From: sarvenazm@yahoo.com
To: USOGE
Subject: Proposed Rule: Legal Expense Fund Regulation (RIN 3209-AA50)
Date: Saturday, June 18, 2022 3:12:49 PM

I oppose OGE's proposed legal expense fund regulation as drafted. OGE should:
- remove the exception that makes compliance with the regulation optional;
- replace the proposed recusal requirement with a broader 5-year recusal requirement that prevents donors of cash gifts from influencing decisions, policies, or regulations affecting them or the industries in which they have substantial interests;
-remove the offensive example involving an accused sexual harasser; and
- place nonprofit charities (501(c)(3) organizations) on an equal footing with large law firms by allowing them to hire legal counsel for whistleblowers.

A rule that is optional is an oxymoron, and an insult to Americans who want people working in the government to abide by moral standards- that shouldn't be too much to ask. Moreover, we are still not over the amount of corrupt and unethical behavior from the previous administration. They were voted out for a reason. We don't want their ethical standards to remain after them.

Sent from my iPhone