From: l.elsworth
To: USOGE
Subject: Proposed Rule: Legal Expense Fund Regulation (RIN 3209-AA50)
Date: Saturday, June 18, 2022 3:33:11 PM

Good day,

I oppose OGE's proposed legal expense fund regulation as drafted. OGE should:
- remove the exception that makes compliance with the regulation optional;
- replace the proposed recusal requirement with a broader 5-year recusal requirement that prevents donors of cash gifts from influencing decisions, policies, or regulations affecting them or the industries in which they have substantial interests;
- remove the offensive example involving an accused sexual harasser; and
- place nonprofit charities (501(c)(3) organizations) on an equal footing with large law firms by allowing them to hire legal counsel for whistleblowers.

What is the point of an optional code? It does nothing to hold people accountable if they aren't required to follow it. Disallowing nonprofits to support whistle blowers is fairly detestable, as well. Support the people, serve the people.

Regards,
Lesley Elsworth

Sent via the Samsung Galaxy A71 5G, an AT&T 5G smartphone