Position:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Email:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Phone:</strong> (b)(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other Notes:</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interaction Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Initiated:</strong> 12/1/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Call Origination:</strong> Email</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Assigned:</strong> Vincent Salamone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Watching:</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Questions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The attorney is doing preparation for a legal commentary on this subject for Monday, December 5th, on WMAL RADIO and would like to discuss the process that was utilized to send out the OGE tweets on the above subject. He is looking for the process, who decided to do this, what is the historical precedent for such tweets, what other presidents-elect have received such tweets, etc.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interaction History</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>12/5/2016: Resolved</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vincent Salamone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I informed the reporter that OGE had not been hacked and that the OGE tweets were authorized by OGE. I did not provide any further comment concerning the OGE tweets in response to several other questions posed by the reporter concerning the tweets.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **12/1/2016: Interaction Created** |
| Vincent Salamone |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resolution Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Interaction Resolved:</strong> 12/2/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Resolution Category:</strong> Resolved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Response:</strong> I informed the reporter that OGE had not been hacked and that the OGE tweets were authorized by OGE. I did not provide any further comment concerning the OGE tweets in response to several other questions posed by the reporter concerning the tweets.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complexity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amount Of Time Spent On Interaction: 0-1 hour</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Individuals Credited: Vincent Salamone |
| Add To Agency Profile: No |
| Memorialize Content: No |
| Do Not Destroy: No |

---

Announcement: If you create a duplicate interaction, please contact Gwen Cannon-Jenkins to have it deleted.
The publishing service wanted to see if someone at OGE, Director Shaub, and/or another voice from OGE might be interested in using Medium to share more about how the agency recommends President-elect Trump proceed to avoid and ethical conflicts between his businesses and the presidency—or see if there is something else the office would like to communicate to the public.

Initiated: 12/1/2016
Call Origination: Email
Assigned: Vincent Salamone
Watching:

I thanked the requester for bringing their service to OGE's attention but informed him that I did not believe the service was needed at this time. I said that I would get back to the reporter if this position changed.

Complexity:
Amount Of Time Spent On Interaction: 0-1 hour

12/5/2016: Resolved
Vincent Salamone
I thanked the requester for bringing their service to OGE's attention but informed him that I did not believe the service was needed at this time. I said that I would get back to the reporter if this position changed.

12/1/2016: Interaction Created
Vincent Salamone
Resolution Details

Interaction Resolved: 12/1/2016
Resolution Category: Resolved
Response:
I informed the editor that OGE’s twitter account had not been hacked. I also had a general conversation about subsequent tweets to include the fact that the tweets were in fact authorized OGE tweets.

Complexity
Amount Of Time Spent On Interaction: 0 - 1 hour
Individuals Credited: Vincent Salamone
Add To Agency Profile: No
Memorize Content: No
Do Not Destroy: No
OGC tweets.
Categories: Other
Resolution Date: 12/1/2016 12
Resolution Category: ResolvedComplexity
Memorialize: No
Do not destroy: No

12/1/2016: Resolved
Vincent Salamone
I informed the editor that OGE's twitter account had not been hacked. I also had a general conversation about subsequent tweets to include the fact that the tweets were in fact OGE tweets.

12/1/2016: Update to Customer Title
Vincent Salamone
Reporter, Wired News and Opinion Editor

12/1/2016: Update to Customer Position
Vincent Salamone

12/1/2016: Reopened
Vincent Salamone
Reopening Reason: Correct typo.
Original Information
Question: The editor sought confirmation about whether OGE had been in fact been hacked and posed several questions about OGE's subsequent tweets
Response: I informed the editor that OGE's twitter account had not been hacked. I also had a general conversation about subsequent tweets to include the fact that the tweets were in fact OGE tweets.
Categories: Other
Resolution Date: 12/2/2016 12
Resolution Category: ResolvedComplexity
Memorialize: No
Do not destroy: No

12/1/2016: Resolved
Vincent Salamone
I informed the editor that OGE's twitter account had not been hacked. I also had a general conversation about subsequent tweets to include the fact that the tweets were in fact OGE tweets.

12/1/2016: Interaction Created
Vincent Salamone
Resolved Interactions Details

Reopen Interaction

Title: Questions about OGE tweets
Interaction #: 10285
Status: Resolved

Customer Information

Source: Press
First Name: Davis
Last Name: Merritt
Title: Newspaper Columnist
Position: Email:
Phone:
Other Notes:

Interaction Details

Initiated: 12/1/2016
Call Origination: Phone
Assigned: Vincent Salamone
Watching:

Questions

Reporter has a couple of questions about events that occurred involving OGE tweets on November 30, 2016.

Category(s)

Other

Interaction History

12/5/2016: Resolved
Vincent Salamone
I informed the reporter that OGE had not been hacked and that the OGE tweets were authorized by OGE. I did not provide any further comment concerning the OGE tweets.

12/1/2016: Interaction Created
Vincent Salamone

Resolution Details

Interaction Resolved: 12/1/2016
Resolution Category: Resolved
Response:
I informed the reporter that OGE had not been hacked and that the OGE tweets were authorized by OGE. I did not provide any further comment concerning the OGE tweets.

Complexity:
Amount Of Time Spent On Interaction: 0-1 hour
Individuals Credited: Vincent Salamone
Add To Agency Profile: No
Memorialize Content: No
Do Not Destroy: No

12/7/2016

Not responsive - URL
Resolved Interactions Details

Reopen Interaction

Title:
Questions concerning OGE's twitter account (USA Today)

Interaction #: 10310
Status: Resolved

Customer Information

Source: Press
First Name: Eliza
Last Name: (not discernable)
Title: Reporter, USA Today

Position: 
Email: None provided
Phone: [D](6)
Other Notes:

Interaction Details

Initiated: 12/2/2016
Call Origination: Phone
Assigned: Vincent Salamone
Watching:

Questions

Reporter has some questions about OGE's twitter account.

Category(s)

Ethics Program Administration

Interaction History

12/5/2016: Resolved
Vincent Salamone
I informed the reporter that OGE had not been hacked and that the OGE tweets were authorized by OGE. I did not provide any further comment concerning the OGE tweets.

12/3/2016: Interaction Created
Vincent Salamone

Resolution Details

Interaction Resolved: 12/2/2016
Resolution Category: Resolved
Response:
I informed the reporter that OGE had not been hacked and that the OGE tweets were authorized by OGE. I did not provide any further comment concerning the OGE tweets.

Complexity
Amount Of Time Spent On Interaction: 0-1 hour
Individuals Credited: Vincent Salamone
Add To Agency Profile: No
Memorialize Content: No
Do Not Destroy: No

Announcement: If you create a duplicate interaction, please contact Gwen Cannon-Jenkins to have it deleted.
Resolved Interactions Details

Reopen Interaction

Title:
Seeking information about OGE and about recent tweets from OGE (Smithsonian.com)

Interaction #: 10307
Status: Resolved

Customer Information

Source: Press
First Name: Jackie
Last Name: Masky
Title: Assistant Editor, Smithsonian.com
Email: None provided
Phone: [redacted]

Interaction Details

Initiated: 12/2/2016
Call Origination: Phone
Assigned: Vincent Salamone
Watching:

Questions

An Assistance Editor was seeking general information about OGE and its programs and operations. Also, she was seeking comment or information about about recent tweets made by the agency.

Category(s)

Ethics Program Administration
Website

Interaction History

12/5/2016 Resolved
Vincent Salamone
With respect to the editor's questions about OGE's recent tweets, I informed the reporter that OGE had not been hacked and that the OGE tweets were authorized by OGE. I did not provide any further comment concerning the OGE tweets. With respect to the editor's questions about OGE's role in the Executive Branch, I directed the reporter to several resources on OGE's website providing her with statistical information that she requested. I also answered a number of questions about OGE and its mission. I also directed the editor to OGE's recently published rule that significantly amended the administration of Executive Branch ethics program and also its ethics training requirements. I noted that the rule was published in November of this year.

12/3/2016 Update to Customer Position
Vincent Salamone

12/3/2016 Update to Customer Title
Vincent Salamone

Resolution Details

Interaction Resolved: 12/2/2016
Resolution Category: Resolved
Response:
With respect to the editor's questions about OGE's recent tweets, I informed the reporter that OGE had not been hacked and that the OGE tweets were authorized by OGE. I did not provide any further comment concerning the OGE tweets. With respect to the editor's questions about OGE's role in the Executive Branch, I directed the reporter to several resources on OGE's website providing her with statistical information that she requested. I also answered a number of questions about OGE and its mission. I also directed the editor to OGE's recently published rule that significantly amended the administration of Executive Branch ethics program and also its ethics training requirements. I noted that the rule was published in November of this year.

Complexity:
Amount Of Time Spent On Interaction: 0-1 hour
Individuals Credited: Vincent Salamone
Add To Agency Profile: No
Memorize Content: No
Do Not Destroy: No
Resolved Interactions Details

Reopen Interaction

Title: Story about OGE tweets, availability of 278e reports and the conflicts of interest review process (The Intercept)
Interaction #: 10330
Status: Resolved

Customer Information

Source: Press
First Name: Matt
Last Name: Schwartz
Title: Reporter, National Security

Email: [REDACTED]
Phone: [REDACTED]
Other Notes:

Resolution Details

Interaction Resolved: 12/5/2016
Resolution Category: Resolved
Response:
I informed the reporter that OGE had provided two written statements (I provided the text of the second statement to the reporter during the call) and various tweets last week and would not be providing any further comment or supporting any "back story" about those tweets. In answer to various other questions. I informed the reporter that I was not able to discuss conflict concerns involving specific individuals or prospective nominees. However, I was able to generally provide information concerning conflicts of interest and to discuss various other ethics rules and requirements. I had a lengthy discussion with the reporter and provided the reporter with a quick overview of some or all of the following topics: 18 USC 208 and its requirements, remedying conflicts of interests including the availability of CDs, the standards of ethical conduct and impartiality concerns, restrictions on outside activities, earned income restrictions, public financial disclosure reporting, the nominee vetting process, as well as information about other related ethics laws and rules as requested by the reporter. I also discussed OGE's role in the ethics program and in vetting Presidential nominees during the Transition. I also directed the reporter to various materials on OGE's website that would provide additional information on many of the above topics. I also directed the reporting to relevant ethics regulations and laws as requested.

Complexity:
Amount Of Time Spent On Interaction: 0-1 hour
Individuals Credited: Vincent Salamone
Add To Agency Profile: No
Memorize Content: No
Do Not Destroy: No

12/7/2016

Vincent Salamone

I informed the reporter that OGE had provided two written statements (I provided the text of the second statement to the reporter during the call) and various tweets last week and would not be providing any further comment or supporting any "back story" about those tweets. In answer to various other questions. I informed the reporter that I was not able to discuss conflict concerns involving specific individuals or prospective nominees. However, I was able to generally provide information concerning conflicts of interest and to discuss various other ethics rules and requirements. I had a lengthy discussion with the reporter and provided the reporter with a quick overview of some or all of the following topics: 18 USC 208 and its requirements, remedying conflicts of interests including the availability of CDs, the standards of ethical conduct and impartiality concerns, restrictions on outside activities, earned income restrictions, public financial disclosure reporting, the nominee vetting process, as well as information about other related ethics laws and rules as requested by the reporter. I also discussed OGE's role in the ethics program and in vetting Presidential nominees during the Transition. I also directed the reporter to various materials on OGE's website that would provide additional information on many of the above topics. I also directed the reporting to relevant ethics regulations and laws as requested.
Transition. I also directed the reporter to various materials on OGE’s website that would provide additional information on many of the above topic areas. I also directed the reporting to relevant ethics regulations and laws as requested.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12/6/2016</td>
<td>Update to Title</td>
<td>Vincent Salamone Story about OGE tweets, availability of 278e reports and the conflicts of interest review process (The Intercept)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/6/2016</td>
<td>Update to Customer Title</td>
<td>Vincent Salamone Reporter, National Security</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/6/2016</td>
<td>Update to Customer Position</td>
<td>Vincent Salamone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/6/2016</td>
<td>Interaction Created</td>
<td>Vincent Salamone</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Resolved Interactions Details

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reopen Interaction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Title:</strong> Thanking OGE for recent messages/tweets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Interaction #:</strong> 10309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Status:</strong> Resolved</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Customer Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Source:</strong> Public Citizen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>First Name:</strong> [REDACTED]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Last Name:</strong> [REDACTED]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Title:</strong> None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Position:</strong> None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Email:</strong> None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Phone:</strong> None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other Notes:</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interaction Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Initiated:</strong> 12/2/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Call Origination:</strong> Phone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Assigned:</strong> Vincent Salamone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Watching:</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Questions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reporter wanted to thank OGE for its recent twitter messages encouraging President-elect to divest his interests. It just felt really good to hear someone making a stand. Thank you.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ethics Program Administration</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interaction History</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>12/5/2016:</strong> Resolved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vincent Salamone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This comment required no response from OGE.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **12/3/2016:** Interaction Created |
| Vincent Salamone |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resolution Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Interaction Resolved:</strong> 12/2/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Resolution Category:</strong> Resolved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Response:</strong> This comment required no response from OGE.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Complexity:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Amount Of Time Spent On Interaction:</strong> 0-1 hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Individuals Credited:</strong> Vincent Salamone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Add To Agency Profile:</strong> No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Memorialize Content:</strong> No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Do Not Destroy:</strong> No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Title: Interview to discuss the input of former White House ethics lawyers (Politico)

Interaction #: 10322

Status: Open

Customer Information

Source: Press
First Name: Darren
Last Name: Samuelsohn
Title: Senior reporter, POLITICO

Position:
Email: [b](6)
Phone: [b](6)

Other Notes:

Interaction Details

Initiated: 12/5/2016
Call Origination: Email
Assigned: Vincent Salamone
Watching:

Questions

Reporter is writing about the various ethical issues before President-elect Trump, and taking a step back for a moment to do a feature-length profile of the two ethicists who have been most prominently featured in this story in recent weeks: Norm Eisen and Richard Painter. He is checking in with OGE to see if the OGE Director might be willing to do an interview with me to talk about the two former White House ethics lawyers and what they bring to this discussion.

Category(s)

Other

Interaction History

12/5/2016: Interaction Created
Vincent Salamone

Notes

* This information is deleted upon resolution of interaction.
The Senate reconvenes at 3 p.m.

The Senate reconvenes at 3 p.m. and will be in a period of morning business. No roll call votes are expected.

The House is not in session.

Executive Branch Ethics and Related News

Forbes reports that President-elect Donald Trump owns stock in the company building the Dakota Access pipeline, something that protesters say could sway any decision the new president would have to make next year over its fate. Trump Owns Stake In Hotly Disputed $3.8 Billion Oil Pipeline, Conflict Of Interest Looms

The Guardian reports that Constitutional lawyers and White House ethics counsellors from Democratic and Republican administrations have warned Donald Trump his presidency might be blocked by the electoral college if he does not give up ownership of at least some of his business empire. ‘A recipe for scandal’: Trump conflicts of interest point to constitutional crisis

Next Scheduled Recess:

House: December 9-12; 19-30
Senate: December 19-30
The Senate reconvenes at 9:30 am.

The Senate reconvenes at 9:30 a.m. and will be in a period of morning business. At 1:45 p.m., the Senate will vote on passage of a bill (HR 6297) that would reauthorize the 1996 Iran Sanctions Act for 10 years.

The House reconvenes at noon for legislative business.

The House reconvenes at noon for legislative business. The chamber is expected to consider a bill (HR 6392) that would eliminate the $50 billion or greater asset threshold for enhanced supervision and regulation of bank holding companies and a resolution (H Res 933) that would provide additional funding for the Energy and Commerce Committee.

Legislative and Related News


Executive Branch Ethics and Related News

ABC reports that President-elect Donald Trump announced Wednesday he plans to nominate Todd Ricketts as Deputy Secretary of Commerce. http://abc7chicago.com/politics/trump-nominates-todd-ricketts-as-deputy-secretary-of-commerce/1632408/


ABC reports that the Trump transition team is requiring applicants to file financial disclosures to avoid conflicts of interest. http://abcnews.go.com/Politics/trump-transition-team-members-disclose-finances-president-elect/story?id=43434537

Fox Business reports that it is the opinion of attorneys that President-elect Trump must sell his D.C. hotel before inauguration. http://www.foxbusiness.com/markets/2016/12/01/lawyers-trump-has-to-sell-dc-hotel-before-taking-office.html

Multiple outlets report on OGE’s tweets regarding President-elect Trump’s potential divestiture.
Federal Agency and Related News


Next Scheduled Recess:

**House:** December 9-12; 19-30

**Senate:** December 19-30
The Senate reconvened at 10 a.m.

The Senate reconvened at 10 a.m. and will resume consideration the 21st Century Cures Act (HR 34).

The House reconvenes at 12 p.m.

The House reconvenes at 12 p.m. for legislative business and is expected to consider measures under suspension of the rules.

Legislative and Related News

*Federal News Radio* reports that the House Appropriations Committee is working on a stopgap government spending bill, known as a continuing resolution (CR). Current government spending expires on Friday. Stopgap spending bill to be unveiled as Congress finishes up

Executive Branch Ethics and Related News

*NPR* categorizes the alleged conflicts of interest of President-Elect Trump. *Trump's Businesses And Potential Conflicts: Sorting It Out*

*Fortune* reports that Ivanka Trump “was also hammering out a deal with a company backed by a Japan government-owned development bank” while “she sat in on a meeting between her father Donald Trump and Japan’s Prime Minister Shinzo Abe in Nov.” *Ivanka Trump Had Business at Stake When She Met Japan’s Prime Minister* *Politico* reports that the State Department is allegedly concerned that President-Elect Trump’s children will “assume the role of freelance ambassadors, further blurring the line between their business affairs and America’s foreign affairs.” *Trump kids' diplomatic forays rattle State Dept.*

*CNBC* reports that the Plum Book - a listing of over 9,000 civil service positions in President-Elect Trump’s administration- dropped Monday. The piece notes that it lists 3 positions at OGE. *Wanna work for Trump? Try the gig protecting humpback whales or 9,000 other jobs* *The Washington Post* quotes a transition official as stating: “In addition to imposing a hiring freeze on all federal employees, which will reduce the federal workforce through attrition, the number of political appointees will drop significantly. ‘Drain the swamp’ was not just a campaign slogan.” *The Plum Book is here for those angling for jobs in Trump’s Washington*

*The Wichita Eagle* discusses OGE’s tweets and opines that they were “authorized at the highest level of the OGE” and “were a signal […] that if he does not fully divest himself of his businesses, the OGE will declare that his position is ethically unsound and invites a compromised administration.” The piece opines that while “OGE has no enforcement power” there is a “1983 agreement between Justice and OGE that, as a matter of policy, presidents should act as if the rules apply to them.” *Ethics office sends signal to Trump on real divesting*

*Government Executive* reports that a Navy contracting official was sentenced to 72 months in prison for accepting bribes as part of the “Fat Leonard” scandal. *Ex-Navy Contract Officer Gets Prison Time in 'Fat Leonard' Scandal*

*Government Executive* and *Politico* discuss the transition. *Is Trump Running the Fastest Transition in 40 Years, and Does That Matter? Trump marginalizes D.C. transition staff*
Project on Government Oversight reports that the Senate has not passed the IG Empowerment Act of 2015. The Act would provide IGs with the authority to access all relevant agency information needed to conduct investigations into fraud, waste and abuse. New Roadblock for Inspectors General Access to Information

The Washington Post reports that the Pentagon appears to have “buried an internal study that exposed $125 billion in administrative waste in its business operations amid fears Congress would use the findings as an excuse to slash the defense budget.” Pentagon buries evidence of $125 billion in bureaucratic waste

New York Magazine opines that the two things that prevented the US from being governed by “men who use their office to enrich themselves and their families” were “that presidential candidates release publish their tax returns” and “that presidents divest their wealth and place it in a blind trust, so that they cannot knowingly make any decision that might redound to their personal benefit.” How Republicans Justify Unlimited Trump Corruption

Politico reports that for the second time a foreign embassy will host an event at President-Elect Trump’s DC hotel. The piece refers to these as possible conflicts of interest. Embassy of Azerbaijan to co-host event at Trump's D.C. hotel

Newsweek discusses the constitutional gift acceptance ban in light of President Elect Trump’s promise to turn over his business to his children. WHY DONALD TRUMP MAY GET AWAY WITH FLOUTING THE CONSTITUTION'S GIFTS CLAUSE

TPM and Politico discuss conflict of interest questions surrounding a member of the transition team. Thiel Won't Confirm That He's Signed Trump Transition Ethics Agreement Thiel could gain from Trump transition

Foreign Policy reports that bribery is on the rise internationally. Bribery Is on the Rise Worldwide, and It Costs A Lot More Than Just Money

Next Scheduled Recess:

House: December 9-12; 19-30
Senate: December 19-30

Visit OGE's website: www.oge.gov
Follow OGE on Twitter: @OfficeGovEthics
The Senate and the House are not in session.

Legislative and Related News

Roll Call reports that the Pentagon comptroller has warned Congress that continuing to rely on stopgap spending bills will undermine U.S. forces in Syria, Iraq and Afghanistan. The article notes that lawmakers are expected to send President Obama a continuing resolution that would fund government operations at current levels through the end of March after they return from Thanksgiving break. Pentagon Warns Stopgap Spending Bill Could Hurt Terrorism Fight

Executive Branch Ethics and Related News

Politico reports on the process of vetting President-elect Trump’s nominees, including a discussion of OGE’s role in reviewing nominees’ financial disclosure reports. Inside Trump’s freewheeling vetting operation

Mondaq.com published a summary of OGE’s changes to the gift rules. United States: Office Of Government Ethics Revises Executive Branch Gift Rules


Federal Agency and Related News

Federal News Radio reports that, after a six-year freeze, agencies are getting approval to raise their limits on spending for employee awards in fiscal 2017. Feds’ bonuses to grow slightly in 2017, after 6-year freeze

Next Scheduled Recess:

House: November 18-28
Senate: November 19-27
The Senate reconvened at 10 a.m.
The Senate reconvened at 10 a.m. and began a period of morning business. The Senate is expected to consider S.2873, the ECHO Act.

The House reconvenes at 2 p.m.
The House reconvenes at 2 p.m. for legislative business.

Executive Branch Ethics and Related News

Multiple outlets discuss President-Elect Trump’s alleged conflicts of interest. Trump’s Business Tangles Rankle Democrats, Watchdog Groups Congress needs to restrict the president’s financial conflicts We May Not Know If Trump’s Foreign Business Deals Violate the Constitution HOW THE TRUMP ADMINISTRATION COULD BE A CONFLICT-RIDDEN NIGHTMARE, A CITIZEN'S GUIDE The conflict of interest for President-elect Trump that no one’s talking about Dear President-Elect Trump: Here’s How to Fix Your Conflict-of-Interest Problem The Trump conflicts of interest we can see are just the tip of the iceberg Why Corruption Matters

The Wall Street Journal questions whether President-Elect Trump’s son-in-law serving as an unpaid adviser presents conflicts of interest given his business dealings. Donald Trump Son-in-Law Jared Kushner Could Face His Own Conflict-of-Interest Questions

The National Law Review alleges that a recently issued proposal by the SEC shows that the agency has been captured by institutional investors. Is SEC’s Universal Proxy Proposal Product Of Agency Capture?

The Journal Gazette reports that a former lobbyist working on the Trump Transition Team removed himself from the government’s rolls of federal lobbyist two days before the Transition Team announced its policy on registered lobbyists. Indiana lobbyist on Trump team ends state work

Bloomberg reports that former Rep. Price was nominated to lead HHS. Trump Picks Tom Price to Lead Health and Human Services

The Washington Times reports that the majority party in Congress warned President Obama’s appointees against burrowing in. GOP warns against Obama appointees ‘burrowing in’ for career federal jobs

Next Scheduled Recess:

House: December 9-12; 19-30
Senate: December 19-30

Follow OGE on Twitter: @OfficeGovEthics
The Senate reconvened at 10 a.m.

The House reconvenes at 12 p.m.

Legislative and Related News

*Roll Call* reports that Rep. Rodney Frelinghuysen is expected to become the next chairman of the House Appropriations Committee. [Frelinghuysen Poised to Take the Gavel of House Appropriations](https://www.rollcall.com/2016/11/frelinghuysen-poised-to-take-gavel-h-appropriations-committee/)

*The Hill* reports that the chairmanship of the House Budget Committee is up for grabs now that President-elect Donald Trump has selected Rep. Tom Price to take the helm of the federal health department. [Budget chairmanship suddenly up for grabs](https://thehill.com/policy/health/330990-budget-chairmanship-suddenly-up-for-grabs)

*The Hill* reports that Senate Democrats are slamming a GOP plan to pass a continuing resolution ahead of the Dec. 9 deadline to fund the government, but stopped short of pledging to block it. [Senate Dems pan talk of short-term spending bill](https://thehill.com/press-room democrat-party/330992-senate-dems-pan-talk-of-short-term-spending-bill)

*Bloomberg BNA* reports that the updated 21st Century Cures bill may revise some financial disclosure requirements designed to prevent federally funded scientists from cozying up to drug and device companies. [New Cures Bill to Reconsider Conflict-of-Interest Rules](https://www.bna.com/new-cures-bill-to-reconsider-conflict-of-interest-rules-kzv31j7qawah19pg/)

*USA Today* reports that Sen. Ben Cardin is planning to ask his colleagues to pass a resolution that would require Donald Trump to hand over control of his businesses to someone who doesn’t have a relationship to him while he is president. [Sen. Cardin pushes resolution for Trump blind trust](https://www.usatoday.com/story/news/politics/2016/11/30/biden-pushes-for-trump-blind-trust-resolution/94530170/)

*The Hill* and *The Huffington Post* report that House Oversight Committee Chairman Jason Chaffetz brushed off questions about President-elect Donald Trump’s potential conflicts of interest with his business empire, arguing such concerns were premature before the real estate mogul takes office. [Oversight chairman downplays Trump conflict of interest concerns; The Huffington Post - Top Congressional Watchdog Uninterested In Trump’s Conflicts Of Interest Before He Takes Office](https://thehill.com/policy/finance/330978-oversight-chairman-downplays-trump-conflict-of-interest-concerns; the-huffington-post-top-congressional-watchdog-uninterested-in-trump-s-conflicts-of-interest-before-he-takes-office)

Executive Branch Ethics and Related News

*The Washington Post* reports that at least four lobbyists who are involved in the Donald Trump transition or who are being considered for a Cabinet-level position have filed paperwork in the past week and a half to terminate their status as federal lobbyists — an apparent move to comply with the president-elect’s new ban on lobbyists in the administration and transition. [Wave of lobbyist deregistrations in Trump orbit after announcement of new policy](https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/the-switch/wp/2016/11/30/wave-of-lobbyist-deregistrations-in-trump-orbit-after-announcement-of-new-policy/?utm_term=0_15/0f383dc9a4044399ab2a3bc9b699b5b7) See also, *The Wall Street Journal* - [Trump Names Mortgage Industry Lobbyist to HUD Transition Team](https://www.wsj.com/articles/trump-names-mortgage-industry-lobbyist-to-hud-transition-team-1479995549); and *Standard Examiner* - [Trump's energy-policy advisers have ties to oil industry](https://www.standardexaminer.com/news/trump%E2%80%99s-energy-policy-advisers-have-ties-to-oil-industry/article_564c3be0-7559-506a-a6e0-7070e1752637.html)

Multiple outlets report that President-elect Donald Trump announced that he will leave his “great business in total in order to fully focus on running the country” in the White House. [Politico - Trump to leave business to ‘fully focus on running](https://www.politico.com/story/2016/11/trump-business-leave-business-229600)
the country’; Bloomberg - Trump to Outline Plans for ‘Leaving My Great Business’ Dec. 15; The Washington Post - Trump announces he will leave business ‘in total’ — leaving open how he will avoid conflicts of interest; Politico - How Trump can keep his company; Talking Points Memo - Trump: I’ll Leave My Business 'In Total' To Avoid Conflict Of Interest

A piece in Government Executive opines that GSA cannot foist the challenging situation regarding the Trump Organization’s lease of the historic Post Office Pavilion on the Office of Government Ethics because “OGE is a policy shop.” GSA's Trump Hotel Lease Debacle Relatedly, multiple outlets report that the lease—in which Donald Trump would, in effect, be both landlord and tenant—presents unprecedented conflicts of interest. The Washington Times - Donald Trump would be violating D.C. hotel lease once he takes office: Report; McClatchy DC - Could Trump be forced to sell his DC hotel to keep the White House?

Federal Agency and Related News

Federal News Radio reports that President Barack Obama officially raised locality pay for federal employees by 0.6 percent, effective Jan. 1. The increase couples with the 1 percent across-the-board pay raise for all federal employees to equal the 1.6 percent of basic payroll requested in the 2017 budget. Obama authorizes locality pay raise

Next Scheduled Recess:

House: December 9-12; 19-30
Senate: December 19-30
OGE FOIA Officer
Office of Government Ethics
Suite 500
1201 New York Avenue, NW.
Washington, DC 20005-3917
email: usoge@oge.gov

To whom it may concern:

This is a request for records under the provisions of the Freedom of Information Act.

I request a copy of each email sent on November 30, 2016, to or from any of the following OGE employees, which contains the words "TWITTER" or "Tweet"

Walter M. Shaub, Jr.
Dale A. Christopher
Barbara A. Mullen-Roth
Lori Kelly
Heather A. Jones
Seth Jaffe
Elaine Newton
Vincent J. Salamone
Monica Ashar
Rachel Dowell
Leigh J. Francis
Patrick Lightfoot
Kimberly Sikora Panza
Christopher Swartz
Rodrick Johnson
Emory Rounds
James T. Cooper
Edith M. Brown
Michael Hanson
Danny Lowery
Timothy Mallon
Leonard Upson
Shelley K. Finlayson
Diana J. Veilleux
Brandon Steele
Heidi Fischer
Elizabeth Horton  
Jennifer Matis

This is a request for newsreporting purposes. I agree to pay up to $25 for applicable fees associated with this request if necessary. Please release all segregable releasable records.

Best,

--

Damian Paletta  
The Wall Street Journal.

(b)(6)
This is a request under the Freedom of Information Act. I hereby request the following records:

- All communications between any employee of OGE and: President-Elect Donald Trump, his transition team, lawyers representing President-Elect Donald Trump, his children Donald Jr., Eric, and Ivanka, and his son-in-law Jared Kushner, and lawyer's representing the aforementioned individuals, their companies, or business interests, or the individuals themselves. This request includes: emails, meeting minutes, memos, guidelines, or manuals produced to prepare for the aforementioned communications, or prepared afterwards to document such communications.

The time window for the above request is: January 2016-December 1 2016.

-I am also requesting all emails to, from, or cc-ing the following OGE officials: Walter Shaub, Matthew Marinec, Dale A. Christopher, Barbara A. Mullen-Roth, David J. Apol, Seth Jaffe, Diana Veilleux that contain the word "TRUMP".

I am requesting these records from November 13 to the present.

I request a waiver of fees associated with processing this request for records.
I am employed as a politics reporter with International Business Times,

a news media organization. Disclosure of the requested information to me is in the public interest because it is likely to contribute significantly to public understanding of the operations or activities of the government. The requested documents will be made available to the general public, and this request is not being made for commercial purposes.

In the event that fees cannot be waived, I would be grateful if you would inform me of the total charges in advance of fulfilling my request.

Thank you in advance for your anticipated cooperation in this matter. I look forward to receiving your response to this request within 20 business days, as the statute requires.

Avi Asher-Schapiro
Politics Reporter, International Business Times

(b)(6)
Dear Ms. Diana Veilleux:

Pursuant to the federal Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. § 552, I request access to and copies of all records related to a series of Twitter messages the U.S. Office of Government Ethics published on November 30, 2016, directed to the Twitter account of President-elect Donald J. Trump (@realDonaldTrump).

The requested documents include but are not limited to electronic and written correspondence and notes, such as email, cell phone text messages and handwritten notes, made or received by staff of the Office of Government Ethics staff, including Director William M. Shaub, about the Twitter messages. This includes correspondence between OGE and representatives of the President-elect and his transition team.

In addition, this request seeks copies of correspondence, notes and documents related to the public statement made in the OGE Twitter message, dated 12:57 p.m. on November 30, 2016, which states, “we told your counsel we’d sing your praises if you divested, we meant it.” This includes records of any correspondence or meetings with counsel of the President-elect in which OGE’s views on asset divestiture were discussed.

I hereby request the documents be made available in electronic format, as searchable PDFs to the extent possible, and delivered to me via email attachment.

In order to help you determine my status for the purpose of assessing fees, you should know that I am a representative of the news media, affiliated with Law360, an online legal news service that continuously publishes news that is of interest to the legal community and the broader public. This request is made as part of news gathering and is not for commercial use.

As a representative of the news media I am only required to pay for reasonable standard charges for the direct cost of document duplication. However, please waive any applicable fees. Release of the information is in the public interest because it will contribute significantly to public understanding of government operations and activities.

If my request is denied in whole or part, I ask that you justify all deletions by reference to specific exemptions of the FOIA Act. I also will expect you to release all segregable portions of otherwise exempt material. I, of course, reserve the right to appeal a decision to withhold any information or to deny a waiver of fees.

As I am making this request as a journalist and this information is of timely value, I would appreciate your communicating with me by telephone or email if you have questions regarding this request.

I can be reached by phone at (b)(6) and by email at (b)(6)

I look forward to your reply within 20 business days.

Thank you for your assistance.
Sincerely,

Ed Beeson

--

[Redacted]

[Redacted]
To whom it may concern:

This is a request for records under the provisions of the Freedom of Information Act.

I request a copy of each email sent on November 30, 2016, to or from any of the following OGE employees, which contains ANY of the following words:

TWITTER
TWEET
TWEETS
TWEETING
TWEETED

Walter M. Shaub, Jr.
Dale A. Christopher
Barbara A. Mullen-Roth
Lori Kelly
Heather A. Jones
Seth Jaffe
Elaine Newton
Vincent J. Salamone
Monica Ashar
Rachel Dowell
Leigh J. Francis
Patrick Lightfoot
Kimberly Sikora Panza
Christopher Swartz
Rodrick Johnson
Emory Rounds
James T. Cooper
Edith M. Brown
Michael Hanson
Danny Lowery
Timothy Mallon
Leonard Upson
Shelley K. Finlayson
Diana J. Veilleux
Brandon Steele
Heidi Fischer
Elizabeth Horton
Jennifer Matis

This is a request for newsreporting purposes. I agree to pay up to $25 for applicable fees associated with this request if necessary. Please release all segregable releasable records.

Thank you and all best,

Alina
December 1, 2016

OGE FOIA Officer
Office of Government Ethics
Suite 500
1201 New York Avenue, NW.
Washington, DC 20005-3917
e-mail: usoge@oge.gov

Dear OGE FOIA Officer:

This is a request for records under the provisions of the Freedom of Information Act.

I request a copy of each email sent on November 30, 2016, to or from any of the following OGE employees, which contains the word

TRUMP

Walter M. Shaub, Jr.
Dale A. Christopher
Barbara A. Mullen-Roth
Lori Kelly
Heather A. Jones
Seth Jaffe
Elaine Newton
Vincent J. Salamone
Monica Ashar
Rachel Dowell
Leigh J. Francis
Patrick Lightfoot
Kimberly Sikora Panza
Christopher Swartz
Rodrick Johnson
Emory Rounds
James T. Cooper
Edith M. Brown
Michael Hanson
Danny Lowery
Timothy Mallon
Leonard Upson
Shelley K. Finlayson
Diana J. Veilleux
Brandon Steele
Heidi Fischer
Elizabeth Horton
Jennifer Matis

This is an individual noncommercial request. I agree to pay up to $25 for applicable fees associated with this request if necessary. Please release all segregable releasable records.

Sincerely,

Michael Ravnitzky
(b)(6)
Nov. 30, 2016

OGE FOIA Officer

Office of Government Ethics

Suite 500

1201 New York Avenue, NW.

Washington, DC 20005-3917

Dear sirs and madams:

This is an official request under the Freedom of Information Act, U.S.C. 552, as amended.

I am writing to request all emails transmitted on Nov. 30, 2016 to or from the following employees of the U.S. Office of Government Ethics that contain the word Twitter or the words tweet, tweets or tweeted:

Walter M. Shaub, Jr.
Dale A. Christopher
Barbara A. Mullen-Roth
Lori Kelly
Heather A. Jones
Seth Jaffe
Elaine Newton
Vincent J. Salamone
Monica Ashar
Rachel Dowell
Leigh J. Francis
Patrick Lightfoot
Kimberly Sikora Panza
Christopher Swartz
Rodrick Johnson
Emory Rounds
James T. Cooper
Should some documents be approved for release while others are being withheld, I request that the documents be made available on a piecemeal basis. If any document is redacted, I request that you cite the applicable exemption in the FOIA law being used to withhold that information.

Further, I request that all documents be delivered to me electronically, via email. If this is not possible, I request a phone call to advise where and when I can pick up a CD containing the requested information.

I’d also request expedited processing, given that there is a compelling public interest in the public learning the validity or legitimacy of certain messages that went out over a Twitter account purportedly belonging to the Office of Government Ethics on Nov. 30, 2016. As a Washington correspondent for the nation’s third largest newspaper group, I am primarily engaged in disseminating information to the public.

In the event that this request results in research or copying, McClatchy Newspapers requests a public interest fee waiver because the material being sought is likely to be used in a newspaper story. However, I am willing to pay up to $25 in fees, if necessary. The requested material is not being sought primarily because it is in McClatchy’s commercial interest. With 28 daily newspapers and a news service that serves hundreds of other media outlets, McClatchy easily qualifies as acting in the public interest.

Your office may require public records requesters to commit to paying fees. However, we at McClatchy feel strongly that a public interest fee waiver should prevail in this instance. If your office contests this view, I’d be happy to discuss it with you.

If you have questions, you may reach me at (b)(6).

Sincerely,
Gregory Gordon
National correspondent
McClatchy Newspapers

(b)(6)

Our 29 daily newspapers include the Miami Herald, Sacramento Bee, Ft. Worth Star-Telegram, Kansas City Star, Charlotte Observer, Raleigh News & Observer and others.
Dear FOIA Officer:

This is a request under the Freedom of Information Act. I hereby request copies of the following records:

Records showing correspondence dated November 8, 2016-present from OGE staff regarding concerns about conflicts of interest between President-elect Donald Trump’s business empire and the office of the presidency, including but not limited to the President-elect’s Nov. 30 tweets that he plans to leave his businesses.

As the FOIA requires, please release all reasonably segregable nonexempt portions of documents.

In order to help to determine my status to assess fees, you should know that I am a representative of the news media affiliated with the Talking Points Memo news website and this request is made as part of news gathering activity and not for commercial use. I request a waiver of all fees for this request. Disclosure of the requested information to me is in the public interest because it is likely to contribute significantly to public understanding of how the President-elect may address concerns about conflicts of interest between his business empire and the office of the presidency.

I also request expedited processing. The records requested herein are urgently needed to inform the debate over how the President-elect may resolve conflicts of interest before assuming office, which has become a matter of widespread and exceptional media interest.

If you have any questions regarding this request, please contact me at [b](6) and/or [b](6) and I look forward to receiving your response within the twenty day statutory time period. Thank you for your consideration of this request.

Sincerely,

Esme Cribb
Talking Points Memo
To whom it may concern:

This is a request for records under the provisions of the Freedom of Information Act. I am also attaching a .pdf version of this request to this email.

I request a copy of each email sent on November 29, 2016 or November 30, 2016, to or from any of the following OGE employees, which contains the word “TWITTER,” “TWEET,” or “TRUMP”:

Walter M. Shaub, Jr.
Dale A. Christopher
Barbara A. Mullen-Roth
Lori Kelly
Heather A. Jones
Seth Jaffe
Elaine Newton
Vincent J. Salamone
Monica Ashar
Rachel Dowell
Leigh J. Francis
Patrick Lightfoot
Kimberly Sikora Panza
Christopher Swartz
Rodrick Johnson
Emory Rounds
James T. Cooper
Edith M. Brown
Michael Hanson
Danny Lowery
Timothy Mallon
Leonard Upson
Shelley K. Finlayson
Diana J. Veilleux
Brandon Steele
Heidi Fischer
Elizabeth Horton
Jennifer Matis

This is a request for news-reporting purposes. I agree to pay up to $25 for applicable fees associated with this request if necessary. Please release all segregable releasable records.

Sincerely,
Hi! I work in Twitter's DC office and I wanted to quickly reach out to confirm the activity from your Twitter account today. Thanks!

--
Jared Benoff

Public Policy | Twitter

Follow me at @jbenoff
Hi,

Are people serving on the President's cabinet generally required to resign from the Boards of companies (public or private)?

Are they required to sell shares?

--

Austen Hufford
The Wall Street Journal
Hello can you explain the tweets on your account praising President-Elect Donald Trump for divesting his business interests? Was your account hacked?

Thanks,

Maggie Dore

Producer, CBS Evening News
Hey all,

Hoping to ask some quick questions about certificates of divestiture. Please call if you can. Thanks.

Drew Harwell

Business writer

The Washington Post
Walter M. Shaub, Jr.:

I am beyond concerned over the series of tweets issued by this office earlier today regarding president-elect Donald Trump's non-commitment to extract himself from his many businesses. As the major office tasked solely with the responsibility to uncover and prevent conflicts of interest in government agencies and elected officials, we expect that the actions of this office would be impartial and not be motivated by personal gain or political preferences.

What exactly was the intent of these bizarre tweets? What could they possibly accomplish? I fear that you have damaged this office's integrity and have added doubt in the minds of this country's citizens that you will be impartial and effective over the next 4 years. If we cannot trust in, and rely on, the Office of Government Ethics to behave ethically, we are all lost.

I implore you to reread the tenets and purpose of the OGE to recommit yourself and your staff to ensure that your personal feelings and leanings take a backseat to the needs of this country and its' citizens.

Very truly yours,
What is going on in the OGE office. Thought you are suppose to be non partisan!!!!!!
Who tweeted trump with the tweets. CONFLICT OF INTEREST?????

Sent from my iPad
Office of Government Ethics:

I have an Ethics Complaint against former United States Attorney General Eric Holder and other officials within the United States Department of Justice and it has been swept under the rug by the Office of Inspector General. Please review the attachments which demonstrate corruption and misconduct within the Department of Justice. The DOJ has failed to pursue a $10 billion Medicare Fraud case against Johnson & Johnson because of misconduct and conflict of interest on the part of former Attorney general Eric Holder, who use to represent J&J. Please let me know if you would like to receive the documents which support each aspect of what I have said. If this is not something your organization would be interested in pursuing, please let me know if there are other organizations I should contact. Thank you very much.
Please advise if indeed a rep of your office made the statements concerning Trump’s intended divestiture on Twitter.

Thank you,

__(b)(6)__

≌ aèbÇ∑
You claim to be a non-partisan agency of the U.S. Government, yet you praise Donald Trump's announcement with a series of tweets. Another reason for the citizens to have no trust in government agencies. How much did Trump pay you or what promise was made for future employment in order for you to make these proclamations? Disgusting and probably unethical!

Sent from my iPhone
This country is in serious trouble when our government offices carry on tweet conversations just to stroke Trump's ego. We have lost our moral compass and it will result in the end of our democracy. Disgusting!
I hope you are seriously looking into the conflict of interests Mr. Trump has with his enormous businesses & holdings. He needs to be held accountable..

Thank you,

Sent from my iPhone
Dear Sir or Madam,

Why is the Trump family still using the presidency to highlight their business dealings; I understand they have taken down their President elect web page highlighting their businesses around the world. The issue should have been addressed on November 9th or no later than the 10th that Donald Trump’s businesses had to be placed into a blind trust, limiting not just himself but his family from the conflict. The General Services Administration of the US government is his landlord of his Washington hotel and his business has file legal papers to reduce the value of this property to reduce his tax burden. My hourly wage rate is in the range of low income I would like to pay nothing in local, state, and federal tax but I can not afford to hire a tax lawyer like President elect Trump. I would quote Mr. Trump’s campaign promises but they change constantly, so maybe he didn’t mean he would drain the Washington swamp but use it to expand his wealth and that of his children. Mr. Trump has a labor dispute in Las Vegas so what would stop him from appointing someone to the NLRB that would serve his financial interest as well. President elect Trump’s families asset must be placed into a blind trust.

Concerned citizen, [b](6)
To Whom it May Concern,

I am very concerned about the upholding Government transparency and preventing Conflict of Interest in the for President Elect Trump and his appointments -

Please let me know this rules will be enforced and how to support this effort as an engaged citizen.

Sincerely,

OGE Finalizes Updates to Rules Applicable to the Executive Branch Ethics Program

November 2, 2016

On November 2, 2016, OGE published a final rule amending its regulations that govern the Executive Branch Ethics Program, which are found at 5 C.F.R. part 2638. The rule is a comprehensive revision that draws upon the collective experience of agency ethics officials across the executive branch and OGE as the supervising ethics office. It is effective January 1, 2017.

The rule reflects extensive input from the executive branch ethics community and the federal inspector general community, as well as OGE’s consultation with the Department of Justice and the Office of Personnel Management pursuant to 5 U.S.C. app. 402(b)(1). The rule defines and describes the program, delineates the responsibilities of various stakeholders, and enumerates key executive branch ethics procedures.

Hello:

I am a concerned American citizen who is watching the Trump administration violate ethics, conflict of interest laws and nepotism. What is the office of Ethics doing to protect the public? What watch-list can the public view to ensure, unethical behavior is NOT going on behind closed doors?

I do not trust Donald Trump or anything he does, all we have to do is observe the unethical and bullying behavior allowed in his business dealings to get what he wanted for his own personal gain.

Please advise who, what, is being done to protect the people.
Kelsey D. Phipps

From: [b](6)  Contact OGE
Sent: Wednesday, November 30, 2016 7:48 PM
To: Contact OGE
Subject: Ethics concerns - Donald Trump

Hello,

I was very surprised and disappointed to see your office post premature praise on Donald Trump before he has actually taken any action to divest himself from his businesses.

The entanglements he has with his overseas investments and his families involvement in both his administration and his businesses are a gross and egregious conflict of interest.

So far, Donald Trump has flip flopped on his positions and doesn't appear to be following through on his commitments so I think in this case praise is certainly premature and not warranted.

I hope the Office of Government Ethics chooses to hold Donald to ethical standards. He shouldn't be praised for approximating something that resembles an ethical decision without the follow through.


Sincerely,

[b](6)
US OGE,
I have no idea what you department is, but assume it's paid for with US tax dollars.
Why in the world would you praise Donald J Trump for divesting his businesses - when he has not said or done this?
Have you not been paying attention?
This is BS.
Walter M. Shaub, Jr.:

I am beyond concerned over the series of tweets issued by this office earlier today regarding president-elect Donald Trump's non-commitment to extract himself from his many businesses. As the major office tasked solely with the responsibility to uncover and prevent conflicts of interest in government agencies and elected officials, we expect that the actions of this office would be impartial and not be motivated by personal gain or political preferences.

What exactly was the intent of these bizarre tweets? What could they possibly accomplish? I fear that you have damaged this office's integrity and have added doubt in the minds of this country's citizens that you will be impartial and effective over the next 4 years. If we cannot trust in, and rely on, the Office of Government Ethics to behave ethically, we are all lost.

I implore you to reread the tenets and purpose of the OGE to recommit yourself and your staff to ensure that your personal feelings and leanings take a backseat to the needs of this country and its' citizens.

Very truly yours,
What is going on in the OGE office. Thought you are suppose to be non partisan!!!!!!
Who tweeted trump with the tweets. CONFLICT OF INTEREST?????

Sent from my iPad
You claim to be a non-partisan agency of the U.S. Government, yet you praise Donald Trump's announcement with a series of tweets. Another reason for the citizens to have no trust in government agencies. How much did Trump pay you or what promise was made for future employment in order for you to make these proclamations? Disgusting and probably unethical!

Sent from my iPhone
This country is in serious trouble when our government offices carry on tweet conversations just to stroke Trump's ego. We have lost our moral compass and it will result in the end of our democracy. Disgusting!
Just a quick note to congratulate you on the wonderful idea of fighting the "Trumpster Fire" with your own fire. Keep up the amazing work!

Regards,
What the heck is going on in the Office of Government Ethics????????????

As an American citizen I expected your office to conduct yourselves like a professional department. Your seven tweets to President elect Trump this morning were appalling. Bravo? Brilliant? First of all, Donald Trump laid out NO specifics as to how he was going to handle his extreme conflict of interest. He has 111 business enterprises in 18 different countries.

Apparently you think it is OK for the President elect to invite foreign dignitaries from Bahrain to stay at his hotel in DC. Apparently you think it is OK for the President elect to petition UK officials to interfere in wind turbines being established in his golf course in Scotland. He will directly benefit from these breeches. Have you not heard of the Emolument clause of the Constitution? Educate yourselves before you tweet. Try & figure out why it is not OK for the President elect to be selling suites at his hotels to foreign government officials.

Pull yourselves together. You are an embarrassment to this country. I am stunned at your lack of unprofessional behavior. Tweeting? You have been compromised & your department has lost a tremendous amount of respect & dignity.

You are supposed to be setting an example. Instead, you spend valuable time tweeting nonsense back & forth.

Are you all on crack? Are you drunk before lunch? Is someone baking marijuana brownies that you are all gobbling while you stand around the water cooler trying to be witty? You are a disgrace to this country.
Open Letter To the Director;

As a former government attorney who has worked in and around government for more than four decades, I have struggled today to come up with any possible explanation for the totally inappropriate and unprofessional communications which you permitted to be issued by your office regarding the Trump matter.

I always want to give those of us in government the benefit of the doubt that actions taken are in the best interests of the government and so the people.

You failed in meeting that test, and so have tarnished not only your professional standing but as well the reputation of your office and the people in it who everyday work to enhance the people’s view of government.

It does not matter in the slightest that the actions announced by the President-elect had the appearance of following earlier urgings of your office. What resulted was the appearance of pandering on the very subject that you are charged with enforcing.

OGE communications today have totally undermined your capacity to carry out the responsibilities of your office.

There is only one honorable action that you can now take to restore the necessary independence, credibility, and reputation of the OGE.
Dear Mr. Shaub,

The tweets sent by your office today raise serious questions about the OGE's ability to function as anything more than a propaganda organ for the incoming regime. For example, this tweet:

".@realDonaldTrump Bravo! Only way to resolve these conflicts of interest is to divest. Good call!"

Given that Trump announced no such plan to divest, this tweet appears to be a blatant effort to paint Trump's statement in a falsely positive light.

I request that your office immediately delete your twitter account and conduct an internal investigation into the source of today's disturbing tweets. The OGE ought to promote government ethics, not provide cover for unethical public officials.

Sincerely,
Very proud of our swamp dwelling non-partisan ethics department for heaping praise upon the President elect for a totally empty non-committal statement. So tell me, who does protect the American citizens?

What another sad day for our country, no matter who anyone voted for.
The tweets from your office congratulating the President-Elect are bizarre and disturbing. They're also completely misleading given that he's not really divesting.

It's just...wow. Loss for words. Irresponsible and horrifying. You are giving this craven man public validation when he will get rid of you all the very first chance he gets.

For shame.
--
Mobile, please excuse typos and brevity.
Mr Shanb,

As a citizen I was appalled by your tweeting Donald Trump. How could the office in charge of ethics behave in such an unethical manner?

It was unseemly and unprofessional and served to undermine confidence in your ability to hold the President-elect to account.

What a sad day for our democracy.

Sincerely,

This message may contain confidential or proprietary information intended only for the use of the addressee(s) named above or may contain information that is legally privileged. If you are not the intended addressee, or the person responsible for delivering it to the intended addressee, you are hereby notified that reading, disseminating, distributing or copying this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message by mistake, please immediately notify us by replying to the message and delete the original message and any copies immediately thereafter.
Hello,

I am a 69 year old retired woman living in Washington state. I just saw your offices' tweets to Donald Trump. Such tweeting is VERY unprofessional behavior for any government office. Additionally, the content and tone of your tweets are childish, seemingly meant for a child. I am simply appalled. I cannot believe my taxpayer dollars are spent to support such a substandard and foolish behavior. Furthermore, the topics in the tweets has only been verbalized by Donald Trump, no actual steps taken to achieve or finalize such. Your tweets appear partisan and afraid of disappointing a child.
How can your office be so effusive in tweets about Donald Trump’s tweets without even knowing any details?! This makes me doubt the integrity of the work you do and should be doing to keep conflicts of interest from influencing our executive branch.

Sent from my iPhone
I can't tell you how embarrassed I am for having a government agency sending out tweet after tweet in such a humiliating manner. What is wrong with your office? Who is working there and approving this nonsense? Trump does not need to get gold stars for his tweets which actually didn't say anything about a divestiture. Are you going to hold his hand the whole time during his presidency? Are you going to give him trophies and participation certificates for doing nothing? Is your office full of millennials who spent their childhoods receiving praise for the smallest of acts? Are you going to reward him if he goes poo poo in the potty?

--

(b)(6)
Mr. Shaub Jr.: You are supposed to be the director of government ethics, and you, this morning, went tweeting telling Trump “Bravo” and how great it was that Trump was “divesting his business” when he is in no way divesting or selling or putting in a blind trust any of his assets. What the f*** do you think you’re doing? Are you trying to be rehired by Trump to be the director of government ethics when you have NO ETHICS? It is your job to do your best to make sure that person’s or groups’ behavior is appropriate in our government.

You are to have moral principles, and that is one thing, for sure, that you do NOT have.

Trump hasn’t divested or put any businesses into blind trusts, like he keeps saying he will, but he won’t. But, you praise him for doing this? He’s not going to do anything like what you magnanimously applauded him for. You are disgusting, amoral Trumpet. You suck and should be removed from office. I hope Trump sees into your repulsive, nauseating, vomitus tweets to kiss HIS ass. You suck,
Your Twitter account? What an embarrassment for our country. Unbelievable. I hope the director is forced to resign.
November 30, 2016

Ann M. Ravel, Chair
Federal Elections Commission
999 E. Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20463

Dear Ann M. Ravel, Chair

I appreciate you taking this time to listen to my concerns,

As an American, I am deeply disturbed by the election of a man, who does not embody the ideas of our nation. Donald Trump has no experience in politics at any level. He has espoused a divisive and hateful beliefs, that are not in alignment with Constitutional protection of all Americans. Furthermore, he has already appointed cabinet members who hold viewpoints that are racist and misogynist or who have no experience to hold the posts to which they are appointed. Hate crimes, sexual assaults on women have already sharply risen since election day. Trump also exhibits a conflict of interest, with his business dealings, as well as the unethical interactions with Russia. Clearly, Donald Trump's election is a threat to America.

Election Results: SERIOUSLY FLAWED and POSSIBLY ILLEGAL

Voter fraud was clearly a major part of the results of this election. Let's not forget poll watchers stationed at polling stations with guns and making threatening statements to reduce possible
democratic voters, at Trump's urging.

We cannot forget to look at outside interference of Russia, who hacked the DNC and the Clinton campaign official emails, which were then leaked through Wikileaks by a man known as Julian Assange. He has since come out saying both Russia and Wikileaks were in collusion with Trump, to destroy the Clinton campaign and is asking for a pardon once Trump takes office.

Russia has been connected to multiple fake post on social media, stating that Clinton was going to jail over a variety of fake allegations. This further hurt the Clinton campaign. Its clear who Putin wanted in office.

Another factor in the election come from our own FBI who is rumored to be a Trump fan. Comey's constant leaking of information right before the primaries and his inappropriate statement on why he found no reason to bring charges, was more appropriate for an official within our FBI agency and clearly hurt her campaign and gave Trump more fuel to use against Clinton.

The final nail in the coffin with Comey was the news of 650,000 emails that were found on Anthony Wiener's laptop (a sex offender) just 11 days before the election while early voting was already in progress. This tactic was done clearly to further suppressed voting. Comey clearly violated the "Hatch ACT" which should render the election total invalid. During this time Trumps polling numbers had plummet, due to the ACCESS HOLLYWOOD tape, that showed him bragging about sexual assault. He thanked Wiener for re-energizing his campaign. Two days before the email findings ex-Mayor Rudy Guiliani a Trump surrogate, announced on CNN an upcoming bombshell would change the trajectory of the race. (Guiliani had inside knowledge of the leaks). Also, Trumps former - campaign manager Cory Lewandowski publicly admitted the Comey letter helped Trump win.

Comey then followed this violation with yet another interference into the presidential race. He decided in a late-night statement with the findings of those 650,000 emails in which he determined that Clinton emails did not change his original findings, which didn't clear her but only further denigrated his trust worthiness and concerns to vote for her. Comey opted to make this announcement on a Sunday during the football games, so many, never heard the statement. Just two days before the election, which opened yet another opportunity for Trump. "Lock her up - Lock her up" chants!

We must also blame the media for their coverage of Trump. He was treated not as a candidate for president, but as entertainment which minimized all the horrible things he said as comedy as opposed to inappropriate statements that would disqualify anyone else seeking the office of the Presidency. News Reports of Clinton emails was a false equivalence to Trumps daily disregard for the rules of law. And now thinks he's above the law.

Trump cannot and should not be President because of his history of illegal activities, he has engaged in, over his business career. Scamming small businesses, selling phony stock, taking money for condos that he never built. Just settled a fraud case for taking money for a fake University. Engaging in sexual activity with a minor, his numerous threats to suit, his cruel and unstable behavior. Those are only a few reasons, he should never take office.

Hillary Clinton she is what the country needs during this violate time in America. She clearly won the popular vote and should have won the election, if she had not been knocked down time and time again by foreign actors, media misrepresentation, illegal contribution acts and our own governmental agency.

Hillary Clinton who's highly qualified, a wealth of experience and knowledge and who played by the
rules.

versus:

Donald Trump who's unqualified, has no experience in government nor does he know how the government actually works. He has LIED, CHEATED, and BULLIED his way to the Presidency. This man has managed to break all the rules and norms in this campaign. If this man was any other candidate, he would have been disqualified on day one. IS THIS MAN ABOVE THE LAW?

This is by far, enough evidence of an unfair and unjust election, that the "Federal Elections Committee" should call for an unprecedented VOTE "DO-OVER". Declare the results of the Nov. 8th election void and schedule a new one. Otherwise, this sets a dangerous precedent that could upend our DEMOCRACY!

So, my question is, how do we as voters, accept election results that's RIGGED?

How can we TRUST and have FAITH in a system that tolerates CORRUPTION and INJUSTICE.

Is the system RIGGED against the little guy?

Please Respond

Thank you for your time and consideration, I appreciate and respect your role as Chair.

Sincerely,
As a concerned US citizen I want to say BRAVO for your tweets re: Trump's divestiture!

To quote Trevor Noah, he has "the mind of a toddler". So he will need close watching by OGE and all citizens!

THANKS!!!!
Lots and lots of !!! (smile)
Thank you for tweeting that Drumpf should divest! Keep after this non-transparent, conflict of interest, unethical American!

Thank you! This is not a normal transition and we should not buy into this facade by Trump and his family!!

Sent from my iPhone
Hello:


Clearly that is an ethical infringement on the part of the Ethics Office.

My first questions are straightforward and simple:

When will the retraction occur?

Where and how will the retraction occur?

What will be the disciplinary action for this ethical infringement be by the Ethics Office?

But the second question is more complicated:

What is the reasoning and justification for the impartial support you have shown to Mr. Trump?

[GRAYMAIL] Retraction?
Just a quick note to congratulate you on the wonderful idea of fighting the "Trumpster Fire" with your own fire. Keep up the amazing work!

Regards,
What the heck is going on in the Office of Government Ethics????????????????

As an American citizen I expected your office to conduct yourselves like a professional department. Your seven tweets to President elect Trump this morning were appalling. Bravo? Brilliant? First of all, Donald Trump laid out NO specifics as to how he was going to handle his extreme conflict of interest. He has 111 business enterprises in 18 different countries.

Apparently you think it is OK for the President elect to invite foreign dignitaries from Bahrain to stay at his hotel in DC. Apparently you think it is OK for the President elect to petition UK officials to interfere in wind turbines being established in his golf course in Scotland. He will directly benefit from these breeches. Have you not heard of the Emolument clause of the Constitution? Educate yourselves before you tweet. Try & figure out why it is not OK for the President elect to be selling suites at his hotels to foreign government officials.

Pull yourselves together. You are an embarrassment to this country. I am stunned at your lack of unprofessional behavior. Tweeting? You have been compromised & your department has lost a tremendous amount of respect & dignity.

You are supposed to be setting an example. Instead, you spend valuable time tweeting nonsense back & forth.

Are you all on crack? Are you drunk before lunch? Is someone baking marijuana brownies that you are all gobbling while you stand around the water cooler trying to be witty? You are a disgrace to this country.
Open Letter To the Director;

As a former government attorney who has worked in and around government for more than four decades, I have struggled today to come up with any possible explanation for the totally inappropriate and unprofessional communications which you permitted to be issued by your office regarding the Trump matter.

I always want to give those of us in government the benefit of the doubt that actions taken are in the best interests of the government and so the people.

You failed in meeting that test, and so have tarnished not only your professional standing but as well the reputation of your office and the people in it who everyday work to enhance the people’s view of government.

It does not matter in the slightest that the actions announced by the President-elect had the appearance of following earlier urgings of your office. What resulted was the appearance of pandering on the very subject that you are charged with enforcing.

OGE communications today have totally undermined your capacity to carry out the responsibilities of your office.

There is only one honorable action that you can now take to restore the necessary independence, credibility, and reputation of the OGE.
Good afternoon,

I imagine you're getting this question a lot, but just wanted to double check to make sure your Twitter account hasn't been hacked: https://twitter.com/OfficeGovEthics/status/804021427565395969

Assuming it hasn't been, I wanted to give you guys a chance to elaborate (at the risk of taking all the fun out of this!). Given Mr. Trump has yet to fill in the many blanks in his divestiture plan, are you all just taking him at his word that it will succeed at removing conflicts of interest between the Trump Organization and the Trump administration?

Cheers,

JV

--

Josh Voorhees
Senior Writer | Slate.com
Hello,

I’m a reporter with Voice of America in Washington. For a story on President-elect Trump’s business interests, I have a question about his most recent form 278e: What period does it cover? Is the income listed in the form for the calendar or fiscal year 2015 or another period?

Would greatly appreciate it if you could provide an answer in writing by 4pm today.

Thanks,

Masood Farivar
Voice of America
From: [b)(6)
Sent: Thursday, December 01, 2016 2:27 AM
To: Contact OGE
Subject: Narsaccistic Trump

OGE is the last legal vestige we have as American Citizens to obtain Trump's legal signature on proper legal documents to sign his financial business to a Blind Trust before he takes Executive Office of Presidency of US. If OGE does not stand up for us as US citizens, Trump may sell our country to foreign countries as his private business real estate as we sleep or look the other way. How can this be happening?? Please protect our freedom of democracy. Reply if possible.
Hello,

I am a producer with NPR’s All Things Considered. We are interested in speaking Director Shaub. The interview would be pre-recorded and no longer than 20 mins. Would the Director be available to speak with us next week?

Best,

Fatma
Hello Seth, et al,

I lead the government affairs team at Medium and was hoping to connect with you or someone from the communications or digital team. I imagine you may have been getting a flurry of messages since the agency's tweets yesterday.

Medium is a social publishing platform used by a number of government leaders and agencies including POTUS, VPOTUS, The White House, State, and many other departments, leaders, and Members of Congress.

The Trump transition team is also using Medium before they assume control over the White House Medium assets.

I wanted to see if you, Director Shaub, and/or another voice from OGE might be interested in using Medium to share more about how the agency recommends President-elect Trump proceed to avoid and ethical conflicts between his businesses and the presidency--or see if there is something else the office would like to communicate to the public.

I would be happy to help you and the agency understand on-platform best practices and we would be able to help promote anything you wanted to publish to help reach the widest audience possible. If any of this is interesting to you or the agency, I'm very willing to chat further at your convenience.

Cheers,
Matt
---

Kelsey D. Phipps
Dear Mr. Shaub,

The tweets sent by your office today raise serious questions about the OGE's ability to function as anything more than a propaganda organ for the incoming regime. For example, this tweet:

```
@realDonaldTrump Bravo! Only way to resolve these conflicts of interest is to divest. Good call!
```

— U.S. OGE (@OfficeGovEthics)
https://twitter.com/OfficeGovEthics/status/804020925171646464
November 30, 2016

"@realDonaldTrump Bravo! Only way to resolve these conflicts of interest is to divest. Good call!"

Given that Trump announced no such plan to divest, this tweet appears to be a blatant effort to paint Trump's statement in a falsely positive light.

I request that your office immediately delete your twitter account and conduct an internal investigation into the source of today's disturbing tweets. The OGE ought to promote government ethics, not provide cover for unethical public officials.

Sincerely,

[Redacted]
Hi there,

Could I get a confirmation on whether the tweets sent out today regarding Donald Trump's business and potential divestment were sent by OGE, and any statement you might have on the matter?

Thanks,
Peter

--
Peter Schroeder
The Hill

Visit The Hill's Finance page at:
http://thehill.com/policy/finance
I am doing preparation for a legal commentary on this subject for Monday, December 5th, on WMAL RADIO and would like to discuss the process that was utilized to send out the OGE tweets on the above subject. I am looking for the process, who decided to do this, what is the historical precedent for such tweets, what other presidents-elect have received such tweets, etc. I am at (b)(6). Thank you for your assistance. Joseph E. diGenova
Why did you praise Trump for divesting when he never said he would. What will you do if he doesn’t follow through?

Sincerely,

Confidentiality Notice: This e-mail message, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s), belongs to the sender and contains confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or the taking of any action in reliance on the contents of this information, is strictly prohibited. If you receive this e-mail in error, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.
Dear OGE

Thank you so much for “tweeting” your encouragement of President-elect Trump taking action to divest himself of his many and opaque business dealings in order to avoid a true conflict of interest during his presidency. This has weighed heavy on my mind since his election and I would hate to think anyone would use the highest office in the land to increase their already legendary wealth, or that of their family and friends.

So thank you for “being on the job.” I appreciate you!

Sincerely

California
I don’t know if it helps to write you. I assume you will do what is ethically correct in any matter. I wanted to let you know that I would very much like you not to take the pressure off the president elect to divest himself of his business interests in a real way - not a pretend way. I also hope that the pressure to provide his taxes for public review will continue full force. How do we know what and where his business interests are if he continues to hide them by not providing his tax returns. I don’t know that you will be able to get anywhere with the president elect, but i would feel so much better if I knew that you would not give up.

If there is anything that I as a citizen can do in this matter that would help, please tell me.

Sincerely,
Hello I think that Mr. Trump calling Taiwan was for business purposes. Trump wants to build a chain of luxury hotels there. This is a conflict of interest in the fact. Whether or not he gives his children the business. This is seen as as pay to play scheme. Trump will get his business back in 4 years maybe 8. With his business new dealings that he used his presidential powers to procure. Another conflict of interest is the pyramid scheme he is creating in the departments of Treasury and Commerce. Which could help his business empire. Also his tax plan was manufactured to help him and his companies.

I think that Mr. Trump is unqualified to be President. Mr. Trump ran a campaign of hate and bigotry. With Trump's picks as cabinet members I think they will make policies. That will be unconstitutional. I think that he has already violated the constitution by his bigotry towards Muslims, and their rights to freedom of religion. Trump's running mate Mike Pence has made laws in the past discrimination of LGBTQ community. Which violates Americans civil rights and the Discrimination Act. Bannon runs the alt - right propaganda site known as Breitbart. Which caters to racist. Other people in his cabinet are islamaphobic, homophobic, and white supremacist. According to the constitution a president has to meet certain criteria to be able to be fit and qualifications to be President. I think Donald Trump has already proven that he is unfit to serve as president of the United States of America.

thank you for your time and services to keep the government accountable for their actions.
To Whom It May Concern:

I’m a reporter with The Daily Beast and I was interested in speaking with someone about the lease agreement between the GSA and the Trump Organization for the Old Post Office Building. Let me know when you get a chance. Thanks so much!

Best,

Gideon Resnick
From: Ty Cooper
Sent: Wednesday, November 30, 2016 4:47 PM
To: Contact OGE
Subject: Public Inquiry
Importance: High

Holding the front desk phone, I received a call from [b][e] of Seattle.[b][e]

Question: What is OGE planning to do to protect the American people from fraud, waste, and abuse by the Trump administration?

Best regards,

Ty Cooper, CISSP, CSCP
Chief Information Officer
US Office of Government Ethics
1201 New York Avenue NW, Suite 500
Washington DC 20005
(202) 482-9226 (desk)
(202) 905-3402 (cell)
ty.cooper@oge.gov

Keep calm and carry on.

Visit OGE's website: www.oge.gov
Follow OGE on Twitter: @OfficeGovEthics
Hi Vincent,

I’m a reporter covering the Labor Department a newbie to government ethics law. Any way you or somebody else at OGE could help me this afternoon for an article on potential Trump labor secretary Andrew Puzder and whether DOL investigations of his restaurants pose a conflict of interests if he’s appointed?

Thanks.

Ben Penn

Reporter, Daily Labor Report

Bloomberg BNA
Hello —

I’ve been reading OGE’s tweets today about Donald Trump’s investments, and I’d like to confirm that the tweets are indeed coming from OGE — i.e., your account hasn’t been hacked.

If these tweets are genuine, I’d like to know if OGE has either been in contact or plans to be in contact with the Trump transition team regarding President-elect Trump’s business investments and potential conflicts of interest. If so, what has your message to the transition team been?

Thanks very much. Please respond as soon as possible — phone and email both fine.

Best,

Dave Levinthal
Senior political reporter
Hi. I'm a senior writer at The Wall Street Journal. Can you tell me when you expect financial disclosure forms and other ethics documents to be available for President-Elect Trump's nominees? I'm specifically interested in the following individuals and how to obtain the documents they're required to file:

Mike Flynn (national security adviser)
KT McFarland (deputy national security adviser)
Mike Pompeo (CIA director)
Jeff Sessions (Attorney General)

I'd also be interested in getting the same forms for other positions as they become available.

Thanks!

Shane
Hi Vincent,

I hope this finds you well. President-elect Trump announced his plans to appoint General Mattis to be Secretary of Defense. Public records indicate he **owns** over $700,000 in General Dynamics stocks—one of the Pentagon's largest contractors.

I am wondering if he will be required to divest from those holdings, given the conflicts definition outlined in 18 U.S.C. § 208(a).

Thank you,

Avi

---

Avi Asher-Schapiro | Politics Reporter
Re my email dated 11/29/2016
After seeing the recent email from OGE to Mr Trump, I offer my most humble apologies to every member of your organization. I realize that everyone is doing their best but we are all trying to deal with unusual circumstances and people that we hope not to ever meet again in this lifetime.
Thank you

Sent from my iPad

On Nov 29, 2016, at 5:35 PM, "Contact OGE" <contactoge@oge.gov> wrote:

Thank you,

Contact OGE

-----Original Message-----
From: Catherine [b](6)  
Sent: Tuesday, November 29, 2016 2:51 PM 
To: Contact OGE 
Subject: Presidentelect Trump's conflicts of interests 

I do not know if I am contacting the government agency that is or should be dealing with this but as a citizen I am very concerned that these conflicts be resolved before the inaugural of President Trump. I think that as a responsible citizen I am obligated to speak out against the lack of action but I am hoping that everything is being done. If nothing is done, it will be a sad day for America.

Sent from my iPad
the employee or agent responsible for delivering the transmission to the intended recipient, you
are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, copying or use of this email or its
contents is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please notify the sender by
responding to the email and then immediately delete the email.
Hi Emily – another contact is Leigh Francis (also cc’d). Apparently the Twitter account is not compromised. - Tim

---

Thank you Tim! Seth, I just left you a voicemail. Please give me a call as soon as you can at [redacted].

I appreciate it.

Emily

---

Hi Emily – please contact Seth Jaffe (cc’d) - Tim

---

Hi Timothy,
Thanks for taking my call. Any information you can shed on what's going on with the OGE Twitter account would be greatly appreciated. Again, I want to make sure we--and the rest of the press--don't wrongly report on official tweets that are not sanctioned by the Office of Government Ethics. If you can point me to the person in charge of the Twitter account, I would be happy to follow up with them directly.

Thanks,
Emily

Emily Dreyfuss
National Affairs Writer
WIRED

OGE Confidential Notice: This email, including all attachments, may constitute a Federal record or other Government property that is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This email also may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise protected from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient or the employee or agent responsible for delivering the transmission to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, copying or use of this email or its contents is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please notify the sender by responding to the email and then immediately delete the email.
Also, was this one staffer’s lone wolf effort or the opinion of the office as a whole? Thanks!

On Wed, Nov 30, 2016 at 1:23 PM, Katie Watson wrote:
Hi Vincent or whoever gets this,

I just wanted to verify that the recent string of tweets was indeed sent by your office, and also check to see whether Trump has officially communicated with your office that he will be divesting.

https://twitter.com/OfficeGovEthics?lang=en

Trying to write this as quickly as possible.

Thank you!

--
Kathryn (Katie) Watson
Investigative Reporter
Daily Caller News Foundation
Ethics Committee,

There are many unanswered questions on Donald Trump. President elect, and there should be an Ethics Committee to get answers if our Constitution says there are checks and balances. At this point until all questions are answered then there needs to be a new election. This is too important of a position to wave it off. During Mr. Trumps campaign he said many things and then after the election he has reneged on them. One he specifically said and many senior citizens voted for him because of it, was to not touch Medicare and Social Security. The first day after the election he and Paul Ryan went for Medicare and Social Security and decided they were going to privatize and change it. Paul Ryan lied throughout the election regarding Medicare and ACA and many financial reports have said that he lied; that it is healthy financially for the next 20 years and there is no reason to change it. There are too many other needs in the US to deal with than to make Senior Citizens worry.

The next item that is a true conflict of interest is that Donald Trump has 144 businesses in 25 countries. He has businesses in China and owes them $650 million dollars. This could be a real problem as the US Government owes China $1.157 trillion as of September 2016. That is 30 percent of the $3.901 trillion in Treasury bills, notes and bonds held by foreign countries. Trump will not be able to take his companies out of China as they could call in his debt and they could request the US to pay back what we, the US Government, owe them. We could easily be blackmailed by China and the other foreign countries that he is doing business in and owes money to.

If China were to call in Mr. Trump’s debt, and he is our president, will the US Government have to bail out his $650 million? He needs to pay back his debt to China and other countries before becoming a president. All other presidents had their finances scrutinized. We do not understand why he has special privilege.

Another item of concern is Mr. Trump’s relationship with Russia. He has contacted Mr. Putin and he is not president yet. He has also contacted other foreign countries and does not have the authority to make any agreements. If he were to make any agreements with a foreign country, doesn’t he have to have it approved by the Senate? He has also called Pakistan and South Korea. The State Department and embassies should have been aware. Does he not have to follow government protocol?

He said many times the election was rigged and that Russians were rigging it. The relationship with Mr. Putin was before with the Miss Universe contest in Russia and his many businesses there. They would not have a mural in Russia of Putin and Mr. Trump kissing unless there was a relationship. All states should be checked for rigging and if not have a re-election. Our country is worth it.

He has lied throughout his campaign on Hillary Clinton and Mr. Trump’s campaign manager said how they would keep blasting lies about her to the blacks and suburban housewives. He had James Comey produce the
letter on emails 11 days before the election. Mr. Comey and Mr. Trump knew it was a lie but that is the way Mr. Trump campaigned. At that point the election should have been stopped, until Mr. Trump’s court hearing for Trump University of which he was guilty and fined $25 million for fraud. His Trump Foundation had to be shut down because of fraud and yet he continued to campaign. His trial for rape of a 13 year old and reports of other sexual misconducts and sexual assaults should have been cleared before the election. They need to be cleared before he can be given a government job with a background check. With sexual misconduct on his record, he cannot be near children in schools or the White House as there are federal child protection laws.

He has proposed and endorsed hate against blacks, Hispanics especially Mexicans, women, Muslims, and LGBT, and made crude, sexist and misogynistic, anti-gay, homophobic-xenophobic, and anti Semitic remarks. This has caused many hate crimes on streets and in schools.

These are just a few items that should have been cleared way before the election and before his name was on the ballot. I do not see any checks and balances or protection. These need to be cleared before anyone becomes president. If not then have another election.

Since the Republicans have President, House, and Senate, there should be more checks and balances and scrutiny. The Constitution is to protect the people and our fine country and that includes our president and vice president.

Sincerely,
Hello,

I'm writing to support Senator Elizabeth Warren's call for an audit of President-Elect Trump's financial and business dealings to prevent conflicts of interest that could interfere with his ability to perform the duties of the presidency. In light of his recent announcement of an upcoming announcement of his plans for dealing with these issues, the American people have a right to know the full and complete extent of such dealings, so we can assess if his yet-to-be divulged plan is sufficient -- as anything shy of full divestment would be unacceptable.

Thank you for your time and attention to this matter.

Yours,
Kelsey D. Phipps

From: (b)(6)
Sent: Wednesday, November 30, 2016 11:19 PM
To: Contact OGE
Subject: Singing Trump's praise tweets

Dear Office of Government Ethics,

From the bottom of my heart I hope your recent tweets in response to Trump’s so called plan to fix his conflicts of interests was a series of sarcastic tweets. That is the only way I can read them and still take your organization seriously.

If Trump takes your tweets as sincere praise it will only be because of his immense ego and narcissism.

I hope I am correct in that you were only being sarcastic to make a huge point as Trump has not done one thing yet to "divest" nor do I believe he ever will and, hopefully, you also are preparing for when he will not. I hope you will do your job and be courageous in standing up to his power. We are in new territory here and SOMEBODY needs to stand up to what is about to take place in our dear country.

Sincerely,
(b)(6)
Who is being paid to troll Donald Trump on your Twitter account and further would like to know who authorized said series of tweets. Please respond: you clearly have plenty of nonessential time that could be reassigned to answer queries from the citizens who pay your salary.

And I think to myself, "What a wonderful world."
As a citizen, I want to thank the OGE for encouraging Trump to divest completely in his business. Thank you for making a stand for the nation and for encouraging meaningful business ethics. You are appreciated!

Best,

Knoxville, TN
Good Morning –

I just wanted to take a moment to Thank you for protecting the Country and Constitution in your “response” to Mr. Trump regarding “divesting” of all of his business assets and foreign affairs.

From what I understand, the electoral college takes the same Oath of Office that we as Federal Employees do?

Thank you again,
From: [b](6)
Sent: Thursday, December 01, 2016 11:30 PM
To: Contact OGE; [b](6) - various state legislator's email addresses
Subject: Trump
Attachments: trum.pdf

RIGGED
Where are Donald's taxes? Should be the conversation?
And more fundamentally, why did the OGE decide to break character and publicly vocalize its opinion?
Very proud of our swamp dwelling non-partisan ethics department for heaping praise upon the President elect for a totally empty non-committal statement. So tell me, who does protect the American citizens?

What another sad day for our country, no matter who anyone voted for.
RIGGED
Kelsey D. Phipps

From: [b](6)  
Sent: Wednesday, November 30, 2016 11:40 PM  
To: Contact OGE  
Subject: Trump’s Outrageous & Illegal Conflict of Interest

The United States Government MUST REQUIRE President-Elect Trump to DIVEST his and his family's ownership of the Trump Organization.

His and/or his family's finances will most certainly benefit from him being the President of the United States which is UNCONSTITUTIONAL and the American people will NOT put up with it.

[b](6)  
Redmond, WA
United States Office of Government and Ethics,

This is Dan Kenny, I am one of the producers at WHDT World News. Our nightly news broadcasts in the Miami, Naples and Boston markets. We would like to invite a member from your office to join us as a Skype/webcam guest on our evening news program. The interview would be regarding Trump's decision to divest his businesses.

We have openings for an on-air interview throughout this week and the next, and we have some flexibility to work around your schedule. Interviews typically last 10-15 minutes, factoring in audio/video checks.

Please respond back to this email and we can sort out a precise date/time for the interview. You can contact me on my cell [b](6)

WHDT (channel 9) is the oldest high definition television station in the United States. Broadcasting from Wellington, Florida, WHDT serves the Miami-Fort Lauderdale (Market #16), West Palm Beach-Fort Pierce (Market #38) and Naples-Fort Myers (Market #61) Florida television markets.

We are also broadcasting from Boston, the 9th largest market in the country, in addition to a 24/7 livestream on our Razercut app available on iTunes around the world. Covering eight counties in South Florida, WHDT has a larger reach than any television station in the state. It is one of the largest independently-owned TV stations in the world.

Best,
Dan Kenny
I can't tell you how embarrassed I am for having a government agency sending out tweet after tweet in such a humiliating manner. What is wrong with your office? Who is working there and approving this nonsense? Trump does not need to get gold stars for his tweets which actually didn't say anything about a divestiture. Are you going to hold his hand the whole time during his presidency? Are you going to give him trophies and participation certificates for doing nothing? Is your office full of millennials who spent their childhoods receiving praise for the smallest of acts? Are you going to reward him if he goes poo poo in the potty?
How can your office be so effusive in tweets about Donald Trump's tweets without even knowing any details?! This makes me doubt the integrity of the work you do and should be doing to keep conflicts of interest from influencing our executive branch.

Sent from my iPhone
Hello,

Your social media accounts show a series of tweets addressing President-elect Donald Trump, concerning his recent divestment from his companies. The tweets seem to address him directly.

Is it normal practice to tweet at an incoming official/PEOTUS? Do you know if he will be totally divested by the time he is sworn into office on January 20, 2017?

Thank you,
Yasmeen Alamiri
CCTV America

Legal disclaimer: The information in this email and in any attachments is confidential and legally privileged. It is intended solely for the attention and use of the named addressee(s). This information may be subject to legal professional or other privilege or may otherwise be protected by work product immunity or other legal rules. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, copying, distribution or any action taken or omitted to be taken in reliance on it, is prohibited and may be unlawful. It must not be disclosed to any person without authorization.
To Whom It May Concern,

What are you folks doing tweeting such outrageous tweets to Trump? You are supposed to be a non-partisan faction in our government in this country. Is everybody losing their mind and normalizing Trumpism? You are all stroking his ego! He has turned the picking of his cabinet into reality TV! He feels he is above all when it comes to conflicts of interests! Since when do you communicate such important matters by tweets? Since when do you use language which praises and validates, what exactly? Since when do you call things brilliant? You don't even know what the plan is! This country is losing all sense of what is right, decent and the following of American Values of democracy! When is this going to stop! I am a 65 year old woman who has lived through many elections. I have been in despair since the election of Donald Trump. He is an evil, self-centered man who is totally undermining the values of American Democracy. You are supposed to be the non-partisan ethical faction in our government! Where are the ethical values embedded in what you did? This great country is being devalued by Donald Trump and all those who validate his evil values! We have really hit rock bottom when the supposedly ethical faction in our government tweeted in response to Trump today. You don't even know what his plan is and you are using words like bravo and brilliant! Have you all lost your minds! The day Donald Trump was elected the President of this country will go down as the darkest day in American History!

With despair and disgust,

Sent from my iPad
Do you need some Chapstick before you meet with Herr Trump......sure sounds like it.

Not A Hack: U.S. Office Of Government Ethics Tweets At Trump

In an odd sequence of events, the federal ethics watchdog praised Trump in a series of snarky tweets for alleged...
Good afternoon,

I noticed that the official Twitter account of the OGE is tweeting at Donald Trump.

https://twitter.com/OfficeGovEthics/status/804020924089438208

Are these real tweets? As in, did an authorized OGE employee send them? They seem unusual.

Thanks for clarifying,

lfb

--

----- BEGIN SIGNATURE -----

LORENZO FRANCESCHI-BICCHIERAI
Staff Writer, Motherboard

----- END SIGNATURE -----
I’m deeply disappointed in the Office of Government Ethics department spreading misinformation about president-elect Trump’s conflicts of interest via your Twitter account. Your role should be representing the public in trying to resolve these issues, not misleading us into believing that the president-elect has taken actions that he has not and likely will not take to resolve them.

This is terrible. I’m contacting my congressman about investigating the Office of Government Ethics.

Please forward this message to Seth Jaffee.
What in the world did this department do today?! Went crazy on Twitter congratulating Trump on planned divestiture that hasn't even happened. This department is supposed to be bipartisan, yet you go on a Twitter storm that feeds into Trumps egotistical behavior. These tweets don't appear to be an ethical way of communicating. Whatever happened to sending a letter after the deed is done?

Sent from my iPad
Since when does the federal government congratulate anyone for not breaking the law? You have embarrassed your office and millions of federal employees including myself. Stop trying to suck up to an ego maniac. Just hold your head up high and uphold policy and law. That's what we do because nobody is above it.
I hope those tweets were on your own personal time and personal equipment. You work for the Taxpayers not for yourselves.

I guess your branch of office does not know what the definition of ETHICS is.

ETHICS:

1. moral principles that govern a person's or group's behavior.

"Judeo-Christian ethics"

moral code, morals, morality, values, rights and wrongs, principles, ideals, standards (of synonyms: behavior), value system, virtues, dictates of conscience

"your so-called newspaper is clearly not burdened by a sense of ethics"

2. the branch of knowledge that deals with moral principles.

Chance Is My Beloved.....And I His
I'm gagging over the tweets your office sent to Trump about his NON-divestiture plans.

Are you stooping to his level now?

You work for the American people; not Trump.

Act like it.
The mission of the OGE is one of “prevention”, according to the website. I am truly baffled by the “Tweets” that OGE posted supposedly commending Donald Trump for announcing that he is doing something about his business conflicts, but that he will make some sort of announcement on December 15 to tell us, or not tell us, what he intends to do.

You are a governmental agency. You represent the people. Highly partisan people, like me, might interpret your tweets as giving cover to Mr. Trump for any kind of movement on the conflict issue, never mind that we don’t know anything about what he might be planning.

I understand Mr. Trump has more potential conflicts than any president-elect in history. Do your jobs. Advise Mr. Trump, to the best of your ability how to resolve the obvious conflicts.

Save the Tweets for after work.
Praising Donald Trump… he actually has not done what he said he would do yet and you know how he changes his mind, and why would we trust a man who is a cheater and rips people off all the time…. just say'n Regards,

Sent from Surface
Dear Office of Government Ethics -
Please provide a citation or copy of any opinion or directive the Office of Government Ethics has issued concerning the U.S. Government doing business with President Elect Donald J. Trump by paying to lease space in Trump owned properties for the U.S. Secret Service, or any other U.S. government agency doing business with Trump owned businesses while Donald J. Trump is the President Elect or President of the United States.
Respectfully,

(b)(6)
Kelsey D. Phipps

From: [b](6)
Sent: Wednesday, November 30, 2016 2:27 PM
To: Contact OGE
Subject: Was your Twitter Account hacked?
Dear Office of Government Ethics:

The President and Vice President must be fully subject to these regulations.

As may be noted, we need to know the tax returns of the President. This has the agreement of at least 34 members of the House and 11 members of the Senate.

We need to know the President is not receiving compensation besides his four hundred thousand dollar ($400,000) annual salary.

We need to know the President is not receiving any present, Emolument, Office, or Title, of any kind whatever, from any King, Prince or foreign State.

We need to know his business cannot take government contracts, which members of Congress, Judges and Justices, and high level executive branch officials except the President and Vice President cannot do.

Because the appropriate remedy for a violation is impeachment, conviction by the Senate, and disqualification from holding any office of profit or trust under the United States, we need to know.

---

1 See H.R. 5386, S2979, and S3348
2 See Article II Section 1 Clause 7 of the Constitution
3 See Article I Section 9 Clause 8 of the Constitution
4 Zephyr Teachout, a law professor at Harvard Law, Democrat runner up for New York’s nineteenth Congressional District, and author of Corruption in America in 2014, wrote on Facebook on November 16, 2016 (see facebook.com/zephyr.ny)

At the Constitutional Convention, Alexander Hamilton warned, "Foreign powers … will interpose, the confusion will increase, and a dissolution of the union ensue." The delegate Elbridge Gerry said, "Foreign powers will intermeddle in our affairs, and spare no expense to influence them …. Every one knows the vast sums laid out in Europe for secret services."

Several provisions of the Constitution were designed assuming that foreign powers would actively try to gain influence. President-elect Trump may be on the verge of violating one of them, known as the "emoluments clause."

The emoluments clause is essentially an antibribery rule, which forbids public servants from accepting anything of value from foreign powers without explicit congressional approval. It states, "no person holding any office of profit or trust under them, shall, without the consent of the Congress, accept of any present … of any kind whatever, from any king, prince, or foreign state."

Most presidents avoid violating the emoluments clause by setting up a blind trust, which prevents them from knowing when "something of value" comes their way. But Mr. Trump has said he will give his children the responsibility of running the Trump Organization. This puts a constitutional burden not only on him, but on Congress, to create a procedure to review and consent to foreign-state related transactions that benefit him.

Congress has exercised this obligation in the past. In 1840, when President Martin Van Buren was offered horses, pearls, a Persian rug, shawls and a sword by Ahmet Ben Haman, the Imam of Muscat, Van Buren got a joint resolution of Congress authorizing him to split the bounty between the
This is not a partisan issue, but has been supported by counsel for both President Bush and President Obama. The entire article has been included because of the dire need to impose transparency on the President.

I do note that President-Elect Trump is exempt from federal conflict of interest laws. This does not mean that he is exempt from the Constitution.

One person has argued that the President, as an elected official, would be exempt. Harvard Law Professor Laurence Tribe and Zephyr disagree, and even if that was not enough, why is the President required to be natural born?

However, the only remedy is impeachment for a violation, and we do not know whether he would violate the Constitution.

Keith Ellison, Representing Minnesota’s fifth district, has taken note.

In fact, President-Elect Trump, who wants to drain the swamp, tweeted “Prior to the election it was well known that I have interests in properties all over the world. Only the crooked media makes this a big deal!” This is a major lie. I am not apart of the media, and I think this is important.

In addition, the disclosure requirements (which cannot be confidential at all if not a blind trust, down to the nearest dollar) is also needed to apply to corporations that are controlled by any covered person, whether directly or through children or spouses of the covered person. To use a loophole is wrong.

Department of State and the Treasury. When President John Tyler was given two horses from a foreign power, Congress had him auction them off and give the proceeds to the Treasury.

The sheer volume of Trump’s enterprises, and his role as a promoter in them, makes this a near-impossible task, as does the difficulty of defining which of the transactions falls within the prohibition, and which do not. But the Constitution is clear that Congress has an obligation to stand as a check on inappropriate foreign influence. Congressional leaders should be among the loudest voices demanding he liquidate his assets and create a true blind trust, because of the burden that the alternative poses.

The emoluments clause is not an arcane rule. It is a fundamental principle of our country.

---

5 [https://thinkprogress.org/electoral-college-trump-top-lawyers-8a8b6e0ca916#sytfw1oah](https://thinkprogress.org/electoral-college-trump-top-lawyers-8a8b6e0ca916#sytfw1oah)

This full article should be deemed part of the record.

6 The following paragraph should be noted. It came from Governor Ranolph during the ratification convention for the Commonwealth of Virginia. “There is another provision against the danger mentioned by the honorable member, of the president receiving emoluments from foreign powers. If discovered he may be impeached. If he be not impeached he may be displaced at the end of the four years. By the ninth section, of the first article, “No person holding an office of profit or trust, shall accept of any present or emolument whatever, from any foreign power, without the consent of the representatives of the people” … I consider, therefore, that he is restrained from receiving any present or emoluments whatever. It is impossible to guard better against corruption.”
And any amount received in violation of the Constitution must be returned to the Treasury as a gift under 31 U.S.C. §3113.

The President cannot be exempt from this regulation, or any ethics regulation.

If the President does not divest before being sworn into office, I will demand my Representative impeach the President.

The impact of this comment should be to withdraw all exemptions from disclosure, expand this requirement to cover the President and Vice President, and include the previous ten years of tax returns.⁷

⁷ Both Vice President candidates released ten years of tax returns. The President should also release ten years of tax returns.
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H. RES.____

Impeaching Donald Jack Trump, Sr., President of the United States, for high crimes and misdemeanors.

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

January 23, 2017

Mr. Sean Maloney of New York (for himself and ______) submitted the following resolution; which was referred to the Committee on the Judiciary

RESOLUTION

Impeaching Donald Jack Trump, Sr., President of the United States, for high crimes and misdemeanors.

Resolved, That Donald Jack Trump, Sr., President of the United States, is impeached for high crimes and misdemeanors and that the following articles of impeachment be exhibited to the Senate:

Articles of impeachment exhibited by the House of Representatives of the United States of America in the name of itself and of the people of the United States of America, against Donald Jack Trump, Sr., President of the United States, in maintenance and support of its impeachment against him for high crimes and misdemeanors.

ARTICLE I: PRESIDENTIAL COMPENSATION

Donald Jack Trump, Sr., President of the United States, has agreed with the Secret Service to rent a floor of Trump Tower to the Secret Service, at a cost exceeding one million dollars per annum.

Whereas Article II, Section 1, Clause 7 of the Constitution provides “The President shall, at stated Times, receive for his Services, a Compensation, which shall neither be encreased nor

____________________

8 This is the latest date that the resolution may be introduced to satisfy myself.
9 I expect that Representative Sean Maloney from New York would introduce or cosponsor this measure.
10 Every Representative should cosponsor this measure.
11 There may be more articles of impeachment or grounds in an article for impeachment that may need to be added due to new high crimes and misdemeanors committed or discovered.
diminished during the Period for which he shall have been elected, and he shall not receive within that Period any other Emolument from the United States, or any of them.”.

Whereas such payments by the Secret Service to the Trump Organization constitutes an Emolument which cannot properly be made.¹³

Whereas such compensation violates Article II, Section 1, Clause 7 of the Constitution.

Whereas in doing this, Donald J. Trump has undermined the integrity of his office, has brought disrepute on the Presidency, has betrayed his trust as President and has acted in a manner subversive of the rule of law and justice, to the manifest injury of the people of the United States.

Wherefore, Donald Jack Trump, Sr., President of the United States, is guilty of high crimes and misdemeanors and should be removed from office and disqualified to hold and enjoy any office of honor, trust, or profit under the United States.

ARTICLE II: EMOLUMENTS CLAUSE

Article I, Section 9, Clause 8 of the Constitution provides “No Title of Nobility shall be granted by the United States: And no Person holding any Office of Profit or Trust under them, shall, without the Consent of the Congress, accept of any present, Emolument, Office, or Title, of any kind whatever, from any King, Prince or foreign State.”.

Whereas Donald Jack Trump, Sr., President of the United States, has violated this clause by hiring a director of diplomatic sales at the Old Post Hotel¹⁴ to recruit diplomats, a hotel leased to the Trump Organization, which is controlled by Donald Trump.

Whereas Donald Jack Trump, Sr., President of the United States, has violated this clause by hosting an event for diplomats on November 14, 2016 at the Old Post Hotel, a hotel leased to the Trump Organization, which is controlled by President Trump.

Whereas Donald Jack Trump, Sr., President of the United States, has violated this clause by having a Trump Hotel advanced in Argentina after discussions with the President of Argentina, a deal which directly benefits President Trump.¹⁵

¹³ This opinion has the support of law professors Zephyr Teachout and Laurence Tribe.


¹⁵ http://emolumentwatch.silk.co
Whereas Donald Jack Trump, Sr., President of the United States, has violated this clause by discussing with the Leader of the United Kingdom Independence Party the avoidance of windmills off Trump Turnberry in Scotland, a golf course controlled by Trump.

Whereas Jose E.B. Antonia, the controlling owner of Trump Tower in Century City, Manila, in the Republic of the Philippines, has been appointed as a special government envoy to the United States.

Whereas the Industrial and Commercial Bank of China, wholly controlled by the People’s Republic of China, rents in Trump Tower on the twentieth floor, providing an emolument to the President. 16

Whereas Donald Trump Jr meet with the chief minister in India to get regulatory permits through, and has received special favors, through a fraudulent permit.

Whereas Trump Towers Mall in Istanbul, in the Republic of Turkey, has considered removing his name because of comments that all Muslims pose a terrorist risk.

Whereas in doing this, Donald J. Trump has undermined the integrity of his office, has brought disrepute on the Presidency, has betrayed his trust as President and has acted in a manner subversive of the rule of law and justice, to the manifest injury of the people of the United States.

Wherefore, Donald Jack Trump, Sr., President of the United States, is guilty of high crimes and misdemeanors and should be removed from office and disqualified to hold and enjoy any office of honor, trust, or profit under the United States.

ARTICLE III: LOGAN ACT

18 U.S.C. §953 prohibits any citizen of the United States to without Federal Authority, “directly or indirectly commences or carries on any correspondence or intercourse with any foreign government or any officer or agent thereof, with intent to influence the measures or conduct of any foreign government or of any officer or agent thereof, in relation to any disputes or controversies with the United States, or to defeat the measures of the United States”.

Whereas Ivanka Trump meet with Trump during said meeting with the Prime Minister of Japan.

Whereas Ivanka Trump participated with said phone call with the President of Argentina.

Whereas Donald Trump Jr participated in October, 2016 with Russia on a transition plan.

Whereas the children of Donald Jack Trump will manage the Trump Organization and cannot hold a position in government.\(^{17}\)

Whereas Jared Kushner, the son-in-law of the President has been reported to be the eyes and ears of the President.

Whereas 5 U.S.C §3110 prohibits a relative from being appointed, employed, or advanced by a public official which exercises jurisdiction over said employee.

Whereas the President controls the entire executive branch.

Whereas none of said people can represent the United States.

Whereas in doing this, Donald J. Trump has undermined the integrity of his office, has brought disrepute on the Presidency, has betrayed his trust as President and has acted in a manner subversive of the rule of law and justice, to the manifest injury of the people of the United States.

Wherefore, Donald Jack Trump, Sr., President of the United States, is guilty of high crimes and misdemeanors and should be removed from office and disqualified to hold and enjoy any office of honor, trust, or profit under the United States.

ARTICLE IV. OLD POST HOTEL

Prior to being elected President, Donald Jack Trump Sr., President of the United States through his owned Trump Organization leased the Old Post Office in Washington D.C.

Whereas subsequently, such property became a hotel.

Whereas Article I, Section 9, Clause 8 of the Constitution provides “No Title of Nobility shall be granted by the United States: And no Person holding any Office of Profit or Trust under them, shall, without the Consent of the Congress, accept of any present, Emolument, Office, or Title, of any kind whatever, from any King, Prince or foreign State.”.

Whereas Donald Jack Trump, Sr., President of the United States, has violated this clause by hiring a director of diplomatic service at the Old Post Hotel to recruit diplomats, a hotel leased to the Trump Organization, which is controlled by Donald Trump.

Whereas Donald Jack Trump, Sr., President of the United States, has violated this clause by hosting an event for diplomats on November 14, 2016 at the Old Post Hotel, a hotel leased to the Trump Organization, which is controlled by President Trump.

Whereas section 37.19 of the lease of the Old Post Hotel prohibits any elected official of the United States from holding any share in the hotel.\(^\text{18}\)

Whereas Donald J. Trump and the General Services Administration has refused to terminate said lease.

Whereas said hotel has charged five hundred percent of normal fees for his inauguration as President.\(^\text{19}\)

Whereas diplomats are now looking to stay at the Trump Hotel to gain favors with the President.

Whereas in doing this, Donald J. Trump has undermined the integrity of his office, has brought disrepute on the Presidency, has betrayed his trust as President and has acted in a manner subversive of the rule of law and justice, to the manifest injury of the people of the United States.

Wherefore, Donald Jack Trump, Sr., President of the United States, is guilty of high crimes and misdemeanors and should be removed from office and disqualified to hold and enjoy any office of honor, trust, or profit under the United States.

ARTICLE V. CORRUPTION

On January 20, 2017, Donald J. Trump Sr. has been sworn President of the United States.

Whereas Donald J. Trump has been the first Presidential nominee of a major political party since 1976 to refuse to release his tax returns.

Whereas Donald J. Trump has denied he could have a conflict of interest.\(^\text{20}\)

Whereas Donald J. Trump refuses to divest as previous presidents have done.

Whereas all other high level Federal Employees cannot use their name for personal profit, the President profits off using his name.


See page 103 (Page 10 of 49 in PDF attachment)

\(^\text{19}\) [https://politicalwire.com/2016/11/19/trump-hotel-place-foreign-delegations/](https://politicalwire.com/2016/11/19/trump-hotel-place-foreign-delegations/)

\(^\text{20}\) [https://mobile.twitter.com/realDonaldTrump/status/800885097775955974](https://mobile.twitter.com/realDonaldTrump/status/800885097775955974)
Whereas he has promised to turn over the business to his children, without paying gift tax of about four billion dollars, assuming his estimate of being worth ten billion dollars is valid.

Whereas his children continue to advise him as President.

Whereas the President has promised to drain the swamp in Washington, but continues to be a swamp.

Whereas the President has refused to follow laws applicable to all other government officials.

Whereas in doing this, Donald J. Trump has undermined the integrity of his office, has brought disrepute on the Presidency, has betrayed his trust as President and has acted in a manner subversive of the rule of law and justice, to the manifest injury of the people of the United States.

Wherefore, Donald Jack Trump, Sr., President of the United States, is guilty of high crimes and misdemeanors and should be removed from office and disqualified to hold and enjoy any office of honor, trust, or profit under the United States.
Like everyone else, we were excited this morning to read the President-elect’s twitter feed indicating that he wants to be free of conflicts of interest. OGE applauds that goal, which is consistent with an opinion OGE issued in 1983. Divestiture resolves conflicts of interest in a way that transferring control does not. We don’t know the details of their plan, but we are willing and eager to help them with it.

The tweets that OGE posted today were responding only to the public statement that the President-elect made on his Twitter feed about his plans regarding conflicts of interest. OGE’s tweets were not based on any information about the President-elect’s plans beyond what was shared on his Twitter feed. OGE is non-partisan and does not endorse any individual.

https://twitter.com/OfficeGovEthics

Seth Jaffe
OGE Spokesperson

Visit OGE's website: www.oge.gov
Follow OGE on Twitter: @OfficeGovEthics
Hi Krista –
Please find the statements below that OGE provided to press inquiries today.
Please let me know if you have any additional questions or we can be of any additional assistance.
Thanks,
Shelley
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The tweets that OGE posted today were responding only to the public statement that the President-elect made on his Twitter feed about his plans regarding conflicts of interest. OGE’s tweets were not based on any information about the President-elect’s plans beyond what was shared on his Twitter feed. OGE is non-partisan and does not endorse any individual.
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Hi Dale –
Please find below the statements OGE provided in response to press inquiries today. Please let me know if you have additional questions or we can be of additional assistance.

Thanks,
Shelley

From: Seth Jaffe
Sent: Wednesday, November 30, 2016 4:53 PM
To: Shelley K. Finlayson
Subject: Statement of the USOGE

Like everyone else, we were excited this morning to read the President-elect’s twitter feed indicating that he wants to be free of conflicts of interest. OGE applauds that goal, which is consistent with an opinion OGE issued in 1983. Divestiture resolves conflicts of interest in a way that transferring control does not. We don’t know the details of their plan, but we are willing and eager to help them with it.

The tweets that OGE posted today were responding only to the public statement that the President-elect made on his Twitter feed about his plans regarding conflicts of interest. OGE’s tweets were not based on any information about the President-elect’s plans beyond what was shared on his Twitter feed. OGE is non-partisan and does not endorse any individual.
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Backstopping this went to you as well.

Julie Hirschfeld Davis  
White House Correspondent  
The New York Times

------------- Forwarded message -------------

From: Davis, Julie  
Date: Wed, Nov 30, 2016 at 4:14 PM  
Subject: Re: Statement of the USOGE  
To: OGE Media <oge_media@oge.gov>

Thanks for the statement. I'm still confused, though. A few follow-up questions, or if you can call, we can talk these over:

--Why were the initial tweets this morning taken down?  
--Who wrote the tweets and has any disciplinary action been taken against that person?  
--Isn't it in violation of your policy to share publicly advice you have given privately to a public official, as the tweets did when they repeatedly referenced advice given to and conversations had with the president-elect's lawyer?  
--How did OGE come to the conclusion that what Mr. Trump described in his tweets constituted "divestiture" rather than simply handing over control?  
--Is it an appropriate use of OGE's official Twitter account to effusively praise a public official for taking the agency's private advice, whether or not he or she actually did so? Are your social media policies being reviewed in light of today's events?

We are right on deadline, so would appreciate a quick response if possible.

Many thanks,  
Julie

Julie Hirschfeld Davis  
White House Correspondent  
The New York Times
On Wed, Nov 30, 2016 at 3:57 PM, OGE Media <oge_media@oge.gov> wrote:

Like everyone else, we were excited this morning to read the President-elect’s twitter feed indicating that he wants to be free of conflicts of interest. OGE applauds that goal, which is consistent with an opinion OGE issued in 1983. Divestiture resolves conflicts of interest in a way that transferring control does not. We don’t know the details of their plan, but we are willing and eager to help them with it.

The tweets that OGE posted today were responding only to the public statement that the President-elect made on his Twitter feed about his plans regarding conflicts of interest. OGE’s tweets were not based on any information about the President-elect’s plans beyond what was shared on his Twitter feed. OGE is non-partisan and does not endorse any individual.
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Seth Jaffe

OGE Spokesperson

Visit OGE's website: www.oge.gov

Follow OGE on Twitter: @OfficeGovEthics

From: Davis, Julie [mailto:] Sent: Wednesday, November 30, 2016 3:00 PM
To: Seth Jaffe
Subject: Tweets re: Trump and divestiture

Hi Seth,

You may have heard already from my colleague Michael Shear. Can you help explain what all those tweets were about today on Trump's announcement about eliminating conflicts of interest? Were you the one who posted them? And is it your opinion that the steps he outlined in his tweets today meet the threshold that applies to a president as laid out in the 1983 opinion referenced in the tweet?

If you are able to talk this afternoon, I'd be grateful.

Thanks,

Julie

Julie Hirschfeld Davis

White House Correspondent
The New York Times
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To Whom It May Concern:

Perhaps you would be kind enough to email me the second statement you told me you would send me by email yesterday afternoon but did not, despite my phone calls and emails. I read it elsewhere:
"The tweets that OGE posted today were responding only to the public statement that the President-elect made on his Twitter feed about his plans regarding conflicts of interest. OGE's tweets were not based on any information about the President-elect's plans beyond what was shared on his Twitter feed. OGE is non-partisan and does not endorse any individual."

Rich Gardella

Off-Air Investigative Reporter/Producer

NBC News Investigative Unit

NBC News Washington Bureau
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Thanks,
Shelley
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Hello:

I’m a producer for Lawrence O’Donnell and we have some questions regarding the tweets from this morning. Is someone available to talk by phone?
Kind regards,

Ariana

Ariana Pekary

“The Last Word with Lawrence O’Donnell”
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Chief, Ethics Law & Policy Branch
U.S. Office of Government Ethics
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Hi Seth,

You may have heard already from my colleague Michael Shear. Can you help explain what all those tweets were about today on Trump's announcement about eliminating conflicts of interest? Were you the one who posted them? And is it your opinion that the steps he outlined in his tweets today meet the threshold that applies to a president as laid out in the 1983 opinion referenced in the tweet?

If you are able to talk this afternoon, I'd be grateful.
Thanks,
Julie

Julie Hirschfeld Davis
White House Correspondent
The New York Times
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Good afternoon,

I noticed that the official Twitter account of the OGE is tweeting at Donald Trump.

https://twitter.com/OfficeGovEthics/status/804020924089438208

Are these real tweets? As in, did an authorized OGE employee send them? They seem unusual.

Thanks for clarifying,
----- BEGIN SIGNATURE ----- 

LORENZO FRANCESCHI-BICCHIERAI
Staff Writer, Motherboard 

(b)(6)

This e-mail transmission may be legally privileged and contains confidential information that is the property of the sender and the organization (VICE MEDIA LLC) for which the sender represents. If you are not the intended recipient and have by accident received this email, please do not retain, disclose, reproduce or distribute the contents of this e-mail transmission, or take any action in relevance thereon or pursuant thereto. Please notify the sender of the error by responding to the email accordingly in a timely and reasonable fashion otherwise failure to do so may cause legal action to be taken.

Thank you. 

----- END SIGNATURE -----
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Hi Seth,

Seeing an updated statement being sent around. Can you please pass it along?

Thanks,
Hi there Seth,

Reaching out about your office's Twitter feed and claims that the President-elect is planning to divest his holdings in his company. I see you provided comment on this -- hoping you can send that along. Also, what gives OGE the impression that Mr. Trump is ready to divest himself entirely if he hands off his business to his children as he has previously announced he would do? Would that be a sufficient divestiture?

https://twitter.com/OfficeGovEthics

Thanks,

Alex
Tomorrow, OGE will issue a final rule amending 5 C.F.R. part 2638, “Executive Branch Ethics Program.” Attached to this email is the preview announcement. I will provide additional announcements tomorrow, once the link to the Federal Register notice is available. I appreciate you assisting with the following:

- **MAX/AAB Announcement:** Brandon B., please post the preview announcement today (November 1)
- **Listserv Announcement:** Kaneisha, please send the preview announcement today (November 1)
- **Legal Advisory Posting:** I will post the Federal Register notice to OGE’s website tomorrow (November 2).
- **MAX AAB Announcement:** Brandon B., I will ask you to post the MAX/AAB Announcement tomorrow once I post the Federal Register notice. I will send you an email with the announcement content tomorrow.
- **Listserv Announcement:** Kaneisha, I will ask you to send the Listserv Announcement tomorrow once I post the Federal Register notice. I will send you an email with the announcement content tomorrow.
- **Tweet:** Brandon S., I will ask you to post/schedule the Tweets tomorrow once I post the Federal Register notice. I will send you an email with the Tweet content tomorrow.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Many thanks,
Monica
OGE to Issue a Final Rule Amending 5 C.F.R. Part 2638, “Executive Branch Ethics Program”

On November 2, 2016, the U.S. Office of Government Ethics (OGE) will publish a final rule amending its regulations that govern the executive branch ethics program, which are found at 5 C.F.R. part 2638.

The final rule is a comprehensive revision that draws upon the collective experience of agency ethics officials across the executive branch and OGE as the supervising ethics office. It is effective January 1, 2017. The rule reflects extensive input from the executive branch ethics community and the federal inspector general community, as well as OGE’s consultation with the Department of Justice and the Office of Personnel Management pursuant to 5 U.S.C. app. 402(b)(1). It defines and describes the program, delineates the responsibilities of various stakeholders, and enumerates key executive branch ethics procedures.

Once the final rule is published in the Federal Register, OGE will provide the link to the document.
Good morning,

I’m writing to follow up on yesterday’s email regarding the publication of the final rule amending 5 C.F.R. part 2638. The Federal Register issuance and the OGE news item have already been posted to OGE’s website. As I mentioned yesterday, I would appreciate you assisting with the following:

- **MAX AAB Announcement**: Brandon B., please post the MAX/AAB Announcement today (November 2).
- **Listserv Announcement**: Kaneisha, please post the Listserv Announcement today (November 2).
- **Tweet**: Brandon S., please post/schedule the Tweets today (November 2).

The content to be posted is found on the attached communications form. Please let me know if you have any questions. And thanks again for all your help!

Best,

Monica
I am writing to follow up on my conversation with you regarding the posting of LA-16-09, which is now live on OGE’s website. As I mentioned, I would appreciate you assisting with the following:

- MAX AAB Announcement: Wendy, please post the MAX/AAB Announcement today (see the attached communications content form)
- Listserv Announcement: Patrick, please post the Listserv Announcement today (see the attached communications content form)
- Tweet: Brandon S., please post/schedule the Tweets today (see the attached communications content form)

If you have questions, please let me know. And thank you again for your help!

Thanks,

Monica
LISTSERV & AAB ANNOUNCEMENT

OGE Releases New Job Aid on the Revised Ethics Education Requirements

The U.S. Office of Government Ethics (OGE) has issued a Legal Advisory announcing a new job aid to help ethics officials familiarize themselves with the new government ethics education requirements under the revised 5 C.F.R. part 2638. As part of its changes to this regulation, OGE augmented the requirements for agency ethics education programs, in order to strengthen the effectiveness of ethics training. This job aid compares various features of the primary ethics education requirements under the revised part 2638, which takes effect January 1, 2017.

The Legal Advisory is available on OGE’s website at:
https://www.oge.gov/web/oge.nsf/All+Advisories/6356FBD6F927B1EE85258067007155C8/$FILE/LA-16-09.pdf?open

TWEETS

NEW: OGE job aid for the revised ethics education requirements
https://www.oge.gov/web/oge.nsf/All+Advisories/6356FBD6F927B1EE85258067007155C8/$FILE/LA-16-09.pdf?open

OGE issues an overview chart of the new requirements for ethics training
https://www.oge.gov/web/oge.nsf/All+Advisories/6356FBD6F927B1EE85258067007155C8/$FILE/LA-16-09.pdf?open
Seth,

Based on the document Dave provided, (i.e., the most recent edited version of the Subpart B Comms plan I can locate), I have updated the Comms plan, which is attached. There are three things I want to flag.

First, after speaking with Rachel, I added an OMB-MAX Preview Announcement, which will be sent out today. Rachel included one for Subpart F, and so I have included one for Subpart B. The initial Comms plan did not have a “preview” announcement, but it essentially tracks the OMB-MAX Announcement that will be published tomorrow and was reviewed by the GC. To the extent you would like to review, please do so, and please advise whether—because of the addition—I should push the final Comms Plan to the GC and PCD (if you think warranted).

Second, last month, Patrick drafted a Director’s Note, [B](S)

Third, if you decide under my first flag to push this back up to the GC and to PCD, please ignore this flag. If not, I only flag that [B](S)

I will finally note two things: 1. I still need to include the FR citation for the announcements that will go out tomorrow; and 2. I had Rachel take a quick look at the edited/update Comms Plan for quality assurance. Thank you in advance.

Best,

Leigh

Leigh Jason Francis
Assistant Counsel
General Counsel and Legal Policy Division
U.S. Office of Government Ethics (www.oge.gov)
1201 New York Avenue, NW, Suite 500
Washington, DC 20005
Leigh.Francis@oge.gov
(202) 482-9313

Caution: This e-mail message from the General Counsel and Legal Policy Division, Office of Government Ethics, is intended for the exclusive use of the recipient(s) named above and may contain protected, privileged, or confidential information that should not be transmitted to unauthorized addressees. If you are not the intended recipient, any dissemination, distribution, or copying is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender immediately at the above address.
From: Leigh J. Francis  
Sent: Friday, November 18, 2016 12:36 PM  
To: Brandon L. Bunderson; Kaneisha T. Cunningham; Communications; Brandon A. Steele  
Cc: Seth Jaffe; Rachel K. Dowell; Patrick Shepherd  
Subject: Communication Roll Out for Subpart B of the Standards of Ethical Conduct (11.18.16)  
Attachments: Final Tweets OMB and Listserv Announcements for Subpart B (11.18.16 Postings).docx  
Importance: High

All:

Attached to this email please find the updated Communications Plan for the publication of 5 C.F.R. 2635, Subpart B (Gifts from Outside Sources), which has received final authorization and signature. Per OGE Procedures, I appreciate you assisting with the following:

TODAY___________(11/18/16)

- MAX AAB Announcement: Brandon B., Please post the MAX/AAB Announcement today. I have updated the announcement content and included the direct link to the regulation and citation in the Federal Register for the regulation. The language is on page 2 of the attached document.

- Listserv Announcement: Kaneisha, Please post the Listserv Announcement today. I have updated the announcement content and included the direct link to the regulation and citation in the Federal Register for the regulation.

- Tweet: Brandon S., Please post/schedule the Tweets today. I have updated Tweet content and included the direct link to the regulation.

If you have questions, please let me know. Thanks for your help!

Thanks,

Leigh

Leigh Jason Francis
Assistant Counsel
General Counsel and Legal Policy Division
U.S. Office of Government Ethics (www.oge.gov)
1201 New York Avenue, NW, Suite 500
Washington, DC 20005
Leigh.Francis@oge.gov
(202) 482-9313

Caution: This e-mail message from the General Counsel and Legal Policy Division, Office of Government Ethics, is intended for the exclusive use of the recipient(s) named above and may contain protected, privileged, or confidential information that should not be transmitted to unauthorized addressees. If you are not the intended recipient, any dissemination, distribution, or copying is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender immediately at the above address.
Communications for Publication of the Subpart B Final Rule

Tweets:


Listserv Announcement (Day of Publication):

OGE Issues a Final Rule Revising Subpart B of the Standards of Ethical Conduct, “Gifts from Outside Sources”

On November 18, 2016, the U.S. Office of Government Ethics (OGE) published a final rule improving and updating the provisions of the Standards of Ethical Conduct that govern gifts from outside sources, found at 5 C.F.R. 2635, Subpart B. This rule was published in the Federal Register at 81 Fed. Reg. 81641-81657.

The final rule advances public confidence in the integrity of federal officials by encouraging ethical decisionmaking and refining available gift exceptions. Additionally, the revisions update examples, incorporate past interpretative guidance, improve clarity, and make technical corrections.

November 18: OGE Issues a Final Rule Revising Subpart B of the Standards of Ethical Conduct, “Gifts from Outside Sources”

On November 18, 2016, the U.S. Office of Government Ethics (OGE) published a final rule revising the provisions of the Standards of Ethical Conduct that govern solicitation and acceptance of gifts from outside sources, found at 5 C.F.R. 2635, Subpart B. This rule was published in the Federal Register at 81 Fed. Reg. 81641-81657.

The final rule advances public confidence in the integrity of federal officials by encouraging ethical decisionmaking and refining available gift exceptions. Additionally, the revisions update examples, incorporate past interpretative guidance, improve clarity, and make technical corrections.

Attached is the revised communications plan for the LA.

Thanks, Elaine
Good morning, Roberto –
I see that you are the staff point of contact for the attached request. Are you available for a quick call today?
Thanks,
Shelley

Shelley K. Finlayson
Chief of Staff and Program Counsel
U.S. Office of Government Ethics
1201 New York Avenue NW, Suite 500
Washington, DC 20005
(202) 482-9314
skfinlay@oge.gov
Visit OGE’s website: www.oge.gov
Follow OGE on Twitter: @OfficeGovEthics

From: Secreto, Jim (HSGAC) [b](6) [b](6) [b](6) [b](6) [b](6)
Sent: Monday, November 21, 2016 8:27 AM
To: Shelley K. Finlayson
Cc: Berrios, Roberto (HSGAC); Sybenga, Kata (HSGAC); 'dapol@oge.gov'
Subject: Letter to Director Shaub from Ranking Member Carper re Conflicts of Interest

Hi Shelley,

Attached is a letter from Ranking Member Carper to Director Shaub regarding OGE’s efforts related to potential conflicts of interests in the upcoming Administration of President-elect Donald Trump. Please let us know if you have any questions.

Regards,

Jim Secreto
Chief Counsel for Oversight and Investigations (Minority)
Senate Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs Committee
Phone: [b](6) [b](6) [b](6) [b](6) [b](6)
November 20, 2016

The Honorable Walter M. Shaub
Director
U.S. Office of Government Ethics
1201 New York Avenue, NW, Suite 500
Washington, DC 20005

Dear Director Shaub:

As the Ranking Member of the Senate Committee with jurisdiction over the Office of Government Ethics, I write today to request your assistance with understanding how your office plans to address the potential for conflicts of interest in the upcoming Administration of President-elect Donald Trump.

As you know, President-elect Trump is a businessman with considerable financial interests in the United States and around the world. The full extent of his financial interests remains unclear, in part because he was the first presidential candidate in modern history to decline to release his tax returns to the American public. These unique circumstances raise important questions about how the Administration of President-elect Trump will avoid conflicts of interest and ensure integrity of executive branch programs and operations.

As you know, the Office of Government Ethics oversees the executive branch ethics program and works with ethics practitioners in more than 130 agencies to implement this effort. The Office of Government Ethics also plays a critical role in the 2016 Presidential Transition by making sure that prospective nominees are free of conflicts of interest. This role includes providing guidance regarding the federal laws that prohibit certain officials from participating personally and substantially in an official capacity in any matter that will have a direct and predictable effect on their financial interests. Unless an official receives a waiver or an exemption applies, the official with a conflict of interest must disqualify him or herself from participating in the matter. Criminal penalties may apply to officials who violate this statute.

As the independent ethics watchdog of the federal government, the Office of Government Ethics must provide assurances to the American people that your agency will advance a strong ethics program that holds the Administration of President-elect Trump accountable for any conflicts of interest. To better understand how your office plans to address the potential for conflicts of interest in the upcoming Administration of
President-elect Donald Trump, I ask that you please provide the following information by December 5, 2016:

1. **Handling of Trump Organization** – For constitutional reasons, the President is exempt from certain conflict of interest rules, such as the prohibition on acting in matters affecting his personal financial interest or representing his own claims and business interests to the government. However, the President remains subject to many related statutes, such as prohibitions on bribery and embezzlement. President-elect Trump and the Trump Organization reportedly have business with the federal government, lease federal property, and have regulatory and enforcement matters presently being adjudicated by federal government agencies.
   - a. What guidance has Office of Government Ethics (OGE) provided to agency ethics officials regarding the protocols for handling matters directly affecting President-elect Trump and the Trump Organization?
   - b. Will OGE recommend safeguards to protect federal officials from fear of reprisal in dealings with the Trump Organization?
   - c. Will OGE take steps to ensure Trump Organization employees do not have privileged access to decision-makers or access to nonpublic government information?
   - d. President-elect Trump reportedly intends to transfer control of the Trump Organization to his three oldest children.\(^1\) Does this transfer meet the standards of a qualified blind trust, as defined under the Ethics in Government Act?

2. **President-elect Trump’s Financial Conflicts** – President-elect Trump’s previous financial disclosure reports reveal potential financial conflicts of interest in several areas of the economy and foreign relations. While Presidents are exempt from conflict of interest rules for constitutional reasons, Presidents of both parties, dating back to Lyndon Johnson, have taken significant steps to avoid the appearance of a conflict.\(^2\)
   - a. Please identify the information that must be included in the President’s annual financial disclosure, when a President must file his first disclosure, and whether the public will receive access to these disclosures.
   - b. What steps does OGE require a President to take if any conflicts of interest are apparent on the face of a financial disclosure?
   - c. What steps will OGE require to prevent acquisition of new conflicts by President-elect Trump and his Trump Organization?

3. **Transition Team** – President-elect Trump’s three oldest children are members of the Presidential Transition team while continuing to serve as executives and

---

\(^1\) *Three Trump Children Seen Managing President-Elect’s Company*, REUTERS (Nov. 11, 2016).

officers in the Trump Organization. As leaders on the Transition team, his children will be party to sensitive government information and empowered to discuss matters of government policy and operations with the leadership of several federal agencies.

a. What guidance has OGE provided to agency ethics officials regarding the handling of non-transition business communications from Mr. Trump’s children and the Trump Organization during the transition?

4. President-elect Trump’s Oldest Children and Jared Kushner – President-elect Trump has reportedly expressed interest in obtaining security clearances for his three oldest children and his son-in-law, Jared Kushner.4

a. What guidance has OGE provided to President-elect Trump’s oldest children and Mr. Kushner concerning the management of their conflicts of interest while participating in executive branch deliberations?

b. Does President-elect Trump have legal authority to appoint these individuals to government positions?

c. Are President-elect Trump’s children and Mr. Kushner exempt from conflict of interest laws?

d. Has OGE provided guidance to these individuals to ensure they disqualify themselves from matters in which they have financial interests and to prevent inadvertent disclosure of confidential government information?

5. Ongoing Financial Disclosure Obligations – President-elect Trump has disclosed a large portfolio of financial interests that include securities interests in several investment companies.5 President-elect Trump will be under an ongoing obligation to file public reports of any securities transactions so that the public may understand his financial interests.

a. What guidance has OGE provided to President-elect Trump to ensure he continues to file any required financial disclosures of securities transactions?

b. How often will President-elect Trump be required to file such disclosures?

c. Will OGE or the White House Counsel be responsible for assessing fines for any late filings?

---

3 Brian Naylor & Barbara Sprunt, From Lobbyists to Loyalists, See Who’s on Donald Trump’s Transition Team, NPR (Nov. 16, 2016).

4 Jim Acosta et al., Trump Transition Team Asked about Security Clearance for His Children, CNN (Nov. 15, 2016).

5 Gregor Aisch et al., What’s in Donald Trump’s 104-Page Financial Disclosure?, N.Y. TIMES (May 18, 2016).
6. Outside Fiduciary Positions – President-elect Trump has disclosed that he serves as chairman or board member of hundreds of companies. As a board member or officer, he owes those entities and their investors’ legal fiduciary duties that have the potential to interfere with his duties as president.
   a. What guidance has OGE provided to President-elect Trump regarding his outside positions and the steps he should take to address potential conflicts of interest?
   b. What safeguards will OGE establish to prevent conflicts of interest between his legal fiduciary obligations to these companies and his legal obligations and duties as President?

7. Misuse of Image – Longstanding White House policy across Administrations prohibits the use of the President’s name or image in advertising or for the endorsement of any commercial product or service.
   a. What guidance has OGE provided to President-elect Trump regarding the use of his name and image for the endorsement of the Trump Organization or his children’s businesses?

If you or members of your staff have any questions about this request, please feel free to contact Roberto Berrios of my staff at (202) 224-2627. Thank you very much for your attention to this matter.

With best personal regards, I am

Sincerely yours,

[Signature]

Tom Carper
Ranking Member

cc: The Honorable Ron Johnson
Chairman

---

Thanks, Kristine.

-----Original Message-----
From: Kristine Simmons [redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, November 23, 2016 7:34 AM
To: Walter M. Shaub; Shelley K. Finlayson
Subject: Fwd: From POLITICO - Inside Trump's freewheeling vetting operation

Good morning, just passing this along as it mentions OGE.

Begin forwarded message:

From: Max Stier [redacted]
Date: November 23, 2016 at 6:21:21 AM EST
To: Kristine Simmons [redacted], David Eagles
               Samantha Donaldson
               Wayne Palmer
               Erika Walter
Subject: From POLITICO - Inside Trump's freewheeling vetting operation

Someone using POLITICO for iOS wants to share this article with you:


Inside Trump's freewheeling vetting operation<http://politi.co/2fPMf6v>


Donald Trump’s process for picking top political appointees is “pretty simple,” says Rep. Devin Nunes<http://politi.co/2csfNmk>, a senior member of the president-elect’s transition team.

When Trump’s aides were scouting for names for a CIA chief, Nunes suggested his colleague, Kansas Republican Rep. Mike Pompeo<http://politi.co/2biH3F0>. Those aides got back to Nunes after the election and asked whether he still
thought Pompeo was the right guy. Roughly five days later — after an interview in Trump Tower — the president-elect nominated Pompeo to the powerful post.

READ FULL ARTICLE ON POLITICO.COM »<http://politi.co/2fPMf6v>

Download the POLITICO app for your iPhone, iPad, or Android device<http://www.politico.com/mobile-products/>

Follow POLITICO on Twitter: @POLITICO<https://twitter.com/#!/politico>

Disclaimer: Please note that POLITICO is not responsible for the content within this email. POLITICO cannot verify the sender of this email.

Sent from my iPhone
Yes, 1 p.m. works for me. Will you call me at my direct below or is there a number that you would like me to call?

---

Hi Shelley,

Jim and I are available this afternoon. Does 1pm work for you?

Best,
Roberto

---

Good morning, Roberto –
I see that you are the staff point of contact for the attached request. Are you available for a quick call today?
Thanks,
Shelley

---

Visit OGE’s website: [www.oge.gov](http://www.oge.gov)
Follow OGE on Twitter: [@OfficeGovEthics](http://@OfficeGovEthics)
Hi Shelley,

Attached is a letter from Ranking Member Carper to Director Shaub regarding OGE’s efforts related to potential conflicts of interests in the upcoming Administration of President-elect Donald Trump. Please let us know if you have any questions.

Regards,

Jim Secreto
Chief Counsel for Oversight and Investigations (Minority)
Senate Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs Committee

OGE Confidential Notice: This email, including all attachments, may constitute a Federal record or other Government property that is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This email also may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise protected from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient or the employee or agent responsible for delivering the transmission to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, copying or use of this email or its contents is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please notify the sender by responding to the email and then immediately delete the email.
From: David Kaplan <[redacted]>
Sent: Wednesday, November 23, 2016 10:54 AM
To: Kimberley H. Kaplan
Subject: Trump’s White House counsel faces unusual challenges

Hi Shelley,

Hope you had a good Thanksgiving. As I mentioned last week, we have a FOIA request for all "briefing materials and information relating to the Presidential transition" prepared by the OGE for the Trump administration's Agency Review Teams and incoming political appointees. I know some things are on our transition web page, like the nominee ethics guide. Can you please point me to where I can find any responsive materials that aren’t on our transition web page?

It’s due on December 13.

Thanks,

Jen

Jennifer Matis
Assistant Counsel
Legal, External Affairs and Performance Branch
U.S. Office of Government Ethics
202-482-9216
Jennifer.matis@oge.gov

Visit OGE’s website: www.oge.gov
Follow OGE on Twitter: @OfficeGovEthics
Politico reports on the process of vetting President-elect Trump’s nominees, including a discussion of OGE’s role in reviewing nominees’ financial disclosure reports. Inside Trump’s freewheeling vetting operation

Thanks,

Jen

Jennifer Matis
Assistant Counsel
Legal, External Affairs and Performance Branch
U.S. Office of Government Ethics
202-482-9216
jennifer.matis@oge.gov

Visit OGE’s website: www.oge.gov
Follow OGE on Twitter: @OfficeGovEthics
Yes. She asked a follow-up question on the 17th re: online access to President-Elect Trump’s financial disclosure, which I responded to on the 18th. To the best of my knowledge she has not responded to my response on the 18th or the other issues raised in my original request for clarification.

Brandon

---

Chicago's website: www.oge.gov
Follow OGE on Twitter: @OfficeGovEthics
This acknowledges receipt of your Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request for all briefing materials and information relating to the Presidential transition prepared by the OGE for the Trump administration's Agency Review Teams and incoming political appointees. Your request has been assigned tracking number OGE FOIA FY 17/009 and is being processed. You can expect a response on or before December 13, 2016.

Thanks,

Jen

Jennifer Matis
OGE FOIA Team

Visit OGE's website: www.oge.gov
Follow OGE on Twitter: @OfficeGovEthics
I received a call from Steve Braun, Associated Press while I was on an Integrity conference call.

He wanted to know if I was aware of anomalies or irregularities with OGE's twitter account.

He referenced some interesting tweets involving the president-elect were posted, taken down, and reposted.

He wanted to know if the tweets were accurate, legitimate, or if OGE's twitter account had been hacked.

(I wasn't aware, but I told him that I had been on conference calls most of the day and was not involved. I assured him that the matter was being worked by appropriate OGE staff. I offered to take his information and get his inquiry to appropriate OGE staff for an official agency response.

Ty
As we discussed with your counsel, divestiture is the way to resolve these conflicts.

U.S. OGE is delighted that you’ve decided to divest your businesses. Right decision!

U.S. OGE publishes 2017 ethics dates and deadlines, supporting a strong, uniform executive branch ethics program. oge.gov/web/oge.nsf/Da...

U.S. OGE’s updated Gifts rules emphasize ethical decision-making. Read more here gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/FR-2...

Do you know what rules apply to #feds accepting gifts from private parties? OGE just updated its rule: gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/FR-2 ...

OGE amends its gift rules to strengthen the #ethics program. oge.gov/web/oge.nsf/Di ...

New rule on gifts incorporates a values-based approach to make the #ethics program stronger. oge.gov/web/oge.nsf/Di ...

Want to know what rules apply to #FederalEmployees accepting gifts? Read OGE’s updated Gifts rule here gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/FR-2 ...

OGF’s Director discusses changes to the gift rules.
Donald J. Trump @realDonaldTrump
President-elect of the United States
New York, NY
DonaldJTrump.com
Joined March 2009

Tweets  Tweets & replies  Media

Donald J. Trump @realDonaldTrump · 2h
Hence, legal documents are being crafted which take me completely out of business operations. The Presidency is a far more important task!

3.5K  7K  26K

Donald J. Trump @realDonaldTrump · 2h
do this under the law, I feel it is visually important, as President, to in no way have a conflict of interest with my various businesses..

1.8K  5.2K  19K

Donald J. Trump @realDonaldTrump · 2h
great business in total in order to fully focus on running the country in order to MAKE AMERICA GREAT AGAIN! While I am not mandated to ....

1.3K  5.3K  19K

Donald J. Trump @realDonaldTrump · 3h
I will be holding a major news conference in New York City with my children on December 15 to discuss the fact that I will be leaving my ...

1.6K  5.6K  18K

Donald J. Trump @realDonaldTrump · 3h
ISIS is taking credit for the terrible stabbing attack at Ohio State University by a Somali refugee who should not have been in our country.

2.9K  12K  34K

Donald J. Trump @realDonaldTrump · 10h
Big day on Thursday for Indiana and the great workers of that wonderful state. We will keep our companies and jobs in the U.S. Thanks Carrier
U.S. Office of Government Ethics

Providing leadership in the executive branch to prevent conflicts of interest.
Privacy: 1.usa.gov/1731C11

Washington, D.C.
o.ge.gov
 Joined April 2013

Tweet to U.S. OGE

1 Follower you know

120 Photos and videos

U.S. OGE @OfficeGovEthics

@realDonaldTrump OGE applauds the "total" divestiture decision.
Bravo!

@realDonaldTrump this divestiture does what handing over control
could never have done

@realDonaldTrump - we told your counsel we'd sing your praises if
you divested, we meant it

@realDonaldTrump We can't repeat enough how good this total
divestiture will be

@realDonaldTrump Brilliant! Divestiture is good for you, very good for
America!

@realDonaldTrump Bravo! Only way to resolve these conflicts of
interest is to divest. Good call!

@realDonaldTrump this aligns with OGE opinion that POTUS should
act as if 18 USC 208 applies oge.gov/web/oge.nsf/Le...
U.S. OGE
@OfficeGovEthics

U.S. Office of Government Ethics -- Providing leadership in the executive branch to prevent conflicts of interest. Privacy: 1.usa.gov/1731Cf1

Washington, D.C.
egov.gov
Joined April 2013

Tweet to U.S. OGE

1 Follower you know

120 Photos and videos

https://twitter.com/OfficeGovEthics
From: Wendy G. Pond
To: Nicole Stein
Subject: article
Date: Wednesday, November 30, 2016 10:59:51 AM

FYI on my below response to an inquiry from Twitter on the account activity.

Dear Jared Benoff:

Thank you for reaching out to the Office of Government Ethics. I can confirm that the agency’s Twitter account was not compromised. We have issued an official statement regarding the activity on the account.

Best regards,

Brandon A. Steele
Attorney Advisor
U.S. Office of Government Ethics
1201 New York Ave NW
Suite #500
Washington, D.C. 20005
Ph: 202-482-9209
basteele@oge.gov

Visit OGE’s website: www.oge.gov
Follow OGE on Twitter: @OfficeGovEthics
Hi! I work in Twitter's DC office and I wanted to quickly reach out to confirm the activity from your Twitter account today. Thanks!

--

Jared Benoff

Public Policy | Twitter

Follow me at @jbenoff
Kehli Cage  
Government Ethics Specialist  
U.S. Office of Government Ethics  
1201 New York Ave NW  
Suite #500  
Washington, D.C. 20005  
Ph: 202-482-9279  
kehli.cage@oge.gov

Visit OGE's website: [www.oge.gov](http://www.oge.gov)  
Follow OGE on Twitter: [@OfficeGovEthics](https://twitter.com/OfficeGovEthics)

---

From: Dore, Maggie  
Sent: Wednesday, November 30, 2016 2:12 PM  
To: Contact OGE  
Subject: CBS News question

Hello can you explain the tweets on your account praising President-Elect Donald Trump for divesting his business interests? Was your account hacked?

Thanks,

Maggie Dore

Producer, CBS Evening News
From: Contact OGE  
Sent: Wednesday, November 30, 2016 3:32 PM  
To: Seth Jaffe  
Subject: FW: Divestiture Tweets  

Kehli Cage  
Government Ethics Specialist  
U.S. Office of Government Ethics  
1201 New York Ave NW  
Suite #500  
Washington, D.C. 20005  
Ph: 202-482-9279  
kehli.cage@oge.gov  

Visit OGE's website: www.oge.gov  
Follow OGE on Twitter: @OfficeGovEthics  

---  

From: Contact OGE  
Sent: Wednesday, November 30, 2016 3:24 PM  
To: Contact OGE  
Subject: Divestiture Tweets  

Please advise if indeed a rep of your office made the statements concerning Trump's intended divestiture on Twitter.

Thank you,
Hi -

I'm emailing in regards to your Twitter account and the tweets sent by Donald Trump. I am inquiring to know more about the ethics referenced - as well as the meeting with counsel you refer to.

My deadline is asap on this.

Thank you!

Natalie

--
Natalie Andrews
The Wall Street Journal
To whom it concerns;

I am am editor at the Daily Dot, an online news site. I’m wondering about a series of tweets posted to the @OfficeGovEthics account on Twitter addressed to President-elect Donald Trump regarding his plan to divest from his businesses.

Here is a sampling of those tweets:
U.S. OGE @OfficeGovEthics · 43m
. @realDonaldTrump - we told your counsel we’d sing your praises if you divested, we meant it.

47  t  60  57  

U.S. OGE @OfficeGovEthics · 43m
. @realDonaldTrump this divestiture does what handing over control could never have done.

4  t  25  13  

U.S. OGE @OfficeGovEthics · 45m
. @realDonaldTrump this aligns with OGE opinion that POTUS should act as if 18 USC 208 applies. bit.ly/2fRplG0

1  t  26  20  

U.S. OGE @OfficeGovEthics · 45m
. @realDonaldTrump Bravo! Only way to resolve these conflicts of interest is to divest. Good call!

https://archive.is/P4xyb

https://archive.is/jeWQE

https://archive.is/1QxKa

https://archive.is/pkFD5

https://archive.is/V4Cot

https://archive.is/TGziJ

https://archive.is/3Oslj

https://archive.is/zHKOP

https://archive.is/G0nTG
I’m just wondering if these tweets are authentic? If not, was the @OfficeGovEthics account compromised in some way? If so, can you please explain the change in tone and phrasing in these tweets compared to other tweets posted to the account?

Thanks so much for your time.

Best regards,

Andrew Couts
Hi—we’d like to talk to someone there about the tweets you just sent out about Trump divesting his holdings. I am at [b][6] or this email address.
Kehli Cage  
Government Ethics Specialist  
U.S. Office of Government Ethics  
1201 New York Ave NW  
Suite #500  
Washington, D.C. 20005  
Ph: 202-482-9279  
kehli.cage@oge.gov

Visit OGE's website: www.oge.gov  
Follow OGE on Twitter: @OfficeGovEthics

Hi there,

Could I get a confirmation on whether the tweets sent out today regarding Donald Trump's business and potential divestment were sent by OGE, and any statement you might have on the matter?

Thanks,  
Peter

--

Peter Schroeder  
The Hill  
[b][6]
Visit The Hill's Finance page at:
http://thehill.com/policy/finance
Kelsey D. Phipps

From: Contact OGE  
Sent: Wednesday, November 30, 2016 1:56 PM  
To: Seth Jaffe  
Subject: FW: Press question from Vocativ

FYI

Kehli Cage  
Government Ethics Specialist  
U.S. Office of Government Ethics  
1201 New York Ave NW  
Suite #500  
Washington, D.C. 20005  
Ph: 202-482-9279  
kehli.cage@oge.gov

Visit OGE's website: www.oge.gov  
Follow OGE on Twitter: @OfficeGovEthics

Hi. My name's Kevin Collier; I'm a cybersecurity reporter at Vocativ. Have you noticed someone's taken over the @OfficeGovEthics Twitter account? Was it hacked? Can you tell me if the tweets' views about Mr. Trump reflect those of the Office of Government Ethics?

Thanks

Kevin

(b)(6)
To Whom It May Concern:

I’m a reporter with The Daily Beast and I was interested in speaking with someone about the lease agreement between the GSA and the Trump Organization for the Old Post Office Building. Let me know when you get a chance. Thanks so much!

Best,
Also, was this one staffer's lone wolf effort or the opinion of the office as a whole? Thanks!

On Wed, Nov 30, 2016 at 1:23 PM, Katie Watson wrote:
Hi Vincent or whoever gets this,

I just wanted to verify that the recent string of tweets was indeed sent by your office, and also check to see whether Trump has officially communicated with your office that he will be divesting.

https://twitter.com/OfficeGovEthics?lang=en

Trying to write this as quickly as possible.

Thank you!

--
Kathryn (Katie) Watson
Good afternoon,

I'm a reporter for The Verge and I was hoping someone would be able to put me in contact with the person or persons who run the Twitter account for the Office of Government Ethics. We're curious about the recent string of tweets directed at Donald Trump and would love to talk about this strategy of calling him out via the platform he seems to care about the most — it's very compelling.

If anyone is available to comment via email that would be great and I'm also available on the phone at [b](6)

Best

Kaitlyn
Hi, Kyle Feldscher with the Washington Examiner here.

I wanted to see if I could get answers to these questions:

-Why did the OGE tweeted multiple times at President-elect Trump today about his announcement this morning?
-Usually the advice OGE gives to presidents is kept confidential, why go public with these?
-Why did OGE praise his decision to divest when his plan has not been released at this point?
-How many times has OGE met with President-elect Trump?

Thanks,
Kyle
Hello,

Your social media accounts show a series of tweets addressing President-elect Donald Trump, concerning his recent divestment from his companies. The tweets seem to address him directly.

Is it normal practice to tweet at an incoming official/PEOTUS? Do you know if he will be totally divested by the time he is sworn into office on January 20, 2017?

Thank you,
Yasmeen Alamiri
CCTV America

Legal disclaimer: The information in this email and in any attachments is confidential and legally privileged. It is intended solely for the attention and use of the named addressee(s). This information may be subject to legal professional or other privilege or may otherwise be protected by work product immunity or other legal rules. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, copying, distribution or any action taken or omitted to be
taken in reliance on it, is prohibited and may be unlawful. It must not be disclosed to any person without authorization.
Leigh,

I called Vincent Salamone’s direct number but his outgoing message says he is out-of-the-office today and directs all callers to contact you.

I have left you a voicemail message and am following up with this email.

I am contacting you regarding the Office of Government Ethics’ Twitter account at https://twitter.com/OfficeGovEthics.
The Twitter feed/page now includes multiple Tweets during the last hour directly and enthusiastically praising Trump’s divestiture decision.

Sample:

**U.S. OGE @OfficeGovEthics 50m50 minutes ago**

.@realDonaldTrump OGE is delighted that you've decided to divest your businesses. Right decision!

Are these authentic and authorized tweets? Or authentic and unauthorized tweets? Or inauthentic tweets? Has the account been hacked?

Thank you for your quick response. Please reply to all.

**Rich Gardella**

Off-Air Investigative Reporter/Producer

NBC News Investigative Unit

NBC News Washington Bureau

Begin forwarded message:
@OfficeGovEthics: .@realDonaldTrump OGE is delighted that you've decided to divest your businesses. Right decision!
EVENT AREA:

District of Columbia, United States

SOURCE VERIFICATION FOR @OFFICEGOVETHICS:

Account Created: 04.16.2013
Tweets 1,046 | Followers 1,458

Hi,

I am writing a story on Donald Trump's tweets about removing himself from his business empire and the response by the OGE on Twitter.

I would be very grateful if someone could give me a call?

Unfortunately, working for a British newspaper, I am on a very tight deadline - our first edition goes in about an hour.

My cell is [b](6)

Many thanks!
Best wishes,
Ruth
The Telegraph is the UK's best selling quality broadsheet. Our website sees as many as 25 million unique users from the United States every month. We have approximately 90 million globally.

The contents of this message and any attachments to it are private, confidential and may be the subject of legal privilege. Telegraph Media Group Limited is registered in England and Wales (company number 451593) at 111 Buckingham Palace Road, London, SW1W 0DT. If you are not the intended recipient of this email please inform us and the sender immediately. You must not take any action based upon the contents of this email, nor copy it or show it to anyone. Any unauthorised disclosure, use or dissemination of the whole or part of the message contained in such email is prohibited. Any views or opinions expressed do not necessarily represent those of Telegraph Media Group Limited. It is the recipient’s responsibility to carry out any virus checking.
Hello Kelsey

Here is the email I have that contains the word twitter in it.

From: Magee, Michelle [mailto:]
Sent: Wednesday, November 30, 2016 10:26 AM
To: Michelle M. Walker
Subject: RE: 2017

Read this in Wash Post right now…. 

Trump spokespeople did not immediately return requests for more details on the move. But Richard Painter, chief White House ethics lawyer under President George W. Bush, said the move did not appear to offer enough of a division to keep entanglement worries at bay.

“That’s business operations, not ownership. The problem is, we need to resolve the conflicts of interest that arise from his ownership. And we’re hearing nothing about how that’s getting resolved,” Painter said.

“Even if he does not operate the businesses, you’re going to have lots of people working for the business running around the world trying to cut deals,” Painter added. “And it’s critical that none of those people discuss U.S. business in a way that could be interpreted, or misinterpreted, of offering quid pro quo … or soliciting a bribe on the part of the president.”

[Trump’s presidency, overseas business deals and relations with foreign governments could all become intertwinied]

If Trump’s family does take over management of the business, Norman Eisen, the chief White House ethics lawyer for President Obama from 2009 to 2011, said an “ethics firewall” would need to be put in place to combat the “risk of improper preferential relationships and treatment for the Trump Organization with the United States government and foreign ones.”

Republican National Committee Chairman Reince Priebus said Wednesday on MSNBC’s “Morning Joe” that he was not “ready to reveal” whether the move would include Trump truly severing ties to his business or whether he would simply leave the day-to-day operations to his kids.
“It’s not the easiest thing to work out,” Priebus said. “What you see in those tweets is the person at the top that understands and is willing and showing the American people that he’s working hard on it and he’s taking it seriously.”

In one confusing move, the official Twitter account of the Office of Government Ethics, which traditionally works closely with presidential transition teams, celebrated Wednesday morning that Trump had committed to fully divesting his company stake, though Trump has publicly said no such thing.

The tweets also said that OGE lawyers had told Trump the only way to fully guard against conflicts would be fully divesting his assets. OGE officials did not immediately respond to request for explanation. The tweets were deleted within an hour of their first posting.

The weeks since Trump’s electoral victory have been marked by a series of entanglements between his private ventures and public ambitions.

From: Magee, Michelle
Sent: Wednesday, November 30, 2016 10:14 AM
To: Michelle M. Walker
Subject: RE: 2017

And please you did....

J

From: Michelle M. Walker [mailto:mmwalker@oge.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, November 30, 2016 9:02 AM
To: Magee, Michelle
Subject: RE: 2017

I aim to please J

From: Magee, Michelle
Sent: Wednesday, November 30, 2016 9:40 AM
To: Michelle M. Walker; 'Rigby, Karen'
Subject: RE: 2017

Thanks, and it already has my name on it. J

From: Michelle M. Walker [mailto:mmwalker@oge.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, November 30, 2016 8:32 AM
To: 'Rigby, Karen'; Magee, Michelle
Subject: 2017
Just fill it in J

Michelle Walker  
Management Analyst  
Compliance Division  
United States Office of Government Ethics  
1201 New York Avenue NW  
Suite 500  
Washington, DC 20005

Visit OGE's website: www.oge.gov  
Follow OGE on Twitter: @OfficeGovEthics

OGE Confidential Notice: This email, including all attachments, may constitute a Federal record or other Government property that is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This email also may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise protected from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient or the employee or agent responsible for delivering the transmission to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, copying or use of this email or its contents is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please notify the sender by responding to the email and then immediately delete the email.
of this email or its contents is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please notify the sender by responding to the email and then immediately delete the email.
From: Kaneisha T. Cunningham
To: Kelsey D Phipps
Subject: FW: link
Date: Tuesday, December 06, 2016 4:27:12 PM

In response to your FOIA request.

From: Douglas L. Chapman
Sent: Wednesday, November 30, 2016 8:22 AM
To: Kaneisha T. Cunningham
Subject: FW: link

From: Shelley K. Finlayson
Sent: Wednesday, November 30, 2016 8:19 AM
To: Douglas L. Chapman
Subject: Fw: link

Sent from my BlackBerry 10 smartphone on the Verizon Wireless 4G LTE network.

From: Walter M. Shaub <wmshaub@oge.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, November 30, 2016 8:07 AM
To: Shelley K. Finlayson
Subject: Fw: link

Here’s the link to the opinion. Get all of these tweets posted as soon as humanly possible.

https://www.oge.gov/web/oge.nsf/Legal%20Advisories/01F8E09232041FD185257F96005FBBE8/$FILE/64ed9ad9bd2945a88ac8729a97968a3.pdf?open
Hi there,
I’m looking for a full version of OGE’s statement today regarding the tweets sent this morning to President-elect Donald Trump about his business dealings. Could someone please send my way?

Best,

julia nutter
segment producer
msnbc – “the rachel maddow show”
In response to your FOIA request.

From: Douglas L. Chapman
Sent: Wednesday, November 30, 2016 8:21 AM
To: Kaneisha T. Cunningham
Subject: FW: Tweets ASAP

From: Shelley K. Finlayson
Sent: Wednesday, November 30, 2016 8:19 AM
To: Douglas L. Chapman
Subject: Fw: Tweets ASAP

Sent from my BlackBerry 10 smartphone on the Verizon Wireless 4G LTE network.

From: Walter M. Shaub <wmshaub@oge.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, November 30, 2016 8:04 AM
To: Shelley K. Finlayson
Subject: Tweets ASAP

Post the following tweets. I would like them posted first thing --8:30 if possible or as soon as possible thereafter . Post them all at once.

@realDonaldTrump OGE is delighted that you've decided to divest your businesses. Right decision!

@realDonaldTrump As we discussed with your counsel, divestiture is the way to resolve these conflicts

@realDonaldTrump this aligns with OGE opinion that POTUS should act as if 18 USC 208 applies
(put link to 1983 opinion after this one)

@realDonaldTrump Bravo! Only way to resolve these conflicts of interest is to divest . Good call!

@realDonaldTrump Brilliant! Divestiture is good for you, very good for America!

@realDonaldTrump We can't repeat enough how good this total divestiture will be
@realDonaldTrump - we told your counsel we'd sing your praises if you divested, we meant it

@realDonaldTrump this divestiture does what handing over control could never have done

@realDonaldTrump OGE applauds the "total" divestiture decision. Bravo!

Sent from my BlackBerry 10 smartphone on the Verizon Wireless 4G LTE network.
From: Gordon, Greg
Sent: Wednesday, November 30, 2016 3:52 PM
To: Contact OGE <contactoge@oge.gov>
Subject: we've been calling ... no reply ...

Could you PLEASE confirm or deny the veracity or legitimacy of the flurry of extraordinary emails that were sent out today about president-elect Trump's supposed total "divestiture?"

We've left multiple phone messages and have received no reply. This affects a story we're writing on deadline.

Greg

Greg Gordon
National Correspondent
McClatchy Newspapers Washington Bureau

Hi Leigh- can you please give me a call? Thanks.

-------- Forwarded message --------
From: Eric Katz
Date: Wed, Nov 30, 2016 at 1:40 PM
Subject: Tweets
To: ContactOGE@oge.gov

Hello,

This is Eric Katz with GovExec. I just left a voicemail for Vince and Leigh...I wanted to ask you about the series of tweets OGE sent out about Trump and his business. If someone could give me a call at [redacted] at your earliest possible convenience.

Thanks,

Eric

--

Eric Katz
Senior Correspondent
Government Executive Media Group
[redacted]

govexec | nextgov | DefenseOne | routefifty
Hello Leigh & Seth,
I just received a call from Eric Geller at Politico about our Twitter Feed. He is asking about the 9-tweets to @realDonaldTrump that went up, came down, and went back up.

Told him “the appropriate person” would get back to him.

Michael Hanson
(202) 482-9221
Office of Government Ethics

Visit us at www.oge.gov

Thanks,

Jen

Jennifer Matis
Assistant Counsel
Legal, External Affairs and Performance Branch
U.S. Office of Government Ethics
202-482-9216
jennifer.matis@oge.gov

Visit OGE’s website: www.oge.gov
Follow OGE on Twitter: @OfficeGovEthics
FOR FOIA response.

Sent from my BlackBerry 10 smartphone on the Verizon Wireless 4G LTE network.

FYI on my below response to an inquiry from Twitter on the account activity.

Dear Jared Benoff:

Thank you for reaching out to the Office of Government Ethics. I can confirm that the agency’s Twitter account was not compromised. We have issued an official statement regarding the activity on the account.

Best regards,

Brandon A. Steele  
Attorney Advisor  
U.S. Office of Government Ethics  
1201 New York Ave NW  
Suite #500  
Washington, D.C. 20005  
Ph: 202-482-9209  
basteele@oge.gov

Visit OGE's website: www.oge.gov  
Follow OGE on Twitter: @OfficeGovEthics
Brandon L. Bunderson
Desk Officer
Program Counsel Division
U.S. Office of Government Ethics
(202) 482-9307
blbunder@oge.gov

Hi! I work in Twitter's DC office and I wanted to quickly reach out to confirm the activity from your Twitter account today. Thanks!

--

Jared Benoff

Public Policy | Twitter
We started receiving dozens of press calls as soon as the President-elect announced his plan to divest his assets. Here’s the response our spokesperson is sending out to them:

Like everyone else, we were excited this morning to read the President-elect’s twitter feed indicating that he wants to be free of conflicts of interest. OGE applauds that goal, which is consistent with an opinion OGE issued in 1983. Divestiture resolves conflicts of interest in a way that transferring control does not. We don’t know the details of their plan, but we are willing and eager to help them with it.

Walt

Walter M. Shaub, Jr.
Director
U.S. Office of Government Ethics
1201 New York Avenue, NW, Suite 500
Washington, DC 20005-3917

Telephone: 202.482.9292
Email: walter.shaub@oge.gov

Sent from my BlackBerry 10 smartphone on the Verizon Wireless 4G LTE network.
Hi Emily – another contact is Leigh Francis (also cc’d). Apparently the Twitter account is not compromised. - Tim

From: Dreyfuss, Emily [b][6]
Sent: Wednesday, November 30, 2016 2:06 PM
To: Timothy Mallon
Cc: Seth Jaffe
Subject: Re: Quick question from WIRED

Thank you Tim! Seth, I just left you a voicemail. Please give me a call as soon as you can at [b][6]

I appreciate it.

Emily

From: Timothy Mallon <tmallon@oge.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, November 30, 2016 1:57 PM
To: Dreyfuss, Emily
Cc: Seth Jaffe
Subject: RE: Quick question from WIRED

Hi Emily – please contact Seth Jaffe (cc’d) - Tim

From: Dreyfuss, Emily [b][6]
Sent: Wednesday, November 30, 2016 1:57 PM
To: Timothy Mallon
Subject: Quick question from WIRED

Hi Timothy,
Thanks for taking my call. Any information you can shed on what's going on with the OGE Twitter account would be greatly appreciated. Again, I want to make sure we--and the rest of the press--don't wrongly report on official tweets that are not sanctioned by the Office of Government Ethics. If you can point me to the person in charge of the Twitter account, I would be happy to follow up with them directly.

Thanks,

Emily

Emily Dreyfuss
National Affairs Writer
WIRED

OGE Confidential Notice: This email, including all attachments, may constitute a Federal record or other Government property that is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This email also may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise protected from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient or the employee or agent responsible for delivering the transmission to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, copying or use of this email or its contents is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please notify the sender by responding to the email and then immediately delete the email.
Thank you Tim! Seth, I just left you a voicemail. Please give me a call as soon as you can at [redacted].

I appreciate it.

Emily

Hi Emily – please contact Seth Jaffe (cc’d) - Tim

Hi Timothy,

Thanks for taking my call. Any information you can shed on what's going on with the OGE Twitter account would be greatly appreciated. Again, I want to make sure we--and the rest of the press--don't wrongly report on official tweets that are not sanctioned by the Office of Government Ethics. If you can point me to the person in charge of the Twitter account, I would be happy to follow up with them directly.

Thanks,

Emily

Emily Dreyfuss

National Affairs Writer

WIRED
OGE Confidential Notice: This email, including all attachments, may constitute a Federal record or other Government property that is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This email also may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise protected from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient or the employee or agent responsible for delivering the transmission to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, copying or use of this email or its contents is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please notify the sender by responding to the email and then immediately delete the email.
Hi Tim, Seth, and Leigh,

Can one of you please give me a call to clarify what, exactly, is going on with the OGE Twitter account?

Thank you,

Emily

---

From: Timothy Mallon  
Sent: Wednesday, November 30, 2016 2:09 PM  
To: Dreyfuss, Emily  
Cc: Seth Jaffe; Leigh J. Francis  
Subject: RE: Quick question from WIRED

Hi Emily – another contact is Leigh Francis (also cc’d). Apparently the Twitter account is not compromised. - Tim

---

From: Dreyfuss, Emily  
Sent: Wednesday, November 30, 2016 2:06 PM  
To: Timothy Mallon  
Cc: Seth Jaffe  
Subject: Re: Quick question from WIRED

Thank you Tim! Seth, I just left you a voicemail. Please give me a call as soon as you can at

I appreciate it.

Emily
Hi Emily – please contact Seth Jaffe (cc’d) - Tim

Hi Timothy,

Thanks for taking my call. Any information you can shed on what's going on with the OGE Twitter account would be greatly appreciated. Again, I want to make sure we--and the rest of the press--don't wrongly report on official tweets that are not sanctioned by the Office of Government Ethics. If you can point me to the person in charge of the Twitter account, I would be happy to follow up with them directly.

Thanks,

Emily

Emily Dreyfuss
National Affairs Writer
WIRED

OGE Confidential Notice: This email, including all attachments, may constitute a Federal record or other Government property that is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This email also may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise protected from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient or the employee or agent responsible for delivering the transmission to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, copying or use of this email or its contents is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please notify the sender by responding to the email and then immediately delete the email.
applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient or the employee or agent responsible for delivering the transmission to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, copying or use of this email or its contents is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please notify the sender by responding to the email and then immediately delete the email.
Hi Emily – another contact is Leigh Francis (also cc’d). Apparently the Twitter account is not compromised. - Tim

---

From: Dreyfuss, Emily [redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, November 30, 2016 2:06 PM
To: Timothy Mallon
Cc: Seth Jaffe
Subject: Re: Quick question from WIRED

Thank you Tim! Seth, I just left you a voicemail. Please give me a call as soon as you can at [redacted]

I appreciate it.

Emily

---

From: Timothy Mallon <tmallon@oge.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, November 30, 2016 1:57 PM
To: Dreyfuss, Emily
Cc: Seth Jaffe
Subject: RE: Quick question from WIRED

Hi Emily – please contact Seth Jaffe (cc’d) - Tim

---

From: Dreyfuss, Emily [redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, November 30, 2016 1:57 PM
To: Timothy Mallon
Subject: Quick question from WIRED

Hi Timothy,
Thanks for taking my call. Any information you can shed on what's going on with the OGE Twitter account would be greatly appreciated. Again, I want to make sure we--and the rest of the press--don't wrongly report on official tweets that are not sanctioned by the Office of Government Ethics. If you can point me to the person in charge of the Twitter account, I would be happy to follow up with them directly.

Thanks,

Emily

Emily Dreyfuss
National Affairs Writer
WIRED

[OGE Confidential Notice]

OGE Confidential Notice: This email, including all attachments, may constitute a Federal record or other Government property that is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This email also may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise protected from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient or the employee or agent responsible for delivering the transmission to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, copying or use of this email or its contents is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please notify the sender by responding to the email and then immediately delete the email.
Hi Emily – please contact Seth Jaffe (cc’d) - Tim

Hi Timothy,

Thanks for taking my call. Any information you can shed on what's going on with the OGE Twitter account would be greatly appreciated. Again, I want to make sure we--and the rest of the press--don't wrongly report on official tweets that are not sanctioned by the Office of Government Ethics. If you can point me to the person in charge of the Twitter account, I would be happy to follow up with them directly.

Thanks,

Emily

Emily Dreyfuss
National Affairs Writer
WIRED
Resending and adding Leigh and Seth…

Please see below for more.

Rich Gardella

Off-Air Investigative Reporter/Producer

NBC News Investigative Unit

NBC News Washington Bureau

Leigh,

I called Vincent Salamone’s direct number but his outgoing message says he is out-of-the-office today and directs all callers to contact you.

I have left you a voicemail message and am following up with this email.
I am contacting you regarding the Office of Government Ethics’ Twitter account at https://twitter.com/OfficeGovEthics.

The Twitter feed/page now includes multiple Tweets during the last hour directly and enthusiastically praising Trump’s divestiture decision.

Sample:

U.S. OGE @OfficeGovEthics 50m50 minutes ago

@realDonaldTrump OGE is delighted that you've decided to divest your businesses. Right decision!

Are these authentic and authorized tweets? Or authentic and unauthorized tweets? Or inauthentic tweets? Has the account been hacked?

Thank you for your quick response. Please reply to all.

Rich Gardella
Off-Air Investigative Reporter/Producer
NBC News Investigative Unit
NBC News Washington Bureau

(b)(6)
Begin forwarded message:

From: Dataminr Momentum
Date: November 30, 2016 at 1:15:42 PM EST
To: @OfficeGovEthics
Subject: @realDonaldTrump OGE is delighted that you've decided to divest your businesses. Right decision!
Reply-To: < >

.@realDonaldTrump OGE is delighted that you've decided to divest your businesses. Right decision!

U.S. OGE  @OfficeGovEthics

EVENT AREA:

District of Columbia, United States

SOURCE VERIFICATION FOR @OFFICEGOVETHICS:
Account Created: 04.16.2013
Tweets 1,046 | Followers 1,458

U.S. Office of Government Ethics -- Providing leadership in the executive branch to prevent conflicts of interest. Privacy: http://1.usa.gov/1731Cf1

---

Topics: Politics, Social Issues, Business

Adjust topic importance by opening settings

Dataminr is a real-time information discovery company.
Kelsey D. Phipps

From: Shelley K. Finlayson
Sent: Wednesday, November 30, 2016 12:31 PM
To: Brandon A. Steele
Subject: Fw: link

Sent from my BlackBerry 10 smartphone on the Verizon Wireless 4G LTE network.

From: Walter M. Shaub
Sent: Wednesday, November 30, 2016 8:07 AM
To: Shelley K. Finlayson
Subject: Fw: link

Here's the link to the opinion. Get all of these tweets posted as soon as humanly possible.

https://www.oge.gov/web/oge.nsf/Legal%20Advisories/01F8E09232041FD185257E96005FBBE8/$FILE/64ed9ad9bd294b45a88ac8729a97968a3.pdf?open
Hi Seth,

I saw that you issued a statement about your agency’s recent tweets. Could you send me that please?

—
Eric Geller
Cybersecurity Reporter
POLITICOPro

(b)(6)
Kelsey D. Phipps

From: Shelley K. Finlayson  
Sent: Wednesday, November 30, 2016 12:29 PM  
To: Brandon A. Steele  
Subject: Fw: Tweets ASAP

Sent from my BlackBerry 10 smartphone on the Verizon Wireless 4G LTE network.

From: Walter M. Shaub  
Sent: Wednesday, November 30, 2016 8:04 AM  
To: Shelley K. Finlayson  
Subject: Tweets ASAP

Post the following tweets. I would like them posted first thing --8:30 if possible or as soon as possible thereafter. Post them all at once.

@realDonaldTrump OGE is delighted that you've decided to divest your businesses. Right decision!

@realDonaldTrump As we discussed with your counsel, divestiture is the way to resolve these conflicts

@realDonaldTrump this aligns with OGE opinion that POTUS should act as if 18 USC 208 applies (put link to 1983 opinion after this one)

@realDonaldTrump Bravo! Only way to resolve these conflicts of interest is to divest. Good call!

@realDonaldTrump Brilliant! Divestiture is good for you, very good for America!

@realDonaldTrump We can't repeat enough how good this total divestiture will be

@realDonaldTrump - we told your counsel we'd sing your praises if you divested, we meant it

@realDonaldTrump this divestiture does what handing over control could never have done

@realDonaldTrump OGE applauds the "total" divestiture decision. Bravo!

Sent from my BlackBerry 10 smartphone on the Verizon Wireless 4G LTE network.
Hi Seth,

You may have heard already from my colleague Michael Shear. Can you help explain what all those tweets were about today on Trump's announcement about eliminating conflicts of interest? Were you the one who posted them? And is it your opinion that the steps he outlined in his tweets today meet the threshold that applies to a president as laid out in the 1983 opinion referenced in the tweet?

If you are able to talk this afternoon, I'd be grateful.

Thanks,
Julie
Hey Vince - I know your voicemail said you were out of the office today, but if you could give me a call, or get in touch with someone else to have them do so, I'd appreciate it.

Thanks.

-------- Forwarded message --------
From: Eric Katz
Date: Wed, Nov 30, 2016 at 1:40 PM
Subject: Tweets
To: ContactOGE@oge.gov

Hello,

This is Eric Katz with GovExec. I just left a voicemail for Vince and Lee...I wanted to ask you about the series of tweets OGE sent out about Trump and his business. If someone could give me a call at [redacted] at your earliest possible convenience.

Thanks,

Eric

--

Eric Katz
Senior Correspondent
Government Executive Media Group
[redacted]

govexec | nextgov | DefenseOne | routefifty

Government Executive Media Group
Resending and adding Leigh and Seth…

Please see below for more.

Rich Gardella

Off-Air Investigative Reporter/Producer

NBC News Investigative Unit

NBC News Washington Bureau
Leigh,

I called Vincent Salamone’s direct number but his outgoing message says he is out-of-the-office today and directs all callers to contact you.

I have left you a voicemail message and am following up with this email.

I am contacting you regarding the Office of Government Ethics’ Twitter account at https://twitter.com/OfficeGovEthics.

The Twitter feed/page now includes multiple Tweets during the last hour directly and enthusiastically praising Trump’s divestiture decision.

Sample:

U.S. OGE @OfficeGovEthics 50m50 minutes ago

@realDonaldTrump OGE is delighted that you've decided to divest your businesses. Right decision!

Are these authentic and authorized tweets? Or authentic and unauthorized tweets? Or inauthentic tweets? Has the account been hacked?

Thank you for your quick response. Please reply to all.

Rich Gardella

Off-Air Investigative Reporter/Producer

NBC News Investigative Unit

NBC News Washington Bureau

(b)(6)
Begin forwarded message:

From: Dataminr Momentum <dataminr_momentum>
Date: November 30, 2016 at 1:15:42 PM EST
To: @OfficeGovEthics: @realDonaldTrump OGE is delighted that you've decided to divest your businesses. Right decision!
Reply-To: <dataminr_momentum>

@realDonaldTrump OGE is delighted that you've decided to divest your businesses. Right decision!
SOURCE VERIFICATION FOR @OFFICEGOVETHICS:

Account Created: 04.16.2013
Tweets 1,046 | Followers 1,458

Topics: Politics, Social Issues, Business

Adjust topic importance by opening settings

Dataminr is a real-time information discovery company.
Hello Leigh & Seth,
I just received a call from Eric Geller at Politico about our Twitter Feed. He is asking about the 9-tweets to @realDonaldTrump that went up, came down, and went back up.

Told him “the appropriate person” would get back to him.

Michael Hanson
(202) 482-9221
Office of Government Ethics

Visit us at www.oge.gov
Trump spokespeople did not immediately return requests for more details on the move. But Richard Painter, chief White House ethics lawyer under President George W. Bush, said the move did not appear to offer enough of a division to keep entanglement worries at bay.

“That’s business operations, not ownership. The problem is, we need to resolve the conflicts of interest that arise from his ownership. And we’re hearing nothing about how that’s getting resolved,” Painter said.

“Even if he does not operate the businesses, you’re going to have lots of people working for the business running around the world trying to cut deals,” Painter added. “And it’s critical that none of those people discuss U.S. business in a way that could be interpreted, or misinterpreted, of offering quid pro quo ... or soliciting a bribe on the part of the president.”

[Trump’s presidency, overseas business deals and relations with foreign governments could all become intertwined]

If Trump’s family does take over management of the business, Norman Eisen, the chief White House ethics lawyer for President Obama from 2009 to 2011, said an “ethics firewall” would need to be put in place to combat the “risk of improper preferential relationships and treatment for the Trump Organization with the United States government and foreign ones.”

Republican National Committee Chairman Reince Priebus said Wednesday on MSNBC’s “Morning Joe” that he was not “ready to reveal” whether the move would include Trump truly severing ties to his business or whether he would simply leave the day-to-day operations to his kids.
“It’s not the easiest thing to work out,” Priebus said. “What you see in those tweets is the person at the top that understands and is willing and showing the American people that he’s working hard on it and he’s taking it seriously.”

In one confusing move, the official Twitter account of the Office of Government Ethics, which traditionally works closely with presidential transition teams, celebrated Wednesday morning that Trump had committed to fully divesting his company stake, though Trump has publicly said no such thing.

The tweets also said that OGE lawyers had told Trump the only way to fully guard against conflicts would be fully divesting his assets. OGE officials did not immediately respond to request for explanation. The tweets were deleted within an hour of their first posting.

The weeks since Trump’s electoral victory have been marked by a series of entanglements between his private ventures and public ambitions.

Michelle Walker
Management Analyst
Compliance Division
United States Office of Government Ethics
1201 New York Avenue NW
Suite 500
Washington, DC 20005
(202) 482-9213
mmwalker@oge.gov

Visit OGE's website: www.oge.gov
Follow OGE on Twitter: @OfficeGovEthics
Appears the mystery has one explanation: [https://www.designernews.co/stories/20695-new-twitter-design-why-are-some-posts-bigger](https://www.designernews.co/stories/20695-new-twitter-design-why-are-some-posts-bigger)

Appears there is some threshold for each Twitterer that jumps the size. Ours appears somewhere around 800 share/retweets. (though Brandon may be selecting text-size).
OGE FOIA Officer Dec. 1, 2016
Office of Government Ethics
Suite 500
1201 New York Avenue, NW.
Washington, DC 20005-3917

usoge@oge.gov

(Request via Email)

RE: Freedom of Information Act request

Expedited processing requested

Dear FOIA Officer:

Pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. § 552, I request access to and copies of the following records maintained by your agency’s Office of the Director and General Counsel & Legal Policy Division:
· All emails, memos, correspondence, inter-office communications, Twitter statements, directives and all other written materials related to the November 30, 2016 official OGE public statements on Twitter concerning contacts with the incoming Trump administration and comments about President-elect Donald J. Trump’s possible divestiture plans.

· All emails, memos, correspondence, inter-office communications, Twitter statements, directives and all other written materials related to media and public reaction to the November 30, 2016 official OGE public statements on Twitter concerning contacts with the incoming Trump administration and comments about President-elect Donald J. Trump’s possible divestiture plans.

· All emails, memos, correspondence, inter-office communications, directives and all other written materials related to contacts and meetings with representatives of President-elect Donald J. Trump about ethics, disclosure and possible divestiture planning.

The time frame for this request is Nov. 15, 2016, through the present, Dec. 1, 2016.

This request covers paper and electronic records, including but not limited to emails, email attachments, Portable Document Files and Microsoft Excel spreadsheets.

If possible, I request that these files be provided to me in electronic format.

I certify to be true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief that I am a credentialed reporter employed by The Associated Press. Because this is a request by a member of the news media for records made in the public interest, I ask that you waive any search fees in accordance with § 552(a)(4)(A)(ii)(II).

If the fee for retrieving or reproducing these records will exceed $50, please notify me before filling this request. I can be reached at [redacted] or by electronic mail at [redacted].

I also request expedited processing for this request under 32 CFR 299.5 (f)(2).

My request for expedited processing meets criteria for demonstrating a “compelling need” for the following reasons that I certify to be true and correct to the best of my knowledge:

One, I am a credentialed member of the news media employed by The Associated Press who is primarily engaged in disseminating information.
Two, the records I am seeking are “urgently needed” to inform the public about an actual government activity in
that the value of the information I am seeking will be lost if it is not released before the inauguration of
President-elect Donald Trump in January 2017. Federal FOIA law and regulations afford expedited processing
to those who demonstrate “an urgency to inform the public about an actual or alleged federal government
activity.” My request should also generally be read in light of the holdings in Al-Fayed.

This request qualifies for expedited processing because it goes beyond “the public’s right to know about
government activity generally” and instead seeks to provide urgent information of “actual government activity”
to the American people about Rudy Giuliani, who is being considered for important roles in the executive
branch.

The Associated Press, as the largest news wire service in the world with more than 1 billion readers, takes its
duty to inform American citizens very seriously. The hard deadline of the inauguration elevates the importance
of these records as does the type of government activity they involve. These records concern the important
interaction between an influential U.S. politician and a key executive branch agency. The public has a need to
know about those interactions in order to judge the qualifications of Mr. Giuliani as he is possibly put forward
for important cabinet-level positions.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that these records be released on an expedited schedule. As you know,
you must make a determination about my request for expedited processing within 10 calendars days of
receipt of this request.

As you know, agencies must redact only what is necessary to protect exempt information and must explain the
basis for any redactions. See Georgacarakos v. FBI, 908 F.Supp.2d 176, 186 (D.D.C. 2012). As well, please
provide documents to me on a rolling basis, rather than waiting for my entire request to be processed before
releasing documents.

As a reminder, the president has directed that the FOIA “be administered with a clear presumption: In the face
of doubt, openness prevails.” Memorandum for the Heads of Executive Dep’ts and Agencies, 74 Fed. Reg. 15,
4683 (Jan. 26, 2009), emphasis added. This instruction is unambiguous: “All agencies should adopt a
presumption in favor of disclosure, in order to renew their commitment to the principles embodied in the FOIA,
and to usher in a new era of open government. The presumption of disclosure should be applied to all decisions
involving the FOIA.” Id.

The Act, in § 552(a)(6), grants your office no more than twenty working days in which to respond to this
request. See also Oglesby v. U.S. Dep’t of Army, 920 F.2d 57, 65 (D.C. Cir. 1990) (“Congress adopted the time
limit provision in the FOIA in order to ‘contribute to the fuller and faster release of information, which is the

I certify that the information provided above is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Thank you for your assistance. I look forward to your prompt reply. My contact information is below.

Sincerely,

Stephen Braun
Associated Press Washington Bureau
1100 13th Street NW
Suite 700
Washington, DC 20005

(b)(6)
Kim,

Per the Legal Advisory procedures, I am providing you with the Communications Packet for the Subpart B LA. Attached to this email please find: 1) the Communications Form; and 2) the Tagging Form. Based on the LA procedures, I am to provide this before pushing it up for Working Draft Review by the Program Counsel and Director.

I was hoping to push this up sometime today, or Friday at the latest. However, I am sure you are quite busy, so if you need more time, please let me know, and let me know if you have any questions or would like to see the LA for your review (it is in the DGRAMS folder, Subpart B Amendments LA). Thank you in advance!

Best,

Leigh

Leigh Jason Francis
Assistant Counsel
General Counsel and Legal Policy Division
U.S. Office of Government Ethics (www.oge.gov)
1201 New York Avenue, NW, Suite 500
Washington, DC 20005
Leigh.Francis@oge.gov
(202) 482-9313

Caution: This e-mail message from the General Counsel and Legal Policy Division, Office of Government Ethics, is intended for the exclusive use of the recipient(s) named above and may contain protected, privileged, or confidential information that should not be transmitted to unauthorized addressees. If you are not the intended recipient, any dissemination, distribution, or copying is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender immediately at the above address.
Kelsey D. Phipps

From: Contact OGE  
Sent: Thursday, December 01, 2016 12:46 PM  
To: Vincent Salamone  
Subject: FW: [GRAYMAIL] Retraction?

Kehli Cage
Government Ethics Specialist
U.S. Office of Government Ethics
1201 New York Ave NW
Suite #500
Washington, D.C. 20005
Ph: 202-482-9279
kehli.cage@oge.gov

Visit OGE's website: www.oge.gov
Follow OGE on Twitter: @OfficeGovEthics

From: Kenton Hyatt  
Sent: Thursday, December 01, 2016 11:33 AM  
To: Contact OGE  
Subject: [GRAYMAIL] Retraction?

Hello:

In the New York Times article, “Ethics Office Praises Donald Trump for a Move He Hasn’t Committed To,” by Michael D. Shear and Eric Liptonov, published Nov. 30, 2016, (http://www.nytimes.com/2016/11/30/us/politics/donald-trump-business-president-elect.html?_r=0) the authors make clear that The Ethics Office acted with partiality in regard to the divestiture of business assets by Mr. Trump.

Clearly that is an ethical infringement on the part of the Ethics Office.

My first questions are straightforward and simple:

When will the retraction occur?
Where and how will the retraction occur?

What will be the disciplinary action for this ethical infringement be by the Ethics Office?

But the second question is more complicated:

What is the reasoning and justification for the impartial support you have shown to Mr. Trump?

Kenton Hyatt Ph.D.

Values Perspectives
Hello,

I’m a reporter with Voice of America in Washington. For a story on President-elect Trump’s business interests, I have a question about his most recent form 278e: What period does it cover? Is the income listed in the form for the calendar or fiscal year 2015 or another period?

Would greatly appreciate it if you could provide an answer in writing by 4pm today.

Thanks,
Hello Seth, et al,

I lead the government affairs team at Medium and was hoping to connect with you or someone from the communications or digital team. I imagine you may have been getting a flurry of messages since the agency's tweets yesterday.

Medium is a social publishing platform used by a number of government leaders and agencies including POTUS, VPOTUS, The White House, State, and many other departments, leaders, and Members of Congress.

The Trump transition team is also using Medium before they assume control over the White House Medium assets.

I wanted to see if you, Director Shaub, and/or another voice from OGE might be interested in using Medium to share more about how the agency recommends President-elect Trump proceed to avoid and ethical conflicts between his businesses and the presidency--or see if there is something else the office would like to communicate to the public.
I would be happy to help you and the agency understand on-platform best practices and we would be able to help promote anything you wanted to publish to help reach the widest audience possible. If any of this is interesting to you or the agency, I'm very willing to chat further at your convenience.

Cheers,
Matt
--
(b)(6)
Kehli Cage  
Government Ethics Specialist  
U.S. Office of Government Ethics  
1201 New York Ave NW  
Suite #500  
Washington, D.C. 20005  
Ph: 202-482-9279  
kehli.cage@oge.gov

Visit OGE's website: www.oge.gov
Follow OGE on Twitter: @OfficeGovEthics

---

I am doing preparation for a legal commentary on this subject for Monday, December 5th, on WMAL RADIO and would like to discuss the process that was utilized to send out the OGE tweets on the above subject. I am looking for the process, who decided to do this, what is the historical precedent for such tweets, what other presidents-elect have received such tweets, etc. I am at [b](6) Thank you for your assistance. Joseph E. diGenova
Hi. I'm a senior writer at The Wall Street Journal. Can you tell me when you expect financial disclosure forms and other ethics documents to be available for President-Elect Trump's nominees? I'm specifically interested in the following individuals and how to obtain the documents they're required to file:

Mike Flynn (national security adviser)
KT McFarland (deputy national security adviser)
Mike Pompeo (CIA director)
Jeff Sessions (Attorney General)

I'd also be interested in getting the same forms for other positions as they become available.

Thanks!

Shane

Kehli Cage
Government Ethics Specialist
U.S. Office of Government Ethics
1201 New York Ave NW
Suite #500
Washington, D.C. 20005
Ph: 202-482-9279
kehli.cage@oge.gov

Visit OGE's website: www.oge.gov
Follow OGE on Twitter: @OfficeGovEthics
Kelsey D. Phipps

From: Rachel K. Dowell
Sent: Thursday, December 01, 2016 2:49 PM
To: Walter M. Shaub; Elizabeth D. Horton
Subject: RE: FOIA 17-010 and FOIA 17-011

Courts have opined that a cut-off date that is based on the date of the search “results in a much fuller search and disclosure” than a less inclusive cut-off date, such as one based on the date of the request or its receipt by the agency. However, “agencies may choose not to use a ‘date-of-search cut-off’ if specific circumstances warrant.”

From: Walter M. Shaub
Sent: Thursday, December 01, 2016 2:02 PM
To: Elizabeth D. Horton
Cc: Rachel K. Dowell
Subject: RE: FOIA 17-010 and FOIA 17-011

Oops used Rachel’s WH address

From: Walter M. Shaub
Sent: Thursday, December 01, 2016 1:58 PM
To: Elizabeth D. Horton
Cc: Rachel K. Dowell
Subject: RE: FOIA 17-010 and FOIA 17-011

I thought the end date was normally the date of the request.

From: Elizabeth D. Horton
Sent: Wednesday, November 30, 2016 7:55 AM
To: Walter M. Shaub
Subject: RE: FOIA 17-010 and FOIA 17-011

Good morning Walt.

The requests ask for all emails to the present so the end date would be the date that you conduct the search. Please let me know if you have any further questions. Thank you.

From: Walter M. Shaub
Sent: Tuesday, November 29, 2016 3:05 PM
To: Elizabeth D. Horton
Subject: RE: FOIA 17-010 and FOIA 17-011

What is the ending date of the period covered?

From: Elizabeth D. Horton
Sent: Monday, November 21, 2016 8:10 AM
To: Walter M. Shaub; David J. Apol
Subject: FW: FOIA 17-010 and FOIA 17-011
Good morning Walt and Dave.

This is a gentle reminder to provide responsive documents or indicate that you do not have any responsive documents for these FOIA requests. I have already received the legislative update emails from others, so you do not need to provide those but please provide any other responsive documents.

Please let me know if you have any questions. Thank you.

---

From: Elizabeth D. Horton  
Sent: Wednesday, November 16, 2016 11:13 AM  
To: Walter M. Shaub; Matthew A. Marinec; David J. Apol; Seth Jaffe; Diana Veilleux; Dale A. Christopher  
Subject: FW: FOIA 17-010 and FOIA 17-011

I have spoken with the requester and he wishes to receive all emails including news summaries. Please provide all emails from January to the present. Thank you.

---

From: Elizabeth D. Horton  
Sent: Wednesday, November 16, 2016 8:47 AM  
To: Walter M. Shaub; Matthew A. Marinec; David J. Apol; Seth Jaffe; Diana Veilleux; Dale A. Christopher  
Subject: FW: FOIA 17-010 and FOIA 17-011

Good morning.

One of the requesters is seeking all emails from January 2016 through the present and the other requester is seeking all emails sent on November 8, 9 and 10, 2016. I have contacted the requester seeking the emails from January to see if he is willing to exclude emails regarding news summaries (i.e., leg updates).

In the meantime, please forward all emails from November 8, 9, and 10 (including leg updates) and any other emails besides leg updates from January to the present and I will let you know whether or not the requester has agreed to limit his request.

If you have any questions, please let me know. Thank you.

---

From: Elizabeth D. Horton  
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2016 5:15 PM  
To: Walter M. Shaub; Matthew A. Marinec; Dale A. Christopher; David J. Apol; Seth Jaffe; Diana Veilleux  
Subject: FOIA 17-010 and FOIA 17-011

Good afternoon.

OGE received two FOIA requests for all emails to, from or carbon copying “Walter Shaub, Matthew Marinec, Dale A. Christopher, Barbara A. Mullen-Roth, David J. Apol, Seth Jaffe, Diana Veilleux that contain the word ‘TRUMP’” from January 2016 to the present.

Please search your email accounts for any records responsive to these requests and provide any responsive records by COB November 21, 2016.

If you do not have any responsive records, please reply with a “no records” response.

Please let me know if you have any questions. Thank you.
Elizabeth D. Horton
Assistant Counsel, Legal, External Affairs and Performance Branch
Program Counsel Division
U.S. Office of Government Ethics
(202) 482-9211
Elizabeth.Horton@oge.gov

Visit OGE’s website: www.oge.gov
Follow OGE on Twitter: @OfficeGovEthics
Correct link:

https://www2.oge.gov/Web/OGE.nsf/Resources/Third-Party+Website+Privacy+Statement+-+Social+Media

https://intpriaps02.oge.gov/Web/OGE.nsf/Resources/Third-Party+Website+Privacy+Statement+-+Social+Media
Thanks, Wendy.

____________________________
Tracey L. Ligon
Attorney and Deputy Ethics Official
Federal Election Commission
999 E. Street, NW
Washington, DC 20463

From: Wendy G. Pond [mailto:wpond@oge.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, November 30, 2016 4:31 PM
To: Tracey Ligon
Cc: Leigh J. Francis <ljfranci@oge.gov>
Subject: Twitter

Hi Tracey –

Thanks for your voicemail. If your press officer wants, s/he can talk to the person at OGE who’s handling our press inquiries on this issue. His name is Leigh Francis, ljfranci@oge.gov, 202-482-9313.

Best,

Wendy

Wendy Pond
Senior Desk Officer &
International Assistance and Outreach Team Lead
US Office of Government Ethics
202-482-9285
wgpond@oge.gov

Follow OGE on Twitter @OfficeGovEthics

OGE Confidential Notice: This email, including all attachments, may constitute a Federal record or other Government property that is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This email also may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise protected from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient or the employee or agent responsible for delivering the transmission to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, copying or use of this email or its contents is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please notify the sender by responding to the email and then immediately delete the email.
Dear office of Government

Please do all you can to enforce ethics laws and practices by holding P.E. Trump accountable! We encourage you as you work to seek a CERTIFICATE OF DIVESTITUTE from P.E. Trump.

TO US Office of Government Ethics
1201 New York Ave.
Suite 500
Washington D.C.
20005

Alexander Hamilton, Founding Father and first Secretary of the Treasury

An illegitimate child born and orphaned in the West Indies, Alexander Hamilton managed to make his way to New York and enrolled at Columbia University on the eve of the American Revolution. By age 20, he was Chief of Staff to General George Washington. Appointed the first Secretary of the Treasury, he solidified federal fiscal powers, laying the groundwork for an industrial economy. The emergence of Wall Street as a financial capital was shaped largely by his actions. He also established the Bank of New York and New York Post, both still in operation today. Ahead of his time and loathed by many of his peers, most especially Thomas Jefferson, Hamilton was shot and killed during a duel with the not-so-popular Aaron Burr, his nemesis in New York politics, in Weehawken, New Jersey, 1804.
December 2, 2016

U.S. Office of Government Ethics
1201 New York Avenue, N.W., Suite 500
Washington, DC 20005

To whom it may concern:

I am a citizen from Massachusetts. I am writing because I am concerned about the President-elect’s conflicts of interest from his business. I am also concerned that your office sent out a tweet storm Wednesday congratulating the President-elect on his announcement that he will leave his business. His commitment to leave his business is vague. It is likely that he will retain a financial interest in his business, and that his children will be running his business. That does not constitute a blind trust. I hope that the Office of Government Ethics intends to more diligently ensure that the President-elect is not subject to conflicts of interest.

Thank you for your time.
November 26, 2016

To whom it may concern:

U.S. Office of Government Ethics
1201 New York Avenue, N.W. Suite 500
Washington, DC 20005

I am deeply concerned about Donald Trump's conflicts of interest and nepotism.

He has already used his President Elect status to lure dignitaries from all over the world to his new Hotel in Washington DC, to garner favors from Argentina for his Buenos Aries project on a phone call with Ivanka, met with partners in India, met with Japan's Prime minister with Ivanka, sought to strong-arm the British government to appoint his Brexit ally, Nigel Farage, as ambassador to the United States, encouraged Mr. Farage and his entourage to oppose the kind of offshore wind farms that Mr. Trump believes will mar the pristine view from one of his two Scottish golf courses, his son attended meetings with Syria and Russia, he has scolded and berated and tried to silence the news media, please protect our first amendment rights.

All this screams conflict of interest and nepotism.

First and foremost Trump needs to decide if he wants to run his businesses or run the government.

If he wants to run the government he needs to accept and adhere to the recommendations and guidelines to place his businesses in a blind trust (not with his children) and accept and adhere to the laws and guidelines in regard to nepotism.

Donald Trump thinks that he is above all the laws, rules and regulations.

I am depending on your department to let Donald Trump know that he must adhere to these rules, regulations, recommendations and laws or he needs to step down.

Additionally, I would like to know the status of the investigations into his ties with Russia and the misappropriations of his foundation funds.

Thank you.
Hi Vincent,

I’m a reporter covering the Labor Department a newbie to government ethics law. Any way you or somebody else at OGE could help me this afternoon for an article on potential Trump labor secretary Andrew Puzder and whether DOL investigations of his restaurants pose a conflict of interests if he’s appointed?

Thanks.

Ben Penn

Reporter, Daily Labor Report

Bloomberg BNA
Office: (703) 341-3978
Cell: [redacted]
bpenn@bna.com
Hi Vincent,

I hope this finds you well. President-elect Trump announced his plans to appoint General Mattis to be Secretary of Defense. Public records indicate he owns over $700,000 in General Dynamics stocks—one of the Pentagon's largest contractors.

I am wondering if he will be required to divest from those holdings, given the conflicts definition outlined in 18 U.S.C. § 208(a).

Thank you,

Avi
From: David J. Apol
To: Elizabeth D. Horton
Subject: FW: Donald Trump cabinet list - New White House administration - Washington Post
Date: Friday, December 02, 2016 10:55:11 AM

-----Original Message-----
From: David J. Apol
Sent: Thursday, November 17, 2016 1:43 PM
To: Ty Cooper
Subject: Donald Trump cabinet list - New White House administration - Washington Post

https://www.washingtonpost.com/graphics/politics/trump-administration-appointee-tracker/
-----Original Message-----
From: Vincent Salamone
Sent: Wednesday, September 21, 2016 11:39 AM
To: David J. Apol
Subject: Donald Trump Can Legally Run His Company From the Oval Office - The Daily Beast

Daily Beast Story:


Vince
From: Vincent Salamone
Sent: Wednesday, September 21, 2016 11:36 AM
To: David J. Apol

SEPTEMBER 23

HOW THE TRUMP ORGANIZATION'S FOREIGN BUSINESS TIES COULD UPEND U.S. NATIONAL SECURITY

BY KURT EICHENWALD ON 9/14/16 AT 5:30 AM

09_23_Trump_07

Trump's global deals would make it impossible for him to conduct foreign policy in many countries without padding or depleting his wallet. Gabe Souza/Portland Press Herald/Getty

09/23/16

COVER STORY

If Donald Trump is elected president, will he and his family permanently sever all connections to the Trump Organization, a sprawling business empire that has spread a secretive financial web across the world? Or will Trump instead choose to be the most conflicted president in American history, one whose business interests will constantly jeopardize the security of the United States?

Throughout this campaign, the Trump Organization, which pumps potentially hundreds of millions of dollars into the Trump family’s bank accounts each year, has been largely ignored. As a private enterprise, its businesses, partners and investors are hidden from public view, even though they are the very people who could be enriched by—or will further enrich—Trump and his family if he wins the presidency.

A close examination by Newsweek of the Trump Organization, including confidential interviews with business executives and some of its international partners, reveals an enterprise with deep ties to global financiers, foreign politicians and even criminals, although there is no evidence the Trump Organization has engaged in any illegal activities. It also reveals a web of contractual entanglements that could not be just canceled. If Trump moves into the White House and his family continues to receive any benefit from the company, during or even after his presidency, almost every foreign policy decision he makes will raise serious conflicts of interest and ethical quagmires.

Try Newsweek for only $1.25 per week
The Trump Organization is not like the Bill, Hillary & Chelsea Clinton Foundation, the charitable enterprise that has been the subject of intense scrutiny about possible conflicts for the Democratic presidential nominee. There are allegations that Hillary Clinton bestowed benefits on contributors to the foundation in some sort of “pay to play” scandal when she was secretary of state, but that makes no sense because there was no “pay.” Money contributed to the foundation was publicly disclosed and went to charitable efforts, such as fighting neglected tropical diseases that infect as many as a billion people. The financials audited by PricewaterhouseCoopers, the global independent accounting company, and the foundation’s tax filings show that about 90 percent of the money it raised went to its charitable programs. (Trump surrogates have falsely claimed that it was only 10 percent and that the rest was used as a Clinton “slush fund.”) No member of the Clinton family received any cash from the foundation, nor did it finance any political campaigns. In fact, like the Clintons, almost the entire board of directors works for free.

On the other hand, the Trump family rakes in untold millions of dollars from the Trump Organization every year. Much of that comes from deals with international financiers and developers, many of whom have been tied to controversial and even illegal activities. None of Trump’s overseas contractual business relationships examined by Newsweek were revealed in his campaign’s financial filings with the Federal Election Commission, nor was the amount paid to him by his foreign partners. (The Trump campaigns did not respond to a request for the names of all foreign entities in partnership or contractually tied to the Trump Organization.) Trump’s financial filings also indicate he is a shareholder or beneficiary of several overseas entities, including Excel Venture LLC in the French West Indies and Caribusiness Investments SRL, based in the Dominican Republic, one of the world’s tax havens.

Trump’s business conflicts with America’s national security interests cannot be resolved so long as he or any member of his family maintains a financial interest in the Trump Organization during a Trump administration, or even if they leave open the possibility of returning to the company later. The Trump Organization cannot be placed into a blind trust, an arrangement used by many politicians to prevent them from knowing their financial interests; the Trump family is already aware of who their overseas partners are and could easily learn about any new ones.

Many foreign governments retain close ties to and even control of companies in their country, including several that already are partnered with the Trump Organization. Any government wanting to seek future influence with President Trump could do so by arranging for a partnership with the Trump Organization, funding money directly to the family or simply stashing it away inside the company for their use once Trump is out of the White House. This is why, without a permanent departure of the entire Trump family from their company, the prospect of legal bribery by overseas powers seeking to influence American foreign policy, either through existing or future partnerships, will remain a reality throughout a Trump presidency.

Moreover, the identity of every partner cannot be discovered if Trump reverses course and decides to release his taxes. The partnerships are struck with some of the more than 500 entities disclosed in Trump’s financial disclosure forms; each of those entities has its own records that would have to be revealed for a full accounting of all of Trump’s foreign entanglements to be made public.

The problem of overseas conflicts emerges from the nature of Trump’s business in recent years. Much of the public believes Trump is a hugely successful developer, a television personality and a failed casino operator. But his primary business deals for almost a decade have been a quite different endeavor. The GOP nominee is essentially a licensor who leverages his celebrity into streams of cash from partners from all over the world. The business model for Trump’s company started to change around 2007, after he became the star of NBC’s The Apprentice, which boosted his national and international fame. Rather than constructing Trump’s own hotels, office towers and other buildings, much of his business involved striking deals with overseas developers who pay his company for the right to slap his name on their buildings. (The last building constructed by Trump with his name on it is the Trump-SoHo hotel and condominium project, completed in 2007.)

In public statements, Trump and his son Donald Trump Jr. have celebrated their company’s international branding business and announced their intentions to expand it. “The opportunities for growth are endless, and I look forward to building upon the tremendous success we have enjoyed,” Donald Trump Jr. said in 2013. Trump Jr. has cited prospects in Russia, Ukraine, Vietnam, Thailand, Argentina and other countries.
Trump and his many family members receive millions each year from the Trump Organization, which gets most of its profits from a complex web of licensing deals all over the globe. Dennis Van Tine/ABACUSA/Newscom

The idea of selling the Trump brand name to overseas developers emerged as a small piece of the company's business in the late 1990s. At that time, two executives from Daewoo Engineering and Construction met with Trump at his Manhattan offices to propose paying him for the right to use his name on a new complex under development, according to former executives from the South Korean company. Daewoo had already worked with the Trump Organization to build the Trump World Tower, which is close to the Manhattan headquarters of the United Nations. The former Daewoo executives said Trump was at first skeptical, but in 1999 construction began on the South Korean version of Trump World, six condominium properties in Seoul and two neighboring cities. According to the two former executives, the Trump Organization received an annual fee of approximately $8 million a year.

Shortly after the deal was signed, the parent company of Daewoo Engineering and Construction, the Daewoo Group, collapsed into bankruptcy amid allegations of what proved to be a $43 billion accounting fraud. The chairman of the Daewoo Group, Kim Woo Choong, fled to North Korea; he returned in 2005, was arrested and convicted of embezzlement and sentenced to 10 years in prison. According to the two former Daewoo executives, a reorganization of Daewoo after its bankruptcy required revisions in the Trump contract, but the Trump Organization still remains allied with Daewoo Engineering and Construction.

This relationship puts Trump's foreign policies in conflict with his financial interests. Earlier this year, he said South Korea should plan to shoulder its own military defense rather than relying on the United States, including the development of nuclear weapons. (He later denied making that statement, which was video-recorded.) One of the primary South Korean companies involved in nuclear energy, a key component in weapons development, is Trump's partner—Daewoo Engineering and Construction. It would potentially get an economic windfall if the United States adopted policies advocated by Trump.

In India, the conflicts between the interests of the Trump Organization and American foreign policy are starker. Trump signed an agreement in 2011 with an Indian property developer called Rohan Lifescapes that wanted to construct a 65-story building with his name on it. Leading the talks for Rohan was Kalpesh Mehta, a director of the company who would later become the exclusive representative of Trump’s businesses in India. However, government regulatory hurdles soon impeded the project. According to a former Trump official who spoke on condition of anonymity, Donald Trump Jr. flew to India to plead with Prithviraj Chavan, chief minister of Maharashtra, a state in Western India, asking that he remove the hurdles, but the powerful politician refused to make an exception for the Trump Organization. It would be extremely difficult for a foreign politician to make that call if he were speaking to the son of the president of the United States.

The Mumbai deal with Rohan fell apart in 2013, but a new branding deal (Trump Tower Mumbai) was struck with the Lodha Group, a major Indian developer. By that time, Trump had an Indian project underway in the city of Pune with a large developer called Panchshil Realty that agreed to pay millions for use of the Trump brand on two 22-floor towers. His new partner, Atul Chordia of Panchshil, appeared awed in public statements about his association with the famous Trump name and feted Trump with a special dinner attended by actors, industrialists, socialites and even a former Miss Universe.

Last month, scandal erupted over the development, called Trump Towers Pune, after the state government and local police started looking into discrepancies in the land records suggesting that the land on which the building was constructed may not have been legally obtained by Panchshil. The Indian company says no rules or laws were broken, but if government officials conclude otherwise, the project's future will be in jeopardy—and create a problem that Indian politicians eager to please an American president might have to resolve.

Through the Pune deal, the Trump Organization has developed close ties to India's Nationalist Congress Party—a centrist political organization that stands for democratic secularism and is led by Sharad Pawar, an ally of the Chordia family that owns Panchshil—but that would be of little help in this investigation. Political power in India rests largely with the ruling Hindu nationalist Bharatiya Janata Party (BJP) and the Indian National Congress, a secular nationalist party that led controlled the central government for nearly 50 years. (However, Trump is very popular with the Hindu Sena, a far-right radical nationalist group that sees his anti-Muslim stance as a sign he would take an aggressive stand against Pakistan. When Trump turned 70 in June, members of that organization threw a birthday party for the man they called "the savior of humanity."
We are very bullish on India and plan to build a pan-India development footprint for Trump-branded residential and office projects,” Donald Trump Jr. told the Hindustan Times in July. As the Republican National Convention was about to get underway in July, the Trump Organization declared it was planning a massive expansion in the South Asian country.

No doubt, few Indian political groups hoping to establish close ties to a possible future American president could have missed the recent statements from the Trump family that its company wanted to do business with the nation. In April, the company inked an agreement with Ireo, a private real estate equity business based in the Indian city of Gurgaon. The company, which has more than 500 investors in the fund that will be paying the Trump Organization, is headed by Madhukar Tulsi, a prominent real estate executive in India. In 2010, Tulsi’s home and the offices of Ireo were raided as part of a sweeping corruption inquiry related to the 2010 Commonwealth Games held in New Delhi. According to one Indian business executive, government investigators believed that Ireo had close ties with a prominent Indian politician—Sudhanashu Mittal, then a senior member of the BJP—who was suspected in playing a role in rerouting money earned from Commonwealth Games contracts through tax havens into Ireo’s real estate projects. A senior official with Ireo, Tulsi is a relative of Mittal’s. No charges were ever brought in the case, but the investigation did reveal the close political ties between a prominent Indian political party and a company that is now a Trump partner.

Even as Trump was on the campaign trail, the Trump Organization struck another deal in India that drew the Republican nominee closer to another political group there. In April, the company inked an agreement with Ireo, a private real estate equity business based in the Indian city of Gurgaon. The company, which has more than 500 investors in the fund that will be paying the Trump Organization, is headed by Madhukar Tulsi, a prominent real estate executive in India. In 2010, Tulsi’s home and the offices of Ireo were raided as part of a sweeping corruption inquiry related to the 2010 Commonwealth Games held in New Delhi. According to one Indian business executive, government investigators believed that Ireo had close ties with a prominent Indian politician—Sudhanashu Mittal, then a senior member of the BJP—who was suspected in playing a role in rerouting money earned from Commonwealth Games contracts through tax havens into Ireo’s real estate projects. A senior official with Ireo, Tulsi is a relative of Mittal’s. No charges were ever brought in the case, but the investigation did reveal the close political ties between a prominent Indian political party and a company that is now a Trump partner.

No doubt, few Indian political groups hoping to establish close ties to a possible future American president could have missed the recent statements from the Trump family that its company wanted to do more deals in their country. As the Republican National Convention was about to get underway in July, the Trump Organization declared it was planning a massive expansion in the South Asian country.

“We are very bullish on India and plan to build a pan-India development footprint for Trump-branded residential and office projects,” Donald Trump Jr. told the Hindustan Times. “We have a very aggressive pipeline in the north and east, and look forward to the announcement of several exciting new projects in the months ahead.”

That is a chilling example of the many looming conflicts of interest in a Trump presidency. If he plays tough with India, will the government assume it has to clear the way for projects in that “aggressive pipeline” and kill the investigations involving Trump’s Pune partners? And if Trump takes a hard line with Pakistan, will it be for America’s strategic interests or to appease Indian government officials who might jeopardize his profits from Trump Towers Pune?

Branding Wars in the Middle East

Trump already has financial conflicts in much of the Islamic world, a problem made worse by his anti-Muslim rhetoric and his impulsive decisions during this campaign. One of his most troubling entanglements is in Turkey. In 2008, the Trump Organization struck a branding deal with the Dogan Group, named for its owners, one of the most politically influential families in Turkey. Trump and Dogan first agreed that the Turkish company would pay a fee to put the Trump name on two towers in Istanbul.

When the complex opened in 2012, Trump attended the ribbon-cutting and declared his interest in more collaborations with Turkish businesses and in making significant investments there. In a sign of the political clout of the Dogan family, Turkish President Recep Tayyip Erdogan met with Trump and even presided over the opening ceremonies for the Trump-branded property. Erdogan attended even though a newspaper the Dogans’ own, called Hurriyet, is one of the few media outlets in the country that publishes articles criticizing a government that is renowned for clamping down on journalistic freedom.

The influence that allowed for the Dogans to attract Erdogan to the ceremonies has collapsed, and once again, a Trump partner became caught up in allegations of criminal activity. In March of this year, an Istanbul court accepted an indictment of Aydin Dogan, chairman of the Dogan Group, on charges he engaged in a fuel smuggling. Aydin Dogan has proclaimed his innocence, and critics of the action have proclaimed that the indictment was a politically motivated attempt to crush the company that has served as a journalistic opponent of the government.

Erdogan also struck at the family’s business partner, Trump, for his anti-Muslim rhetoric. In June, Erdogan called for the Trump name to be removed from the complex in Istanbul and said presiding over its dedication had been a mistake. Still, that would leave a President Trump in a conflict—Dogan is his business partner, Erdogan is an essential American ally, and they both now are set against each other. Trump might have to choose whether to ignore his partner’s plight or to pressure Erdogan for his own financial benefit.

This is no minor skirmish. American-Turkish relations are one of the most important national security issues for the United States. Turkey is among the few Muslim countries allied with America in the fight against the Islamic State militant group, it carries even greater importance because it is a Sunni-majority nation aiding the U.S. military against the Sunni extremists. Turkey has allowed the U.S. Air Force to use a base as a major staging area for bombing and surveillance missions against ISIS. A Trump presidency, according to the Arab financier in direct contact with senior Turkish officials, would guarantee that cooperation at risk, particularly since Erdogan, who is said to despise Trump, has grouped more power following a thwarted coup d’etat in July.
In other words, Trump would be in direct financial and political conflict with Turkey from the moment he was sworn into office. Once again, all his dealings with Turkey would be suspect. Would Trump act in the interests of the United States or his wallet? When faced with the prospect of losing the millions of dollars that flow into the Trump Organization each year from that Istanbul property, what position would President Trump take on the important issues involving Turkish-American relations, including that country's role in the fight against ISIS?

Another conundrum: Turkey is at war with the Kurds, America's allies in the fight against ISIS in Syria. Kurdish insurgent groups are in armed conflict with Turkey, demanding an independent Kurdistan. If Turkey cuts off the Trump Organization's cash flow from Istanbul, will Trump, who has shown many times how petty and impulsive he can be, allow that to influence how the U.S. juggles the interests of these two critical allies?

Similar disturbing problems exist with the United Arab Emirates (UAE), another Muslim nation that is an important American ally. Trump has pursued business opportunities in the oil-rich nation for years, with mixed success. His first venture was in 2005, when the Trump Organization struck a branding deal with a top Emirates developer called Nakheel LLC, backed by Dubai's royal family, that planned to build a tulip-shaped hotel on a man-made island designed to look like a palm tree.

In 2008, a bribery and corruption probe was launched involving the company's multibillion-dollar Dubai Waterfront project. Two Nakheel executives were charged with fraud and cleared, but Nakheel's financial condition deteriorated amid a collapse in real estate prices; the Trump project was delayed and then canceled.

So, in 2013, the Trump Organization struck another branding deal, this time with Nakheel's archrival, Damac Properties, a division of the Damac Group, that wanted the Trump name on a planned 18-hole PGA Championship golf course. The deal was negotiated by Hussain Ali Sajwani, chairman of Damac, who had engaged in controversial land deals with senior government officials in the UAE. He met personally with Trump about the project, and their relationship grew, ultimately leading to Damac working with the Trump Organization on two branded golf courses and a collection of villas in Dubai. According to the former executive with the Trump Organization, Trump has said he personally invested in some of the Dubai projects.

In this case, even the possibility of a Trump presidency has created chaos for the Trump Organization. On December 7, when Trump called for a “total and complete shutdown” of Muslims being allowed into the United States, the reaction in the UAE was instantaneous—there were calls to boycott the Damac-Trump properties. Damac put out a statement essentially saying its deal with the Trump Organization had nothing to do with Donald Trump personally, a claim that fooled no one. On December 10, Damac removed Trump’s image and name from its properties. Two days later, the name went back up, setting off an even louder outcry. Damac’s share price dropped 15 percent amid the controversy, and it was forced to guarantee rental returns for some of its luxury properties bearing the Trump name.

Other UAE businesses with connections to Trump are also shunning the brand. The Dubai-based Landmark Group, one of the Middle East’s largest retail companies, said it was pulling products with Trump's name off of its shelves.

With Middle Eastern business partners and American allies turning on him, Trump lashed out. Prince Alwaleed bin Talal—the billionaire who aided Trump during his corporate bankruptcies in the 1990s by purchasing his yacht, which provided him with desperately needed cash—sent out a tweet amid the outcry in Dubai, calling the Republican candidate a “disgrace.” (Alwaleed is a prodigious tweeter and Twitter’s second largest shareholder.) Trump responded with an attack on the prince—a member of the ruling Saudi royal family—with a childish tweet, saying, “Dopey Prince @Alwaleed_Talal wants to control our U.S. politicians with daddy’s money. Can’t do it when I get elected. #Trump2016.”

Once again, Trump’s personal and financial interests are in conflict with critical national security issues for the United States. During the Bush administration, Abu Dhabi, the UAE’s capital, and Washington reached a bilateral agreement to improve international standards for nuclear nonproliferation. Cooperation is particularly important for the United States because Iran—whose potential development of nuclear weapons has been a significant security issue, leading to an international agreement designed to place controls on its nuclear energy efforts—is one of the UAE’s largest trading partners, and Dubai has been a transit point for sensitive technology bound for Iran.

Given Trump’s name-calling when faced with a critical tweet from a member of the royal family in Saudi Arabia, an important ally, how would he react as president if his company’s business in the UAE collapsed? Would his decisions in the White House be based on what is best for America or on what would keep the cash from Dubai flowing to him and his family?
Abdullah and one of the world's richest men, has traded insults with Trump over Twitter. Neil Hall/Reuters

A Strongman's Best Friend

Some of the most disturbing international deals by the Trump Organization involved Trump's attempts to meet Libyan dictator Muammar al-Qaddafi. The United States had labeled Qaddafi as a sponsor of terrorism for decades; President Ronald Reagan even launched a military attack on him in 1986 after the National Security Agency intercepted communications that showed Qaddafi was behind the bombing of a German airplane that killed two Americans. He was also linked to the bombing of Pan Am Flight 103, which exploded over Lockerbie, Scotland, killing 259 people, in 1988.

But for the Trump Organization, Qaddafi was not a murdering terrorist; he was a prospect who might bring the company financing and the opportunity to build a resort on the Mediterranean coast of Libya. According to an Arab strategist and a former businessman from the North African country, Trump made overtures to Qaddafi and other members of his government, beginning in 2008, in which he sought deals that would bring cash to the Trump Organization from a so-called wealth fund called the Libyan Investment Authority. The following year, Trump offered to lease his estate in Westchester County, New York, to Qaddafi; he took Qaddafi's money but, after local protests, forbade him from staying at his property. (Trump kept the cash.) "I made a lot of money with Qaddafi," Trump said recently about the Westchester escape. "It paid me a fortune."

Another business relationship that could raise concerns about conflicts involves Arasahan, a country the State Department said in an official report was infused with "corruption and predatory behavior by politically connected elites," According to Trump's financial filings, the Republican nominee is the president of two entities called OT Marks Bales LLC and OT Marks Bales Mamasan Member Corp. Those were established as part of deals the Trump Organization made last year for a real estate project in the country's capital. The partner in the deal is Gerard Holding, which is controlled by Anar Mammadov, the son of the country's transportation minister, Ziya Mammadov. According to American diplomatic cables made public in 2012, the United States pressured information that led diplomats to believe Ziya Mammadov laundered money for the Iranian military. No formal charges have been brought against either Mammadov.

Once again, however, this exposes potential conflicts between Trump's business connections and national security. While the development is currently on hold, it has not been canceled, meaning that Anar Mammadov could soon be paying millions of dollars to Trump. If American intelligence concludes, or has already concluded, that his business partner's father has been aiding Iran by laundering money for the military, will Trump's foreign policy decisions on Iran and Arasahan be based on the national security of the United States or the financial security of Donald Trump?

An Oligarch in D.C.

The Trump Organization also has dealings in Russia and Ukraine, and officials with the company have repeatedly stated they want to develop projects there. The company is connected to a controversial Russian figure, Vladimir Potanin, a billionaire with interests in mining, metals, banking and real estate. He was a host of the Russian version of The Apprentice (called Candidat), and Trump, through the Trump Organization, served as the show's executive producer. Potanin is deeply tied to the Russian government and obtained much of his wealth in the 1990s through what was called the loan-for-shares program, part of an effort by Moscow to privatize state property through auctions. Those sales were rigged. Insiders with political connections were the biggest beneficiaries.

Hoping to start its lending business in Russia, the Trump Organization registered the Trump name in 2008 as a trademark for projects in Moscow, St. Petersburg and Sochi. It also launched negotiations with a development company called the Zen City Group, but no deal was reached. The former Trump executive said that talks fell apart over the fear the Trump Organization wanted to charge 25 percent of the planned project's rent. Moreover, the executive said, the Trump Organization has maintained close relations with Pavel Puli, head of the Mos City Group. Puli is one of the most politically prominent oligarchs in Russia, with significant interests in real estate and the country's financial industry, including the Pushkin bank and Sbercombank.

The Trump Organization has also shown interest in Ukraine. In 2006, Donald Trump Jr. and Ivanka Trump met with Viktor Yushchenko, an adviser to the Ukrainian president, and Andrey Zaikin, head of the
Greetings and thanks again for your time last week. If you haven't seen these yet, you might be interested.
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Steven L. Schooner
Nash & Cibinic Professor of Government Procurement Law
George Washington University
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Hi Vincent,

I’m a reporter covering the Labor Department a newbie to government ethics law. Any way you or somebody else at OGE could help me this afternoon for an article on potential Trump labor secretary Andrew Puzder and whether DOL investigations of his restaurants pose a conflict of interests if he’s appointed?

Thanks.

Ben Penn

Reporter, Daily Labor Report

Bloomberg BNA
It was a productive call. I think Keith appreciated hearing the positive feedback. Walt should be pleased with it too.

Appears Integrity may be used a lot this weekend. I alerted Alex in case. I will check the Integrity Help Desk email periodically and have my BB available.

Thank you.

From: Shelley K. Finlayson  
Sent: Friday, December 02, 2016 1:09 PM  
To: George Hancock  
Subject: Re: Update: Help Desk & Substantive Support to Filer Designee

Thank you for the update. The call Sounds productive and it is nice to hear the positive feedback on the system.

Update. I just found that this didn’t go out when I planned.

Keith, Austin and I had the call. It lasted 26 minutes. After the initial navigation question, they had substantive questions that Keith answered.

The filer designee mentioned that Keith and I gave our contact information in case.

At the end, the filer designee added that he was impressed with the system indicating it is very intuitive and you’ve done a fine job with it.

Thank you.
Shelley:

I left you a voice message about an email to the Help Desk from [redacted] filer designee for [redacted] later emailed me while Austin was preparing a response.

I coordinated a planned response with Keith. I asked if he wanted to be on the call too in case substantive reporting questions arose. [redacted]

I’ve scheduled a call for 10 to discuss with the filer designee.

Thank you.
If the OGE "Tweeted" the reported quote (below) regarding president-elect Donald Trump, who was the approving "tweeting" individual? I would like to avoid the FOIA process, but am interested in whether Mr. Shaub approved of the that Tweet and the elaborating ones later in the day. If the reports/Tweets are accurate, I think the OGE has behaved in a biased, unprofessional, and unethical manner. I look forward to your response. Thank you.

"Bravo! Only way to resolve these conflicts of interest is to divest. Good call!" the agency tweeted, mimicking Trump's own tweeting style. And: "OGE is delighted that you've decided to divest your businesses. Right decision!"
Absolutely deplorable and misleading! tweeting Trump applauding his decision to divest his business holdings when he has NOT. This department is truly Un-ethical and I have lost ALL respect for the administrators of this department. SHAME [b][6], New York an independent voter

Sent from my iPhone
You have recently indicated that even though Trump isn't legally obligated to distance himself from his businesses that he should anyway.

What about Obama/Biden using their positions within the government to influence the last election? Seems like the same thing, they were legally allowed to do this as they were exempted from the Hatch Act but shouldn't they have refrained from campaigning for Clinton anyway the way you expect Trump to divest even though he doesn't have to legally?

Where was your voice on the Hatch Act issue?
Hi Kelsey,

Please see attached as well as email below.

Thanks,

Suzanne

---

From: Suzanne L. Meyer
Sent: Friday, December 09, 2016 12:46 PM
To: Kelsey D. Phipps
Subject: FOIA request response
Attachments: Hatch Act; Tweet to President Elect Trump; Request; Feedback; FOIA request 12.9.16.pdf

---

From: Contact OGE
Sent: Friday, December 09, 2016 10:32 AM
To: Suzanne L. Meyer
Subject: FW: Question for media liaison

---

From: Shane Harris
Sent: Sunday, December 04, 2016 11:19 AM
To: Contact OGE
Subject: Re: Question for media liaison

Can you please direct me to the media liaison on this question?

Shane Harris
Senior Writer, The Wall Street Journal
Fellow, International Security Program, New America
Author of @War: The Rise of the Military-Internet Complex

Sent from my iPhone

On Dec 1, 2016, at 2:06 PM, Harris, Shane <shane.harris@wsj.com> wrote:

Hi. I'm a senior writer at The Wall Street Journal. Can you tell me when you expect financial disclosure forms and other ethics documents to be available for President-Elect Trump's nominees? I'm specifically interested in the following individuals and how to obtain the documents they're required to file:

Mike Flynn (national security adviser)
KT McFarland (deputy national security adviser)
Mike Pompeo (CIA director)
Jeff Sessions (Attorney General)

I'd also be interested in getting the same forms for other positions as they become available.

Thanks!

Shane

--
Shane Harris
senior writer/national security