From: Sunday Oliver
To: USOGE
Subject: Proposed Rule: Legal Expense Fund Regulation (RIN 3209-AA50)
Date: Tuesday, June 21, 2022 12:09:02 AM

It seems to me that government officials should be *especially* bound by ethics rules, instead of considering them optional. We've already seen a lot of "optional" ethics among government figures, and what it has done is slow down progress for the country, cause huge divides, and created attempts to dismantle government altogether.

I oppose OGE's proposed legal expense fund regulation as drafted. OGE should:

- remove the exception that makes compliance with the regulation optional;
- replace the proposed recusal requirement with a broader 5-year recusal requirement that prevents donors of cash gifts from influencing decisions, policies, or regulations affecting them or the industries in which they have substantial interests;
- remove the offensive example involving an accused sexual harasser; and
- place nonprofit charities (501(c)(3) organizations) on an equal footing with large law firms by allowing them to hire legal counsel for whistleblowers.

Sincerely,

Denise Oliver