

Please Do Not Reply To This Email.

Public Comments on Standards of Ethical Conduct for Employees of the Executive Branch: Solicitation and Acceptance of Gifts From Outside Sources:=====

Title: Standards of Ethical Conduct for Employees of the Executive Branch: Solicitation and Acceptance of Gifts From Outside Sources

FR Document Number: 2015-29208

RIN: 3209-AA04

Publish Date: 11/27/2015 12:00:00 AM

Submitter Info:

First Name: Theo

Last Name: Allen

Mailing Address:

City:

Country:

State or Province:

ZIP/Postal Code:

Email Address:

Organization Name:

Comment: I have a few concerns with this rule change.

First, the waiver of attendance fees should be expanded.

Suppose there is a conference of all 61 commercial nuclear power companies. Each power plant sends one representative, and pays for that representative a \$1000 attendance fee. The conference also decides to invite a representative of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission to attend, and waive the \$1000 attendance fee for such person. No person pays for this, as the costs are spread out. This should be permitted if in the agency interests.

Second, airline grant travelers frequent flyer miles to encouraging flying their airline and regard frequency. If travel costs are reimbursed by the employer, the employee should, if they can use such frequent flyer miles for agency travel, be encouraged to do so. Nevertheless, if someone is an employee of the Federal Aviation Authority, in my view, any personal use should not be permitted.

Finally, the title of nobility concerns should be made explicit. [N]o [government employee may] accept of any present, emolument, office, or title, of any kind whatever, from any king, prince, or foreign state (absent Congressional approval). In my view, most government employees are not attorneys or knowledgeable about who is a monarch, royalty, a head of state, or a foreign government, agency, or instrumentality. For example, accepting honorarium with a foreign sovereign appears to be unconstitutional without Congressional Approval.

These regulations should be made explicit.

I have a few concerns with this rule change.

First, the waiver of attendance fees should be expanded.

Suppose there is a conference of all 61 commercial nuclear power companies. Each power plant sends one representative, and pays for that representative a \$1000 attendance fee. The conference also decides to invite a representative of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission to attend, and waive the \$1000 attendance fee for such person. No person pays for this, as the costs are spread out. This should be permitted if in the agency interests.

Second, airline grant travelers frequent flyer miles to encouraging flying their airline and regard frequency. If travel

costs are reimbursed by the employer, the employee should, if they can use such frequent flyer miles for agency travel, be encouraged to do so. Nevertheless, if someone is an employee of the Federal Aviation Authority, in my view, any personal use should not be permitted.

Finally, the title of nobility concerns should be made explicit. [N]o [government employee may] accept of any present, emolument, office, or title, of any kind whatever, from any king, prince, or foreign state (absent Congressional approval). In my view, most government employees are not attorneys or knowledgeable about who is a monarch, royalty, a head of state, or a foreign government, agency, or instrumentality. For example, accepting honorarium with a foreign sovereign appears to be unconstitutional without Congressional Approval.

These regulations should be made explicit.